FOUR DIFFERENTIALLY EXPRESSED cDNAs CONTAINING THE REBERS-RIDDIFORD CONSENSUS SEQUENCE IN *CALLINECTES SAPIDUS*

Anna Wynn

A Thesis Submitted to the University of North Carolina at Wilmington in Partial Fulfillment Of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of Science

Department of Biological Sciences

UNCW

2004

Approved by

Advisory Committee

Dr. Robert Roer Dr. Robert Roer Dr. Michael McCartney Dr. Michael McCartney

Dr. Thomas Shafer Dr. Thomas Shafer, Chair

Accepted by

Dr. Robert Roer Dean, Graduate School This thesis has been prepared in the style and format

consistent with the journal

Journal of Experimental Biology

ABSTRACT	iv
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	v
LIST OF FIGURES	vi
LIST OF TABLES	vii
INTRODUCTION	1
MATERIALS AND METHODS	7
Organisms and Tissue	7
Total RNA Extraction	8
Rapid Amplification of cDNA Ends (RACE)	8
Cloning	9
Sequencing	9
Sequence Analysis	11
Nomenclature	11
Quantitative PCR	11
DIG-Labeled Riboprobes	
Northern Blots	13
RESULTS	13
Sequences of Rebers-Riddifod cDNAs	13
Quantitative PCR	21
Northern Blots	
DISCUSSION	31
REFERENCES	

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT

Decapod crustaceans such as *Callinectes sapidus*, the blue crab, provide a unique opportunity to study proteins involved in biomineralization. Subsequent to each molt, the majority of the exoskeleton (e.g. dorsal carapace) calcifies while morphologically similar cuticle at the joints (arthrodial membrane) remains uncalcified. Several proteins from both types of cuticle contain the chitin-binding Rebers-Riddiford (RR) consensus sequence, Gx₈Gx₇YxAxExGYx₇Px₂P. This study obtained sequence and expression data for four transcripts containing the RR consensus sequence from hypodermal tissue of C. sapidus. Expression analyses using Northern blots and quantitative PCR revealed that two of the transcripts, CsAMP8.1 and CsAMP6.0, are found only in arthrodial membrane and expressed uniformly both before and after the molt. Analyses of the remaining two transcripts, CsCP8.5 and CsCP8.2, revealed that both are expressed solely in pre-molt carapace, indicating possible involvement in the postmolt mineralization of the pre-exuvial cuticle. NCBI BLAST results for CsAMP8.1 and CsAMP6.0 identified sequence homology with proteins containing the RR consensus sequence found in the uncalcified membranes of Cancer pagarus, Penaeus japonicus, and Homarus americanus. NCBI BLAST results for CSCP8.5 and CSCP8.2 identified sequence homology with calcification-associated peptides containing the RR consensus sequence obtained from the calcified cuticle of *Procambarus clarkii*. These results add *C. sapidus* to the list of arthropods containing cuticular proteins with the characteristic RR consensus sequence. The differential expression of these four genes is consistent with the hypothesis that RR-containing proteins play an important role in regulating calcification.

iv

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to thank all the people who helped me with this research. To my advisor Dr. Thomas Shafer, thank you for your support and continued understanding. I had a number of false starts but you kept me going. To my committee members, Drs Roer and McCartney thank you for your interest and suggestions.

A huge thank you to my partners in crime in the lab: Liz Buda, Francie Coblentz and Mark Gay. Thank you Liz and Francie for your unending help troubleshooting techniques that refused to work consistently, and to Mark for having answers to obscure questions. My research is only as good as the help I had, and because of all of you it is something I can be proud of.

Murry Bridges at Endurance Seafood in Kill Devil Hills, NC deserves a resounding thank you for his continued support of this research as well as for putting up with us on our annual crab trip.

To Wade, my family and friends thank you for your love and support.

Support for this research was made possible by the National Science Foundation (Grant IBN-0114597 to R.D. Roer, R.M. Dillaman, T.H. Shafer, and M.A. McCartney).

LIST	OF	FIG	URES
------	----	-----	------

Figure	Page
1. CsAMP8.1 Nucleotide sequence	15
2. CsAMP8.1 Alignment with most similar sequences in NCBI database	16
3. CsAMP6.0 Nucleotide sequence	17
4. CsAMP6.0 Alignment with most similar sequences in NCBI database	19
5. CsCP8.5 Nucleotide sequence	20
6. CsCP8.2 Nucleotide sequence	
7. CsCP8.5 and CsCP8.2 Alignment with most similar sequences in NCBI datab	ase23
8. CsAMP8.1 Quantitative PCR	25
9. CsAMP6.0 Quantitative PCR	
10. CsCP8.5 Quantitative PCR	27
11. CsCP8.2 Quantitative PCR	
12. CsAMP8.1 and CsAMP6.0 Northern Blot analysis	
13. CsCP8.6 and CsCP8.2 Northern Blot analysis	

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
1. Nucleotide sequences for primers	10

INTRODUCTION

Biomineralization is the process by which living organisms form inorganic solids. It is responsible for important biological functions in many organisms including mollusks, echinoderms, sponges, crustaceans and vertebrates (Lowenstam and Weiner, 1989). Biomineralization always occurs in conjunction with an organic matrix and crystal development is believed to be regulated both temporally and spatially by an array of acidic macromolecules that control crystal initiation and growth (Addadi and Weiner, 1985). In some mineralizing systems a single extracellular acidic macromolecule can be responsible for both nucleation and modification of crystal growth depending on its orientation (Addadi and Weiner, 1985). Clearly, a more complete knowledge of the organic matrix responsible for the timing and structure of crystal growth is a key to understanding biomineralization.

Calcium carbonate crystals are an integral part of crustacean exoskeletons such as that of *Callinectes sapidus*, the blue crab. These organisms must periodically shed their mineralized exoskeleton to allow for growth (Roer and Dillaman, 1984). At each molt cycle, they produce and calcify the organic matrix of the cuticle layers in a defined sequence. However, while certain regions of the exoskeleton calcify, morphologically similar regions at the joints (arthrodial membrane) remain uncalcified (Neville, 1975; Williams et al., 2003). These unique features of crustaceans provide an opportunity to study organic matrix molecules that regulate biomineralization both temporally and spatially.

The decapod cuticle is composed of four layers which are, from the outside in, the epicuticle, exocuticle, endocuticle, and membranous layer. Underlying and in direct contact with the cuticle is the hypodermis, an epithelial layer responsible for the formation of the new cuticle layers during both pre- and postmolt stages (Roer and Dillaman, 1984). The molt cycle is

divided into five stages (A - E) with subdivisions within each stage. Postmolt is denoted by A₁, A₂, B₁, B₂, C₁, C₂, and C₃. Intermolt is C₄ and premolt is D₀, D₁', D₁'', D₁''', D₂, D₃, and D₄. The actual act of molting or ecdysis is stage E (Drach and Tchernigovtzeff, 1967). Adult decapods spend the majority of each cycle in the intermolt stage (C₄), molting only once or twice yearly (Passano, 1960).

Preparation for ecdysis involves many modifications of the crustacean integument. Premolt is initiated when the hypodermis separates from the existing cuticle at apolysis. The outer two layers (epi- and exocuticle) of the new cuticle are synthesized beneath the old exoskeleton prior to ecdysis (Roer and Dillaman, 1984). Epicuticular deposition occurs during molt stage D_1 , while exocuticular deposition occurs during molt stages D_1 and D_2 . These layers are referred to as pre-exuvial layers. Mineralization of cuticle destined to calcify does not begin in the pre-exuvial layers until postmolt stages. At this time calcification initiates at the epicuticle-exocuticle boundary and within exocuticular regions termed the interprismatic septa (Giraud-Guille, 1984; Dillaman et al., in press) After the molt, the hypodermis begins to deposit the new endocuticle, which is mineralized as it is formed.

The timing of cuticle deposition for the arthrodial membrane in *C. sapidus* appears to be identical to the timing of cuticle deposition in the calcified cuticle despite differences in biomineralization (Williams et al., 2003). Again, the outer two layers (epi- and exocuticle) of the new cuticle are manufactured beneath the old exoskeleton. Epicuticular deposition is complete and exocuticlar deposition begins in late stage D_1 . Post-exuvial deposition of the endocuticle accounts for three times as much cuticle as pre-exuvial deposition and is observed in arthrodial membranes until stage C_4 (Williams et al., 2003).

Although the timing is similar, the composition of arthrodial membranes is thought to be significantly different from calcified cuticle (Hepburn and Chandler, 1976). There are noticeable hematoxylin and eosin staining differences between arthrodial and calcified cuticle as well as within the layers of both cuticles (Williams et al., 2003). The exocuticle of the calcified cuticle is basophilic indicating a high concentration of acidic proteins. The endocuticle of calcified cuticle is eosinophilic indicating a high concentration of basic proteins. The arthrodial membrane is weakly basophilic, although still easily distinguishable from the exocuticle of calcified cuticle (Williams et al., 2003). These results illustrate a marked difference in protein compositions between cuticle types. Because the calcified cuticle composition is dominated by endocuticle, proteins extracted from it might be expected to be more alkaline with a small proportion of acidic proteins. In contrast, the proteins extracted from arthrodial membrane might be expected to be more acidic (Williams et al., 2003). A model has been proposed incorporating the differences in organic matrix composition between calcified and arthrodial cuticle (Andersen, 1999). Hydrophilic cuticular proteins found in arthrodial membranes are intimately bound to a chitin scaffold and interact with other proteins present to form a non-covalent network providing a rigid but pliant membrane. In comparison, the hydrophobic cuticular proteins of calcified cuticle tend to aggregate with each other and with the N- and C- terminal regions of the chitinlinked proteins, decreasing the available space for water and providing a much more rigid membrane (Andersen, 1999).

Several studies have investigated matrix proteins that may be responsible for the temporal and spatial control of biomineralization. An increase in calcium carbonate nucleation sites in *C. sapidus* cuticle occurs between one and three hours after ecdysis in conjunction with major changes in aqueous-soluble cuticular glycoproteins (Shafer et al., 1995). Specifically, two large

dense bands disappear from lectin blots and gels stained for carbohydrates during this early postecdysial time (Shafer et al., 1995). These large macromolecules may be shielding nucleation sites from calcium and/or carbonate ions or in some other way effectively inhibiting crystal formation prior to ecdysis. Whatever the nature of the change after ecdysis, it causes the nucleation sites to be exposed and the inhibition to be lifted (Coblentz et al., 1998). The glycoprotein involved has been purified from 0-hour cuticle (Tweedie et al., 2004). Immunohistochemistry using a polyclonal antibody raised against a peptide portion of the glycoprotein detected a uniform distribution of antigen in the exocuticle at ecdysis and at one hour postmolt but decreased binding in the interprismatic septae (IPS) in two and three hour postmolt samples (Tweedie et al., 2004). The location and timing of the loss of antigen correlate with the beginning of calcification in the exocuticle IPS (Dillaman et al., in press).

Investigations of less soluble cuticular proteins from crustacean calcified cuticles have recovered proteins from *Cancer pagurus* (Andersen, 1999) and *Homarus americanus* (Nousiainen et al., 1998) which contain an 18-residue motif (xLxGPSG $\varphi_2x_2DGx_3Q\varphi$; x=any residue; φ =hydrophobic residue) that is not found in uncalcified crustacean cuticle nor in insects that do not mineralize. Proteins containing this motif are suspected to play a role in the regulation of crystal growth (Andersen, 1999). Eleven cDNA transcripts with multiple copies of this 18-residue motif were also discovered in *C. sapidus* calcified cuticle (Kennedy, 2004).

Less soluble cuticular proteins have also been extracted from insect cuticles. Many of these have a highly conserved motif known as the Rebers-Riddiford consensus sequence (RR). The RR consensus sequence ($Gx_8Gx_7YxAxExGYx_7Px_2P$; x=any residue) was first reported in seven insect cuticular protein sequences (Rebers and Riddiford, 1988). Although insect cuticle does not undergo biomineralization, two distinct cuticle types exist; soft and sclerotized (hard).

The mechanical properties of these cuticle types are dictated by the proportion of chitin and the type of proteins present. A variation of the original RR consensus sequence characterized by several conserved residues upstream of the RR is denoted RR-1 and is found in the soft cuticles of insects (Andersen, 1998a) and crustaceans (Andersen, 1988b), while a second more degenerate variant called RR-2 is only found in insect sclerotized (hard) cuticle (Anderson, 1998a). A third variation, RR-3, which differs from RR-1 and RR-2 in the N-terminal region has been identified in both insect and crustacean hard cuticles (Andersen, 2000). The RR-2 consensus has been shown to bind chitin *in vitro* (Rebers and Willis, 2001).

Numerous crustacean cuticle proteins containing RR consensus sequence variations have been identified. Six Homarus americanus arthrodial cuticle proteins containing perfect or near perfect RR-1 consensus sequences have been discovered (Andersen, 1998b). Sequence alignments with a variety of insect proteins from soft tissue verified homology of the complete RR-1 consensus sequence among taxa. This alignment also recognized the presence of a conserved sequence in the region preceding the RR-1 sequence. These data provide further support that the RR-1 subgroup occurs in soft, pliant cuticle and may be necessary for flexible cuticle function (Andersen, 1998b). In addition, two proteins from calcified cuticle of H. *americanus* were identified that contained partial RR consensus sequences which can not be categorized as either RR-1 or RR-2, although they are most like the RR-1 subgroup. Similarity between the two calcified and six arthrodial proteins is restricted to the RR consensus region. Five arthroidal cuticle proteins, very similar to the arthrodial proteins found in H. americanus (Anderson, 1998b) and containing the RR-1 consensus sequences, have been extracted and sequenced from Cancer pagurus (Anderson, 1999). One of these arthrodial proteins was also extracted from calcified cuticle.

Two uncalcified cuticle protein transcripts, DD9A and DD9B, with deduced amino acid sequences containing partial RR-1 consensus sequences have been identified in *Marsupanaeus japonicus* (Watanabe et al., 2000). These transcripts are found in the lateral uncalcified exoskeleton region of the tail blade of shrimp, and not in the calcified medial region. DD9A and DD9B may function to prevent calcification of the lateral endocuticle by negatively regulating calcium carbonate crystallization (Watanabe et al., 2000). Sequence alignments of the deduced amino acid sequences demonstrate homology with crustacean and insect uncalcified cuticle proteins containing the RR-1 consensus sequence including *H. americanus* and *C. pagurus*.

Two calcification-associated peptides, CAP-1 and CAP-2, containing an RR consensus sequence similar to RR-1 have been extracted from the calcified cuticle of *Procambarus clarkii* (Inoue et al., 2001, 2004). Sequences have also been determined for CAP-1 and CAP-2 cDNA transcripts (Inoue et al., 2003, 2004). These transcripts are only present in tail fan blade RNA (Inoue et al., 2003, 2004) during postmolt, the time the shrimp exoskeleton calcifies. Protein sequence alignments show homology among the CAP proteins and RR-containing H. *americanus* and *C. pagurus* proteins within the RR regions. There is very little similarity between the N- and C- terminal regions of the CAP proteins and other RR proteins because the CAPs contain a high proportion of acidic amino acid residues in these areas. The distinct sequence differences combined with the in vitro anti-calcification and chitin binding activity of the CAP proteins and the cDNA expression patterns indicate that these unique N- and Cterminal regions may be associated with calcification of the exoskeleton in vivo (Inoue et al., 2001, 2003, 2004). An additional transcript, crustocalcin, has been identified in *Marsupanaeus* japonicus calcified cuticle (Endo et al., 2004). The encoded protein contains a Rebers-Riddiford-like motif in the N-terminus region that exhibits homology with the CAP proteins of

P. clarkii. Like the N- and C- terminals of the CAP proteins, the crustocalcin region downstream from the RR motif is highly acidic. *In vitro* assays indicate that crustocalcin promotes the formation of calcium carbonate crystals (Endo et al., 2004). The sequence homology combined with the *in vitro* activity has led to a description of crustocalcin as a "putative promoter of calcification in the crustacean exoskeleton" (Endo et al., 2004).

The wide distribution of the Rebers-Riddiford consensus sequence among arthropod cuticle proteins suggests its presence in *Callinectes sapidus* cuticle proteins. This investigation was designed to identify genes responsible for the production of cuticle proteins that are differentially expressed in either calcified or uncalcified cuticle and that contain the RR consensus sequence in *C. sapidus*.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Organisms and Tissue

Premolt (D_2 and D_3) and postmolt (0, 2, 4, 6, 12, 24, 48 hr and 4, 8, 16, and 32 days after ecdysis) adult *Callinectes sapidus* were obtained at a shedding operation in Kill Devil Hills, NC. Early premolt (D_1 ') and intermolt (C_4) crabs were purchased locally in Wilmington, NC. Hypodermis was dissected from above the cardiac chamber (mid-dorsal hypodermis). This location was chosen because the epithelium can be obtained with little non-epithelial contamination and is synthesizing cuticle destined to calcify. Hypodermis was dissected from the carpus joints of both chilepeds (arthrodial hypodermis). This location was chosen because the carpus epithelium produces an uncalcified cuticle morphologically similar to mid-dorsal cuticle. Hepatopancreas was obtained dorsal to the branchial chamber. Blood was collected in a

syringe containing anti-coagulant solution (Leonard et al., 1985) and hemocytes were obtained by centrifugation at 800 x g for 10 min. Hypodermis tissue was preserved in RNA Later (Ambion, Austin, TX, USA) and stored at -20°C. RNA from hepatopancreas and blood was immediately extracted after collection.

Total RNA Extraction

Total RNA was extracted using the spin-column RNeasy Protect Mini Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA, USA), with the following modifications to increase yield and quality of RNA. Tissue was homogenized in 1ml TRIzol (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA). RNA was eluted from the column in 30 µl nuclease-free water (Ambion, Austin, TX, USA), and the eluate was passed through the column a second time to increase the yield. RNA was quantified by absorbance at 260 nm. RNA quality was evaluated on a 2% formaldehyde denaturing gel. Only RNA with sharp ribosomal bands and little visible degradation was used in further applications.

Rapid Amplification of cDNA Ends (RACE)

Nested 3' RACE and 5' RACE were performed via First Choice RLM-RACE Kit (Ambion, Austin, TX, USA) using BD Advantage 2 Polymerase Mix (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA, USA) for PCR amplifications. The 3' RACE protocol used 1 µg total RNA reverse transcribed after priming with oligo (dT) ligated to a universal adaptor. The 5' RACE protocol used a series of phosphatase reactions to target full length mRNA in 2 µg total RNA for ligation to a 5' RACE adapter. The RNA was then reverse transcribed using random decamers as primers. Gene-specific primers for RACE were designed using Primer 3 software (Table 1). PCR products were stained with ethidium-bromide and visualized on 1.6% agarose gels.

Cloning

PCR products of appropriate sizes were purified using QIAquick spin-columns (Qiagen, Valencia, CA, USA). The pGEM-T Easy Vector System (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) was used to ligate these products into plasmids and to transform *E. coli* cells. Transformed cells were plated on carbenicillin-containing LB agar plates using sterile beads to spread 100 μl of the undiluted culture. The plates were incubated at 37°C for 24 hours. PCR was performed with individual colonies as templates to verify and amplify the inserts. The conditions were 1 min at 94°C followed by 30 sec at 94°C, 1 min at 60°C, and 1 min at 72°C for 30 cycles with BD Advantage 2 Polymerase Mix (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA, USA).

Sequencing

Cloned PCR targets were purified using QIAquick spin-columns (Qiagen, Valencia, CA, USA). Cloned inserts from a recently developed expressed sequence tag (EST) library (Coblentz et al., 2005) were obtained by purification of plasmids using the Wizard Plus SV Miniprep DNA System (Promega, Madison, WI, USA). Sequence reactions contained 1 µl of the purified product, 2 µl Big Dye Terminator Ready Reaction Mix version 3 (Applied Biosystems (ABI), Foster City, CA, USA), 2 µl dilution buffer, 3.4 µl nuclease free water, and 1.6 µl of either SP6, T7, M13F or M13R vector primer (1 µM). Reaction conditions were 45 sec at 94°C, 45 sec at 50°C, and 4 min at 60°C for 25 cycles. Sequence reaction products were purified by centrifugation through G-50 sephadex at 2,000 x G for 2 min and analyzed on a capillary DNA sequencer (ABI 3100).

Primer	Direction	Primer Sequence 5' – 3'	Location ¹
3'Outer-1	F	AGCTCCTTGCCATCGTAGAA	354-373
3'Inner-1	F	GCTCACCCGCAGAAAGTAAT	417-437
5'Outer-1	R	TGCCATCGTAGAAGAACTCAGG	360-382
5'Inner-1	R	CAACTCTGCATTCATCCCCA	304-322
5'gsp-1	F	AACATGCAGGGAGACTTTGG	217-237
DIGF-1	F	AACTCCACACACCGACAACA	13-31
DIGR-1	R	GCAGAAAGTAATTGCCGAC	425-444
QPCRF-1	F	TGCAGAGACCATAGTGGACGAA	96-118
QPCRR-1	R	AATTTCCAATACCGCTTCGAGAC	140-162
3 'RR- 2^2	F	GCYCAYGAGAACGGYTAC	130-150
5'Outer-2	R	TGAGTACGTCCAGAGGCAGA	309-328
5'Inner-2	R	CAGCACAGCAACATTCACATT	233-254
5'gsp-2	F	GCTCTGAGGGACAGAGCAAC	179-197
DIGF-2	F	GCCCCGATAAGGATGCCACCAT	62-82
DIGR-2	R	GACACTACGCCCCAGGACACAA	457-477
QPCRF-2	F	TCCAGAGGCAGATCGACTTG	316-337
QPCRR-2	R	CGTTAAACCTTCGACCAATGAACT	358-380
DIGF-3	F	TCTTGACATGGACATCGATGACG	146-169
DIGR-3	R	CCATCTCACTCGTTCACCTCTCC	648-670
QPCRF-3	F	CCCTGAAGGCGAGAAGTTCTT	203-224
QPCRR-3	R	GGATACCGCATCGTGGAGTC	250-269
DIGF-4	F	ATCACCACCGCCGACACCAT	8-28
DIGR-4	R	AGTACCTCACCGCAGCACCA	366-386
QPCRF-4	F	GGCACCTACCGCTGGATGT	152-170
QPCRR-4	R	TTTCGTGCGCTACATTGCTG	209-190
QPCRF-5	F	GTGGCCAGGGTGCAGATC	409-425
QPCRR-5	R	TCAATGACATGGGCCTTGTG	481-463

Table. 1. Nucleotide sequences for primers used in 3' RACE, 5' RACE, DIG probes and Q-PCR

¹ Locations refer to the nucleotide sequences of CsAMP8.1 (Fig. 1), CsAMP6.0 (Fig. 3), CsCP8.5 (Fig. 5), CsCP8.2 (Fig. 6) and ribosomal protein L10 for primers 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 respectively.

² Rebers-Riddiford degenerate 3' RACE primer is indicated by "RR".

Sequence Analysis

Conceptual translation of the cDNA clones with the RR consensus sequence in frame was performed using VectorNTI software v 9.0. Signal sequences were predicted using web-based SignalP 3.0. Similarities among the virtual translations and the sequences contained in NCBI's non-redundant protein database were revealed using BLASTp. Alignments were constructed using VectorNTI software v.9.0.

Nomenclature

The cDNA transcripts are numbered according to the predicted molecular weights (ExPASy – ProtParam Tool) of the virtual translations of the mature proteins. These numbers are preceded by the letters CsAMP (*C. sapidus* arthrodial membrane protein) for those transcripts found only in arthrodial membrane hypodermis and by the letters CsCP (*C. sapidus* cuticular protein) for those transcripts found associated with calcifying cuticle.

Quantitative PCR

Quantitative PCR was performed using an ABI 7500 Real Time PCR System with three independent crab RNA samples from each time-tissue combination. These combinations consisted of either calcified or arthrodial hypodermis from either premolt stages (D₂ and D₃), or postmolt stages (0, 2, 4, 6, 12, 24 and 48 hours). Two μ g of each RNA were reverse transcribed into cDNA. Reactions contained 2 μ l oligo(dT) (0.5 μ g/ μ l), 2 μ l dNTP mix (10mM), 4 μ l Q solution (Qiagen, Valencia, CA, USA), 2 μ l RNA (1 μ g/ μ l) and 14 μ l water. These were heated to 70°C for 5 min and held at 48°C. A mix of 8 μ l 5X First-Strand Buffer (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA), 4 μ l DTT (0.1M) (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA), and 2 μ l RNaseOUT

Recombinant Ribonuclease Inhibitor (40units/µl) (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) was prewarmed to 48°C and added to each reaction. After a 2 min incubation at 48°C, 1 µl Superscript II (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) was added. The conditions were 50 min at 48°C, 15 min at 70°C, and 25 min at 35°C. Five minutes into the 35°C incubation 1 µl *E. coli* RNaseH (2 units) (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) was added. Quantitative PCR primer sets with amplicons between 50 and 80 base pairs were designed using ABI Primer Express software for each of the transcripts and for an unpublished *C. sapidus* ribosomal protein, L10 (GenBank #AY82260), as an endogenous control (Table 1). L10 was experimentally determined to be constituently expressed throughout the molt cycle and between arthrodial and mid-dorsal hypodermis. PCR reactions contained 12.5 µl SYBR Green PCR Master Mix (ABI, Foster City, CA, USA), 1 µl (0.5 ng) cDNA, 7.5 µl water, 4 µl primer mix (200 nM each). Data were analyzed using the ABI 7500 System Sequence Detection Software v. 2.1.

DIG-Labeled Riboprobes

Primers sets were designed to yield PCR products between 400 and 500 base pairs for each of the transcripts (Table 1). PCR templates were either 2 hr arthrodial cDNA (see Q-PCR) or cDNA purified from clones in an EST library (see Sequencing). Products were cloned into the pGEM-T Easy Vector System (Promega, Madison, WI, USA). Colonies containing sense and anti-sense inserts were identified by sequencing and grown in TB broth overnight at 37°C. Plasmids were purified using the Wizard Plus SV Miniprep kit (Promega, Madison, WI, USA). One µg purified plasmid was linearized with SPE 1 restriction enzyme (Roche, Indianapolis, IN, USA) following the manufacturer's protocol. Riboprobes were transcribed from the T7

promoter and labeled with dioxygenin (DIG) using the DIG RNA labeling kit (Roche, Indianapolis, IN, USA).

Northern Blots

One µg of total RNA from each time period and tissue type was complexed with RNA loading dye (GenHunter, Nashville, TN, USA) containing ethidium bromide and fractionated on a 1.0% agarose gel with 2% formaldehyde as a denaturant. The RNA was allowed to migrate at 35 volts for 4-5 hours before the gel was evaluated under UV light. The RNA was transferred with 20X SSC (3M sodium chloride, 0.3M sodium citrate, pH 7.0) via capillary action to a Millipore Immobilon-NY⁺ membrane (Billerica, MA, USA) according to the manufacturer's protocol. The RNA was UV cross-linked to the membrane and hybridized with DIG-labeled probes overnight at 68°C. Probe binding was detected using the Roche DIG Nucleic Acid Detection Kit (Indianapolis, IN, USA), which utilizes CSPD, an alkaline phospatase-activated chemiluminescent substrate. Luminescence was detected by a 10 min exposure to x-ray film.

RESULTS

Sequences of Rebers-Riddiford cDNAs

Previous unpublished work in this laboratory identified a short *C. sapidus* cDNA fragment that appeared to be differentially expressed in postmolt arthrodial hypodermis. The virtual translation of this fragment revealed a RR-1 consensus sequence. 3' RACE with primers 3'Outer-1 and 3'Inner-1 (Table 1) verified that this sequence exists in 3 hr postmolt arthrodial hypodermis and that the fragment is the 3' end of the transcript. 5' RACE with primers 5'Outer-1, 5'Inner-1 and 5'gsp-1 (Table 1), based on the 3' RACE sequence, identified an overlapping

sequence. The continuity of the 3' and 5' sequences was verified using primers DIGF-1 and DIGR-1 (Table 1) with 2 hr cDNA as a template. The cDNA is 580 base pairs and contains a 402 base-pair open reading frame beginning at nucleotide 31 and a polyadenylation signal beginning at nucleotide 560 (Fig. 1). The first 18 amino acid residues of the putative polypeptide are a signal sequence. The RR motif is between amino acid residues 49 and 95 (Fig. 1). The deduced molecular mass is 8.1 kDa and the pI is 4.74. After the transcript was proven to be differentially expressed in arthrodial membrane (see below) it was named CsAMP8.1 and submitted to GenBank as accession number AY752733.

A BLASTp search of CsAMP8.1 in NCBI's non-redundant protein data base revealed homology with CpAMP12.39 from *C. pagurus* (70% amino acid identity), DD9A and DD9B from *M. japonicus* (56% and 55% amino acid identity, respectively) and HaAMP1A from *H. americanus* (59% amino acid identity). Similarity with these RR-1 containing proteins is conserved both within and outside of the RR consensus region (Fig.2).

Transcripts for additional *C. sapidus* RR-containing polypeptides were sought using a degenerate 3' RACE primer, 3'RR-2 (Table 1). A fragment was identified with a deduced amino acid sequence containing a partial RR. Nested 5' RACE using primers 5'Outer-2, 5'Inner-2, and 5'gsp-2 (Table 1) designed to this 3' RACE product produced an overlapping fragment. The 3' and 5' sequences were verified as contiguous using primers DIGF-2 and DIGR-2 (Table 1) and 2 hr cDNA as a template. The cDNA is 519 base pairs and contains a 333 base-pair open reading frame beginning with nucleotide 27, and a polyadenylation signal beginning with nucleotide 500 (Fig. 3). The first 11 amino acid residues of the putative polypeptide are a signal sequence. The RR motif is between amino acid residues 49 and 83 (Fig. 3). The deduced molecular mass is 6.0 kDa and the

-18 $\underline{M \ K \ L \ V \ V \ L \ S \ C \ L \ L}$ -10 $\underline{A \ F \ A \ V \ A \ A \ P \ R}$ P D G D A E T I V D E R 121 agcgataacggcgatggaaatttccaataccgcttcgaggacttccaacggcattgttgag 18 13 S D N G D G N F Q Y R F E T S N G I V E 3 181 cagaggctgggcgctccaggatcagagggacagagcaacatgcagggagactttggcttc 24 33 Q R L G A P G S E G Q S N M Q <u>G</u> D F G F 5 241 accctcctgaaggtgatcgttttgacttgacctatgtcgctgacgagaacggttaccag 54 T L P E <u>G</u> D R F D L T <u>Y</u> V <u>A</u> D <u>E</u> N <u>G</u> <u>Y</u> Q 7 301 cccaactctgcattcatccccacggccaccctctgcccgccacgtagttgagctcctt 36 75 P N S A F I <u>P</u> T D H <u>P</u> L P A H V V E L L 9 361 gccatcgtagaagaactcaggcgtcaggcgccacctggaacgaccaaggcgaaaggct 42 95 A I V E E L R R Q G A T W N D Q G E R L 11 421 acccgcagaaagtaattgccgacctatgtattcctatgtactcatctccaccacctctc 48 115 T R R K * 11 481 cagacactggcgtcgctctccagctttcatgtaccatagcaatcctgatggcgcacaatc 54	1	at	tct	gac	acg	aac	tcc	aca	cac	cga	caa	cat	gaa	gct	tgt	ggt	tct	ctc	ctg	cct	gct	g 60
61 getttegeegtegetgeeeeaegeeetgatggagatgeagagaeeatagtggaegaeege 12 -10 <u>A F A V A A P R</u> P D G D A E T I V D E R 1 121 agegataaeggegatggaaatteeaataeegettegagaetteeaaeggeatgtgag 13 S D N G D G N F Q Y R F E T S N G I V E 3 181 cagaggetgggegeteeaggateagagggaeagageaaeatgeagggagaetteggette 24 33 Q R L G A P G S E G Q S N M Q <u>G</u> D F G F 5 241 acceteectgaaggtgategtttgaeettgaeetagtegeggaaeaggaaeggtaeeag 30 54 T L P E <u>G</u> D R F D L T <u>Y</u> V <u>A</u> D <u>E</u> N <u>G Y</u> Q 7 301 cceaaetetgeatteateeceaeegaeeaecetetgeecgaeaggtgaggeteett 36 75 P N S A F I <u>P</u> T D H <u>P</u> L P A H V V E L L 9 361 geeategtagaagaaeteaggegteagggegeeaecetggaeaggegaaagget 42 95 A I V E E L R R Q G A T W N D Q G E R L 11 421 accegeagaagtaattgecgaectatgtatteetatgtaeetetetetetetetetetetete	-18											M	K	L	V	V	L	S	С	L	L	-9
-10 <u>A F A V A A P R</u> P D G D A E T I V D E R 1 121 agcgataacggcgatggaaatttccaataccgcttcgagacttccaacggcattgttgag 18 13 S D N G D G N F Q Y R F E T S N G I V E 3 181 cagaaggctgggcgctccaggatcagagggacagagcaacatgcaggggagacttggcttc 24 33 Q R L G A P G S E G Q S N M Q <u>G</u> D F G F 5 241 accctccctgaaggtgatcgttttgacttgacctatgtcgctgacgagaacggtaccag 54 T L P E <u>G</u> D R F D L T <u>Y</u> V <u>A</u> D <u>E</u> N <u>G</u> <u>Y</u> Q 7 301 cccaactctgcattcatccccaccgaccacctctgccgccaccgtagttgagctcctt 36 75 P N S A F I <u>P</u> T D H <u>P</u> L P A H V V E L L 9 361 gccatcgtagaagaactcaggcgccacctggacgaccaccggacaggcgaaaggct 42 95 A I V E E L R R Q G A T W N D Q G E R L 11 421 acccgcagaaagtaattgccgacctatgtattcctatgtacctatgtaccacatgcagcacactctcg 42 A T R R K * 11 481 cagacactggcgctgcctctcagcttcagcttcagcttcagcatcaggcgcacatc 54	61	gct	ttc	gcc	gtc	gct	gcc	сса	cgc	cct	gat	gga	gat	gca	gag	acc	ata	gtg	gac	gaa	cgc	120
121 agcgataacggcgatggaaatttccaataccgcttcgagacttccaacggcattgttgag 18 13 S D N G D G N F Q Y R F E T S N G I V E 3 181 cagaggctgggcgctccaggatcagagggacagagcaacatgcagggagactttggcttc 24 33 Q R L G A P G S E G Q S N M Q <u>G</u> D F G F 5 241 accctccctgaaggtgatcgttttgacttgacctatgtcgctgacgagaacggttaccag 30 54 T L P E <u>G</u> D R F D L T <u>Y</u> V <u>A</u> D <u>E</u> N <u>G</u> <u>Y</u> Q 7 301 cccaactctgcattcatccccaccgaccaccttgcccgccacctgagtgag	-10	A	F	A	V	A	A	Ρ	R	Ρ	D	G	D	A	Ε	Т	Ι	V	D	Ε	R	12
13 S D N G D G N F Q Y R F E T S N G I V E 3 181 cagaggetgggegetecaggateagagggaeagageaacatgeagggagaetttggette 24 33 Q R L G A P G S E G Q S N M Q <u>G</u> D F G F 5 241 accetecetgaaggtgategttttgaettgaeetagtegetgaegaaeggtaecag 54 T L P E <u>G</u> D R F D L T <u>Y</u> V <u>A</u> D <u>E</u> N <u>G</u> <u>Y</u> Q 7 301 cccaaetetgeateateateeceaecgaeeaecetetgeegeegeaggtgaegeteet 36 75 P N S A F I <u>P</u> T D H <u>P</u> L P A H V V E L L 9 361 gccategtagaagaaeteaggegteagggegeeaecetggaaeggeeaaggetee 42 95 A I V E E L R R Q G A T W N D Q G E R L 11 421 accegeagaagtaattgeegaeetatgtatteetatgtaeeteateteateeteaecetetgaeeteateteat	121	agc	gat	aac	ggc	gat	gga	aat	ttc	caa	tac	cgc	ttc	gag	act	tcc	aac	ggc	att	gtt	gag	180
181 cagaggetgggegetecaggateagagggaeagageaeatgeagggagaetttggette 24 33 Q R L G A P G S E G Q S N M Q <u>G</u> D F G F 5 241 accetecetgaaggtgategtttgaettgaeetatgtegetgaegagaaeggttaeeag 30 54 T L P E <u>G</u> D R F D L T <u>Y</u> V <u>A</u> D <u>E</u> N <u>G</u> <u>Y</u> Q 7 301 cccaactetgeatteateeceaeegaeeaecetetgeeegeeaegtgatgageteett 36 75 P N S A F I <u>P</u> T D H <u>P</u> L P A H V V E L L 9 361 gccategtagaagaaeteaggegteagggegeeaeetggaaeggeeaaggegaaaggete 42 95 A I V E E L R R Q G A T W N D Q G E R L 11 421 accegeagaagtaattgeegaeetatgtatteetatgtateteateetegaeeteete 48 115 T R R K * 11 481 cagaeaetggegetgeetetetagetteatgtaeatageaateetgatggegeaeate 54	13	S	D	Ν	G	D	G	Ν	F	Q	Y	R	F	Ε	Т	S	Ν	G	Ι	V	Ε	32
33 Q R L G A P G S E G Q S N M Q $\underline{\mathbf{G}}$ D F G F 5 241 accctccctgaaggtgatcgttttgacttgacctatgtcgctgacgagaacggttaccag 30 54 T L P E $\underline{\mathbf{G}}$ D R F D L T $\underline{\mathbf{Y}}$ V $\underline{\mathbf{A}}$ D $\underline{\mathbf{E}}$ N $\underline{\mathbf{G}}$ $\underline{\mathbf{Y}}$ Q 7 301 cccaactctgcattcatccccaccgaccaccctctgcccgccacgtagttgagctcctt 36 75 P N S A F I $\underline{\mathbf{P}}$ T D H $\underline{\mathbf{P}}$ L P A H V V E L L 9 361 gccatcgtagaagaactcaggcgtcagggcgccacctggaacgaccaaggcgaaaggctc 42 95 A I V E E L R R Q G A T W N D Q G E R L 11 421 acccgcagaaagtaattgccgacctatgtattcctatgtatctcatctcgaccatctctc 154 T R R K * 11 481 cagacactggcgctgcctctcagctttcatgtacatagcaatcctgatggcgcacaatc 54	181	caq	aqq	ctq	qqc	qct	cca	qqa	tca	qaq	qqa	caq	aqc	aac	atq	caq	qqa	qac	ttt	qqc	ttc	240
241 accetecetgaaggtgategttttgaettgaeetatgtegetgaeggagaaeggttaeeag 30 54 T L P E <u>G</u> D R F D L T <u>Y</u> V <u>A</u> D <u>E</u> N <u>G</u> <u>Y</u> Q 7 301 eccaaetetgeatteateeeeaeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeee	33	Q	R	L	G	Ā	Ρ	G	S	Ē	G	Q	S	Ν	M	Q	G	D	F	G	F	53
54 T L P E $\underline{\mathbf{G}}$ D R F D L T $\underline{\mathbf{Y}}$ V $\underline{\mathbf{A}}$ D $\underline{\mathbf{E}}$ N $\underline{\mathbf{G}}$ $\underline{\mathbf{Y}}$ Q 7 301 cccaactctgcattcatccccaccgaccacctctgcccgccacgtagttgagctcctt 36 75 P N S A F I $\underline{\mathbf{P}}$ T D H $\underline{\mathbf{P}}$ L P A H V V E L L 9 361 gccatcgtagaagaactcaggcgtcagggcgccacctggaacgaccaaggcgaaaggctc 42 95 A I V E E L R R Q G A T W N D Q G E R L 11 421 acccgcagaaagtaattgccgacctatgtattcctatgtatctcatctcgaccatctctc 48 115 T R R K * 11 481 cagacactggcgctgcctctcagctttcatgtacatagcaatcctgatggcggcacaatc 54	241	acc	ctc	cct	qaa	ggt	gat	cgt	ttt	gac	ttg	acc	tat	gtc	gct	gac	qaq	aac	ggt	tac	caq	300
301cccaactctgcattcatccccaccgaccacctctgcccgccc	54	Т	L	Ρ	Ē	G	D	R	F	D	L	Т	<u>¥</u>	V	<u>A</u>	D	Ē	Ν	G	<u>¥</u>	Q	74
75 P N S A F I $\underline{\mathbf{P}}$ T D H $\underline{\mathbf{P}}$ L P A H V V E L L 9 361 gccatcgtagaagaactcaggcgtcagggcgccacctggaacgaccaaggcgaaaggctc 42 95 A I V E E L R R Q G A T W N D Q G E R L 11 421 acccgcagaaagtaattgccgacctatgtattcctatgtatctcatctcgaccatctctc 48 115 T R R K * 11 481 cagacactggcgctgcctctcagctttcatgtacatagcaatcctgatggcggcacaatc 54	301	ccc	aac	tct	qca	ttc	atc	ccc	acc	gac	cac	cct	ctq	ccc	qcc	cac	gta	gtt	qaq	ctc	ctt	360
361gccatcgtagaagaactcaggcgtcagggcgccacctggaacgaccaaggcgaaaggctc4295AIVEELRQGATWNDQGERL11421acccgcagaaagtaattgccgacctatgtattcctatgtatctcatctcgaccatctctc48115TRR*11481cagacactggcgctgcctctccagctttcatgtacatagcaatcctgatggcggcacaatc54	75	Ρ	Ν	S	A	F	Ι	P	Т	D	Η	P	L	Ρ	A	Η	V	V	Ē	L	L	94
95AIVEELRQGATWNDQGERL11421acccgcagaaagtaattgccgacctatgtattcctatgtattcctatgtatctcatctcgaccatctctc48115TRRK*11481cagacactggcgctgcctctccagctttcatgtacatagcaatcctgatggcggcacaatc54	361	gcc	atc	gta	gaa	gaa	ctc	agg	cgt	cag	ggc	gcc	acc	tgg	aac	gac	caa	ggc	gaa	agg	ctc	420
421 acccgcagaaagtaattgccgacctatgtattcctatgtatctcatctcgaccatctct48115 T R R K *11481 cagacactggcgctgcctctcagctttcatgtacatagcaatcctgatggcggcacaatc54	95	Ā	Ι	V	Ē	Ē	L	R	R	Q	G	A	Т	W	Ν	D	Q	G	Ē	R	L	114
115 T R K 11 481 cagacactggcgctgcctctcagctttcatgtacatagcaatcctgatggcggcacaatc 54	421	acc	cqc	aga	aaq	taa	ttg	ccq	acc	tat	gta	ttc	cta	tgt	atc	tca	tct	cga	сса	tct	ctc	480
$481\ cagacactggcgctgcctctcagctttcatgtacatagcaatcctgatggcggcacaatc \ 54$	115	Т	R	R	ĸ	*	-	-			_			2				2				118
	481	cag	aca	ctg	gcg	ctg	cct	ctc	agc	ttt	cat	gta	cat	agc	aat	cct	gat	ggc	ggc	aca	atc	540
541 catgcctttcatatctatataaaactgcgttaaacatt 58	541	cat	acc.	ttt	cat	atc	tat	ata	ata	aac	tac	att	aaa	.cat	t							580

Fig. 1 Nucleotide sequence of cDNA CsAMP8.1 (GenBANK #AY752733). Conceptual translation of the open reading frame of 118 amino acids is shown in the one-letter representation below the respective codons. The signal sequence is underlined, the RR-1 consensus sequence is bold and underlined, the asterisk indicates a stop codon, and the consensus polyadenylation signal (AATAAA) is in a box. The poly (A) has been omitted from the figure.



HaAMP1A

(102) ITFD---

Fig. 2 Deduced CsAMP 8.1 amino acid sequence alignment with most similar sequences in DCBI database as determined by BLAST: *Cancer pagurus* CpAMP12.39, *Marsupanaeus japonicus* DD9A and DD9B, and *Homarus americanus* HaAMP1A. Black highlight indicates identical residues, dark gray indicates conserved residues, and light gray indicates a block of similar residues. The Rebers-Riddiford consensus sequence is beneath the alignment in bold.

1	cct	cac	acg	atc	ctc	aca	ccg	aca	aca	tga	aago	ctc	gtc	gtgo	ctgg	gct	ttg	gct	gcc	gcc	59
-11										М	Κ	L	V	V	L	А	L	А	А	А	-1
60	cgc	ccc	gata	aag	gat	gcc	acc	atc	ctg	aco	ggao	cga	acg†	tgaa	agao	ccg	cgg	gga	cgg	aaac	119
1	<u>R</u>	P	D	K	D	A	T	I	L	T	D	E	R	E	D	R	G	D	G	N	20
120	ttc	ttc	tac	cgc [.]	ttc	gag	acg	agc	aac	ggo	cati	tca	gaao	ggao	gaaq	gac [.]	tgg	cac	ccc	tggc	179
21	F	F	Y	R	F	E	T	S	N	G	I	Q	K	E	K	T	G	T	P	G	40
180	tct	gag	gga	cag	agc	aac	atg	gtc	ggc	tca	atto	cca	atto	ccct	L	gga	cga	cgg	cag	caca	239
41	S	E	G	Q	S	N	M	V	<u>G</u>	S	F	Q	F	P	L	D	D	<u>G</u>	S	T	60
240	gca	aca	ttc:	aca [.]	ttc	gtg	gcc	gac	gag	aat	cggo	cta	ccgo	cgtt	egaç	gtc	ccc	gct	gct	ccct	299
61	A	T	F	T	F	V	<u>A</u>	D	<u>E</u>	N	<u>G</u>	<u>¥</u>	R	V	E	S	P	L	L	<u>P</u>	80
300	ccc	atc	cct	gag [.]	tac	gtc	cag	agg	cag	ato	cgao	ctt	tgc†	taat	tco	cca	ggg	caa	gcg	ccgt	359
81	P	I	<u>P</u>	E	Y	V	Q	R	Q	I	D	F	A	N	S	Q	G	K	R	R	100
360	taa *	acc	ttc	gac	caa	tga	act	tac	acc	tto	caca	act	atto	cgaa	acct	tct	tgc	cac [.]	tcc	tgta	419
420	cat	aac	ctg	tgc	cag	cca	tca	gct	tac	cta	aaa	gtt	gga	cact	caco	gcc	cca	gga	cac	aatc	479
480	atg	tta	aca	ctt	ttt	ttt	cca	aaa	taa	aca	agca	aat	ata	gc							519

Fig. 3 Nucleotide sequence of cDNA CsAMP6.0 (GenBANK #AY752734). Conceptual translation of the open reading frame of 100 amino acids is shown in the one-letter representation below the respective codons. The signal sequence is underlined, the RR-1 consensus sequence is bold and underlined, the asterisk indicates a stop codon, and the consensus polyadenylation signal (AATAAA) is in a box. The poly (A) has been omitted from the figure.

pI is 4.66. After the transcript was proven to be differentially expressed in arthrodial membrane (see below) it was named CsAMP6.0 and submitted to GenBank as accession number AY752734.

The deduced amino acid sequence of CsAMP6.0 is similar to CsAMP8.1 (54% amino acid identity). However, a BLASTp search of CsAMP6.0 in NCBI's non-redundant protein database revealed sequence homology with a slightly different selection of proteins than the search using CsAMP8.1. Cs.AMP6.0 is homologous with CpAMP11.14 from *C. pagurus* (74% amino acid identity), HaAMP4 and HaAMP3 from *H. americanus* (60% and 59% amino acid identity, respectively) and DD9A from *M. japonicus* (54% amino acid identity). Similarity with these RR-1 containing proteins is conserved both within and outside of the RR consensus region (Fig.4).

Additional transcripts were discovered when partial sequences of randomly chosen clones from a normalized *C. sapidus* hypodermis cDNA library were compared to the NCBI nonredundant protein database using BLASTx (Coblentz et al., 2005). A search of these EST data revealed two sequences containing the RR consensus that share homology with *P. clarkii* proteins, CAP-1 and CAP-2 (Inoue et al., 2001, 2004). The clones were grown and the inserts sequenced completely. The first cDNA is 911 base pairs and contains a 315 base-pair open reading frame; however, a polyadenylation site could not be identified (Fig. 5). The first 18 amino acid residues of the putative polypeptide are a signal sequence. The partial RR consensus sequence is between amino acid residues 42 and 58 (Fig. 5). The deduced molecular mass is 8.5 kDa and the pI is 4.25. After the transcript was proven to be differentially expressed (see below) in mid- dorsal hypodermis it was named CsCP8.5 and submitted to GenBank as accession





Fig. 4 Deduced CsCAMP 6.0 amino acid sequence alignment with most similar sequences in DCBI database as determined by BLAST: *Cancer pagurus* CpAMP11.14, *Homarus americanus* HaAMP4 and HaAMP3, and *Marsupanaeus japonicus* DD9A. Black highlight indicates identical residues, dark gray indicates conserved residues, and light gray indicates a block of similar residues. The Rebers-Riddiford consensus sequence is beneath the alignment in bold.

1	ctt	cta	сса	gct	cct	ctc	ctg	cct	caa	CCC	aca	сса	сса	сса	сса	cca	CCa	acca	atg	aag	rtgc	: 62
-18																			М	K	С	-16
63	acc	gct	att	ctt	ctc	ctg	gcc	ctc	gct	gct	gtc	gcc	ttc	gcc	cgc	cct	gad	ctco	cat	ctt	C	122
-15	<u>T</u>	A	I	L	L	L	A	L	A	A	V	A	F	A	R	Ρ	D	S	I	F	•	5
123	gac	ttc	tct	gat	gag	gac	atg	cat	ctt	gac	atg	gac	atc	gat	gac	gac	aac	caco	cta	cac	t	182
6	D	F	S	D	Ε	D	М	Η	L	D	М	D	Ι	D	D	D	Ν	Т	Y	Γ	1	25
183	ggt	tcc	tac	agc	tgg	aca	tcc	cct	gaa	ggc	gag	aag	ttc	ttc	gtc	aag	tac	at	-gc	tga	lС	242
26	G	S	Y	S	W	Т	S	Ρ	Ε	G	Ε	K	F	F	V	K	<u>¥</u>	I	A	Ľ)	45
243	aag	cgt	gga	tac	cgc	atc	gtg	gag	tcc	aat	gcc	atc	ccc	atc	act	gcc	aac	cgga	agt	gcc	C	302
46	K	R	G	<u>¥</u>	R	Ι	V	Ε	S	Ν	A	Ι	P	Ι	Т	A	Ν	G	V	F)	65
303	gcc	gac	ggt	acc	cag	ggc	gcc	ttc	tcc	tcc	gag	gaa	aac	gac	agc	ttc	gac	ctco	ccg	cga	C	362
66	A	D	G	Т	Q	G	A	F	S	S	Ε	Ε	Ν	D	S	F	D	S	R	Ε)	85
363	agg	gat	taa	.gca	cac	cat	ctt	gat	ctt	gac	tgt	ctg	agc	ggc	gcg	icgg	tta	aga	aga	gtg	ſa	422
86	R	D	*																			88
423	aaa	ttc	αat	tct	cac	tat	αaa	ata	aaa	tta	ata	tta	tct	tct	tαa	tto	ctt	++	nta	ttc	:t	482
	55		5000		- 5 -		5	5							- 5-						-	
183	+ ~ ~	+++	++~	ata	+++	+ > +	++~	++~	· > + +	tot	~++	<u> </u>	++>	asa	+ ~+		<u>~</u> ++	+ ~	~+~·	+ + +	+	512
105	uuy	LLL		ary	LLL	Lal		LLY	act			cay	ιιa	yay		aat			Jug		. L	712
EAD				~ + ~	-		~ + ~		~ ~ ~ ~		+		~ + ~		- -		+					<u> </u>
543	LLL	LLC	LCL	CLC	lal	LCa	CLC	aca	caa	acc	aaı	aca	clg	LLL	all	lal	Lac	caca	aaa	aag	a	602
603	tac	aca	cta	ttt	ata	aac	aca	cac	aac	aac	aac	aca	att	ttc	tat	cca	tct	ca	ctc	gtt	C	662
663	acc	tct	ccc	tct	att	ccg	ccg	ccg	ccg	caa	cgc	cct	cct	ccc	сса	ccc	acc	cato	gat	cta	a	722
723	acc	tgc	cgc	ctt	cct	cgc	ctc	ctt	cgg	gaa	gcc	tcg	agc	cag	tgt	ttt	gto	tg	ccc	ctc	a	782
783	gcc	ctc	ttt	ctg	gta	ttt	gct	tgc	ccg	ctc	ccc	cgg	tgt	gtg	cgt	gtg	tgt	gcg	gag	cgt	g	842
843	cga	gtg	tgt	gtg	tca	gtg	tgt	ctg	tgt	gtg	tgt	atg	tat	gta	tga	gat	cto	cagt	taa	agg	ſt	902
903	att	qat	taa																			911
			ر ر																			

Fig. 5 Nucleotide sequence of cDNA CsCP8.5 (GenBANK #AY752735). Conceptual translation of the open reading frame of 88 amino acids is shown in the one-letter representation below the respective codons. The signal sequence is underlined, the partial RR consensus sequence is bold and underlined, the asterisk indicates a stop codon. The poly (A) has been omitted from the figure.

number AY752735.

The second cDNA identified from the EST database is 778 base pairs and contains a 321 base-pair open reading frame beginning at nucleotide 26 and a polyadenylation signal beginning at nucleotide 762 (Fig. 6). The first 18 amino acid residues of the putative polypeptide are a signal sequence. The partial RR consensus sequence is located between amino acid residues 25 and 57 (Fig. 6). The deduced molecular mass is 8.2 kDa and the pI is 3.85. After the transcript was proven to be differentially expressed in mid-dorsal hypodermis (see below) it was named CsCP8.2 and submitted to GenBank as accession number AY752736.

The deduced amino acid sequence of CsCP8.5 is similar to CsCP8.2 (54% amino acid identity). A BLASTp search of CsCP8.5 in NCBI's non-redundant protein data base revealed homology with CAP-1 and CAP-2 from *P. clarkii* (66% and 35% amino acid identity, respectively). A search with CsCP8.2 also revealed homology with CAP-1 and CAP-2 from *P. clarkii* (80% and 60% amino acid identity, respectively). A comparison between the *C. sapidus* and *P. clarkii* sequences illustrates amino acid conservation between species both within and outside of the RR consensus region (Fig.7).

Quantitative PCR

Relative quantification was determined for each of the four transcripts (CsAMP8.1, CsAMP6.0, CsCP8.5, and CsCP8.2) in both calcified and arthrodial hypodermis throughout the molt cycle after normalizing to ribosomal protein L10 transcription as an endogenous control. CsAMP8.1 and CsAMP6.0 exhibited no measurable expression in mid-dorsal hypodermis at any time throughout the molt cycle

1	cagagecateaceacegeegacaceatgaagaeeettgtgeteteeettetegeeetgge	: 61
-18	<u>M K T L V L S L L A L A</u>	-7
62 -6	gtcctcgtcgctgcacggcccgagaacgtcctgaatctggatctggacgacatccaccag $\underline{V \ L \ V \ A \ A \ R}$ P E N V L N L D L D D I H Q	121 14
121 15	gacatggacatcgacgacaccaccatcaccggcacctaccgctggatgtctcccgagggc D M D I D D T T I T $\underline{\mathbf{G}}$ T Y R W M S P E $\underline{\mathbf{G}}$	181 34
181 35	actgagtatttcgtgcgctacattgctgacgagaagggttaccgcgtgctggagtccaac T E Y F V R \underline{Y} I \underline{A} D \underline{E} K \underline{G} \underline{Y} R V L E S N	241 54
242 55	gctgtgcccgtcaccgctgatggtacctttgctaacggcgctcaggggtctttgtcttca A V $\underline{\mathbf{P}}$ V T A D G T F A N G A Q G S L S S	301 74
302 75	gaggaggatgattcgaatgactctgatgatcgatttgattttgactgagtgtggggtttt E E D D S N D S D D R F D F D *	361 89
362	atacagtacctcaccgcagcaccaccgcagccacaacaggaccagagcatcactgtaccg	421
422	cgccacaccaaacaccaccgtcacctcaaatacactacgccacaataccgtgacacaata	481
482	tacacaccaccactacaacacaaagtatgaagtcatctcaatacagcaacaccacaatca	541
542	ctgcaccactccacacaaaacaccataaaaaatacactacaccac	601
602	accaccaccattacattacaaagcatatccccccccggggcctgcct	661
662	tccctttccccatcacccctccccccttttagtcatcacaaggcgggggtcgccgcg	721
722	ccttttttcaaactcaaccatgtatttcaactaataaatt <mark>aataaa</mark> agattgattcc	778

Fig. 6 Nucleotide sequence of cDNA CsCP8.2 (GenBANK #AY752736). Conceptual translation of the open reading frame of 89 amino acids is shown in the one-letter representation below the respective codons. The signal sequence is underlined, the partial RR consensus sequence is bold and underlined, the asterisk indicates a stop codon, and the consensus polyadenylation signal (AATAAA) is in a box. The poly (A) has been omitted from the figure.



Fig. 7 Deduced CsCP8.5 and CsCP8.2 amino acid sequences alignment with most similar sequences in NCBI database as determined by BLAST: *Procambarus clarkii* CAP-1 and CAP-2. Black highlight indicates identical residues, dark gray indicates conserved residues, and light gray indicates a block of similar residues. The Rebers-Riddiford consensus is beneath the alignment in bold.

(Figs 8b, 9b). Both transcripts showed continuous premolt and postmolt expression in arthrodial hypodermis with an increase after 24 hr (Figs 8a, 9a). CsCP8.5 was not expressed in arthrodial hypodermis either pre- or postmolt or in postmolt mid-dorsal hypodermis (Fig. 10a,b). However, it exhibited relatively high expression levels in mid-dorsal premolt stage D_2 and measurable expression in stage D_3 (Fig. 10a). CsCP8.2 also exhibited high expression levels in mid-dorsal hypodermis during stage D_2 and measurable expression in stage D_3 (Fig. 10a). In this case, expression was also recorded in at least one of the three crabs in mid-dorsal 0 hr and 48 hr tissue as well as arthrodial D_2 and 2 hr tissue (Fig.11a,b).

Northern Blots

Before transcript expression was assessed with Northern blots the specificity of the four DIG-probes was verified with a virtual Northern, which showed that each probe only bound with its appropriate cloned cDNA (data not shown). Northern blot analysis was performed to corroborate quantitative PCR results and expand the expression pattern analysis. Single RNA bands of approximately 575 nucleotides for both CsAMP8.1 and CsAMP6.0 were detected in arthrodial RNA samples D₂ through 32 days postmolt, but were absent in all mid-dorsal RNA samples (Fig. 12a,b). Signal intensity in the arthrodial RNA was high from premolt to 48 hours postmolt with no discernable changes in intensity until a decrease at 4 days and a continuing lower expression level through 32 days postmolt. Single bands for both CsCP8.5 and CsCP8.2 transcripts at approximately 1050 nucleotides were detected in mid-dorsal premolt (D₂ and D₃) RNA samples, but neither CsCP8.5 nor CsC8.2 was detected in arthrodial hypodermis either preor postmolt or in postmolt mid-dorsal hypodermis (Fig. 13a,b). None of the four transcripts



Fig. 8 Relative quantitative PCR for CsAMP8.1. Each data point represents cDNA from an individual crab (3 data points for each time period) analyzed on an ABI 7500 Real Time PCR System. D₂ and D₃ indicate pre-molt stages; times are after ecdysis. a) Arthrodial hypodermis. b) Mid-dorsal hypodermis.



Fig. 9 Relative quantitative PCR for CsCAMP6.0. Each data point represents cDNA from an individual crab (3 data points for each time period) analyzed on an ABI 7500 Real Time PCR System. D₂ and D₃ indicate pre-molt stages; times are after ecdysis. a) Arthrodial hypodermis. b) Mid-dorsal hypodermis.



Fig. 10 Relative quantitative PCR for CsCP8.5. Each data point represents cDNA from an individual crab (3 data points for each time period) analyzed on an ABI 7500 Real Time PCR System. D₂ and D₃ indicate pre-molt stages; times are after ecdysis. a) Arthrodial hypodermis. b) Mid-dorsal hypodermis.



Fig. 11 Relative quantitative PCR for CsCP8.2. Each data point represents cDNA from an individual crab (3 data points for each time period) analyzed on an ABI 7500 Real Time PCR System. D₂ and D₃ indicate pre-molt stages; times are after ecdysis. a) Arthrodial hypodermis. b) Mid-dorsal hypodermis.



Fig. 12 Northern Blots analysis of (a) CsAMP8.1 and (b) CsAMP6.0 transcripts. RNA (1µg) was separated on a 1% denaturing agarose gel, transferred to Immobilon-Ny+, and hybridized with a DIG-labeled probe. Time course is indicated at the top of each figure. D2 and D3 signify premolt RNA, subsequent numbers represent hours after ecdysis, numbers followed by the letter "d" represent days after ecdysis, and C4 signifies intermolt RNA. M indicates mid-dorsal hypodermis and A indicates arthrodial hypodermis.



Fig. 13 Northern Blots analysis of (a) CsCP8.5 and (b) CsCP8.2 transcripts. RNA (1µg) was separated on a 1% denaturing agarose gel, transferred to Immobilon-Ny+, and hybridized with a DIG-labeled probe. Time course is indicated at the top of each figure. D1, D2 and D3 signify premolt RNA, subsequent numbers represent hours after ecdysis, and C4 signifies intermolt RNA. M indicates mid-dorsal hypodermis and A indicates arthrodial hypodermis. were detected in intermolt (C_4) mid-dorsal or arthrodial hypodermis, hepatopancreas or hemocyte RNA (data not shown).

DISCUSSION

This study investigated the transcription of genes encoding cuticular proteins containing the Rebers-Riddiford consensus sequence in *C. sapidus*. cDNA sequences have been obtained for four such genes. The deduced polypeptides of all four transcripts contain a signal sequence indicating that they are synthesized in epithelial cells of the hypodermis and secreted to the cuticle to function in the extracellular matrix. Spatial and temporal expression patterns were determined for each of the transcripts by quantitative PCR and Northern blot analysis.

Two of the cDNA transcripts identified in this study, CsAMP8.1 and CsAMP6.0, are similar. Both terminate in three basic amino acid residues. Interestingly, the cDNA transcript of the *P. clarkii* calcified cuticle protein CAP-1 (Inoue et al., 2003) as well as the precursor of ecdysis-triggering hormone associated peptide (ETH-AP) of *Manduca sexta* (Zitnan et al., 1999) end with two basic amino acid residues, RK, that are missing from the mature forms of these proteins. In addition, several cDNAs identified in *C. sapidus* calcified cuticle contain a basic amino acid 4-residue motif, RxKR (Kennedy et

al., unpublished) that is absent from the directly sequenced homologous proteins found in *H. americanus* (Kragh et al., 1997; Nousiainen et al., 1998) and *C. pagurus* (Andersen, 1999). In all cases, it has been assumed that these residues are removed by carboxypeptidase B or E, but the significance of this removal is unknown (Inoue et al., 2003). Although there is no

direct evidence at present, it is hypothesized that the C-terminal basic residues in CsAMP8.1 and CsAMP6.0 will not be present in the mature proteins.

The deduced amino acid sequences of both CsAMP8.1 and CsAMP6.0 contain RR-1 consensus sequences. RR-1 is found in the soft cuticles of insects (Andersen, 1998A) and crustaceans (Andersen, 1998B). Expression patterns evaluated with quantitative PCR and Northern blots showed that CsAMP8.1 and CsAMP6.0 are produced only in uncalcified arthrodial hypodermis, as expected for RR-1 containing proteins (Figs 8, 9, 12). These *C. sapidus* transcripts are homologous with a variety of uncalcified crustacean cuticle proteins (Figs 2, 4) within the RR-1 consensus and throughout the length of the sequences. The cDNA transcripts for the homologous *M. japonicus* DD9A and DD9B were expressed in the lateral region of the tail fan where calcification does not occur in this species, but not in the medial calcified regions (Watanabe et al., 2000). These data suggest that a group of related proteins containing the RR-1 consensus sequence are not only essential to crustacean joint arthrodial membrane but, in a broader sense, to all crustacean cuticle that remains uncalcified.

The temporal expression pattern of CsAMP8.1 and CsAMP6.0 indicated by Q-PCR shows the highest levels of expression 24 through 48 hours postmolt (Figs 8, 9). At this time large amounts of endocuticle are being deposited. The transcripts continue to be expressed but with declining intensity in the arthrodial membrane through 32 days postmolt before disappearing from intermolt tissue (Fig. 12). Thus, transcript expression is continuous from the beginning of synthesis of pre-exuvial cuticle through the end of postmolt endocuticle synthesis. This pattern has been recognized in insect cuticle proteins containing the RR-1 consensus sequence. For example, *Manduca sexta* (Riddiford et al., 1986) and *Drosophila melanogaster* (Rebers and Riddiford, 1988) both have transcripts which disappear when larve stop producing

endocuticle. Additionally, DD9A and DD9B from *M. japonicus* are also only expressed during endocuticule synthesis (Watanabe et al., 2000). The high levels of expression of CsAMP8.1 and CsAMP6.0 during endocuticle deposition suggest that these proteins are structurally important to arthrodial membranes. As structural elements of the exoskeleton, these proteins should be continually synthesized until a complete cuticle has been constructed.

The remaining two C. sapidus cDNA transcripts identified in this study, CsCP8.5 and CsCP8.2, are also similar to each other. Both deduced polypeptides contain RR consensus sequences. Expression patterns evaluated with quantitative PCR and Northern blots revealed that these transcripts are expressed principally in premolt mid-dorsal hypodermis. CsCP8.5 is expressed in mid-dorsal premolt (D_2 and D_3) only (Fig. 10). Quantitative PCR results for CsCP8.2 showed transcript expression in mid-dorsal 0 hr and 48 hr, arthrodial D₂ and 2 hr as well as in mid-dorsal premolt (D_2 and D_3) tissue (Fig 11). However, only mid-dorsal D_2 exhibits measurable expression levels in all three crab RNA samples. Expression in mid-dorsal 0 hr (immediately post-ecdysis) tissue is not entirely surprising. Zero hour tissue was removed immediately after the crab was completely free from the old carapace, but there is a great deal of variation among crabs in the time from the first "busting" (suture opening) to this point. It is possible that the individual crab expressing CsCP8.2 at 0 hours postmolt pulled very quickly out of its old exoskeleton and still contained premolt transcripts at the time of sacrifice. The expression shown in D₂ arthrodial hypodermis may be due to contamination with cuticle destined to calcify as it is not always easy to cleanly separate the two regions from the soft pre-exuvial cuticle. The expression levels identified in arthrodial 2-hr hypodermis and mid-dorsal 48-hr hypodermis cannot be explained as easily, except perhaps by individual crab variation. Northern

blot analysis of CsCP8.5 and CsCP8.2, using one single RNA sample per time point, showed expression for both transcripts only in premolt (D₂ and D₃) mid-dorsal hypodermis (Fig. 13).

The deduced amino acid sequences of CsCP8.5 and CsCP8.2 are homologous with P. clarkii calcium-associated peptides, CAP-1 and CAP-2 (Fig. 7). They are also similar to the RRlike region of *M. japonicus* crustocalcin (Endo et al., 2004). Much like the CAP proteins, CsCP8.5 and CsCP8.2 have highly acidic N- and C- terminal ends. CsCP8.5 has 11 acidic residues of 41 in the N-terminus and 7 acidic residues of 29 in the C- terminus (Fig. 5), while CsCP8.2 has 8 acidic residues of 24 in the N-terminus and 10 acidic residues of 32 in the Cterminus (Fig. 6). These acidic ends have been suggested to facilitate calcium binding and nucleation (Inoue et al., 2001, 2003, 2004). Crustocalcin also has a highly acidic region located adjacent to and downstream from the RR-like consensus sequence. It has been hypothesized that the function of this acidic region is similar to that of the N- and C- terminals of the CAP proteins (Endo et al., 2004). Crustocalcin, CAP-1 and CAP-2 are chitin-binding, calcium-binding matrix peptides that may be responsible for crystal nucleation in *M. japonicus* (Endo et al., 2004) and *P.* clarkii (Inoue et al., 2004) respectively. Although the function of the identified transcripts CsCP8.5 and CsCP8.2 was not determined in this study, their homology with CAP-1 and CAP-2 suggests that they could be responsible for crystal nucleation in the exocuticle of *C. sapidus*. Current models assume that calcifying cuticles contain matrix molecules which can facilitate the initiation and growth of calcium carbonate crystals (Coblentz et al., 1998; Andersen, 1999).

If the *P. clarkii* proteins CAP-1 and CAP-2 and the *C. sapidus* proteins CsCP8.5 and CsCP8.2 are in fact nucleation sites for calcium, then the peptides in question must be responsible for nucleation in different cuticle layers because the transcript expression patterns are different. CAP-1 and CAP-2 transcripts are only expressed in postmolt tissue at which time the

exoskeleton is being calcified (Inoue et al., 2003, 2004). The crustocalcin transcript is also only expressed in postmolt tissue and immunostaining was only able to detect it in endocuticle (Endo et al., 2004). This indicates that these proteins are produced and incorporated into the depositing endocuticle and are immediately active nucleators for calcium carbonate. CsCP8.5 and CsCP8.2 transcripts were not expressed in early premolt (D_1) mid-dorsal tissue during epicuticle deposition. However, both transcripts were expressed in D_2 and D_3 mid-dorsal tissue, at which time the pre-exuvial exocuticle layer is being deposited, but no calcification is occurring. This expression pattern suggests that CsCP8.5 and CsCP8.2 are produced and incorporated into the pre-exuvial exocuticle but remain inactive until postmolt calcification begins. This activation is likely related to the post-ecdysial cuticle alteration (PECA) seen in C. sapidus in the early hours post molt, a constellation of events that relates changes in the protein composition to the ability of the cuticle to nucleate calcium carbonate (Shafer et al., 1995). A model has been proposed that small acidic protein nucleation sites are present in the cuticle before ecdysis, but are shielded by larger proteins until after ecdysis (Coblentz et al., 1998). After ecdysis the inhibitory macromolecules are altered during the PECA, leaving the nucleation sites exposed and able to bind ions and initiate crystal growth (Coblentz et al., 1998). The expression patterns of CsCP8.5 and CsCP8.2 combined with their sequence homology with CAP-1 and CAP-2 and small size indicates that these may be the nucleating sites in the Coblentz (1998) C. sapidus calcification model.

Expression patterns of the calcified cuticle proteins in both *C. sapidus* and *P. clarkii* show a narrow window of time for message production. If these proteins are acting as nucleators they could be considered a catalytic element, as opposed to structural material necessary for the production of cuticle. As such, they would not need to be present in bulk but rather incorporated

into the cuticle only at specific locations. Once nucleation has been established, crystal growth would continue throughout the exocuticle and additional message for nucleating proteins would be unnecessary.

REFERENCES

- Addadi, L. and Weiner, S. (1985). Interactions between acidic proteins and crystals: Stereochemical requirements in biomineralization. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. 82, 4110-4114.
- Andersen, S. O. (1998). Amino acid sequence studies of endocuticular proteins from the desert locust, *Schistocerca gregaria*. *Insect Biochem. Mol. Biol.* 28, 421-434.
- Andersen, S. O. (1998). Characterization of proteins from arthrodial membranes of the lobster, *Homarus americanus. Comp. Biochem. Physiol.* 121A, 375-383.
- Andersen, S. O. (1999). Exoskeletal proteins from the crab, *Cancer pagurus. Comp. Biochem. Physiol.* 123A, 203-211.
- Andersen, S. O. (2000). Studies on proteins in post-ecdysial nymphal cuticle of locust, *Locusta migratoria*, and cockroach, *Blaberus craniifer*. *Insect Biochem. Mol. Biol.* 30, 569-577.
- Coblentz, F. E., Towle, D. W. and Shafer, T. H. (2005). Expressed sequence tags from normalized cDNA libraries prepared from gill and hypodermal tissue of the blue crab, *Callinectes sapidus*. The Society for Integrative and Comparative Biology. San Diego, CA.
- Coblentz, F. E., Shafer, T. H. and Roer, R. (1998). Cuticular proteins from the blue crab alter in vitro calcium carbonate mineralization. *Comp. Biochem. Physiol.* 121B, 349-360.
- Dillaman, R. M., Hequembourg, S. and Gay, M. (in press). The Early pattern of calcification in the dorsal carapace of the blue crab, *Callinectes sapidus*. J. Morph.
- Drach, P. and Tchernigovtzeff, C. (1967). Sur la methode de determination des stades d'intermue et son application generale aux crustaces. *Vie Milieu Ser. A:Biol. Mar.* 18, 595-610.
- Endo, H., Persson, P. and Watanabe, T. (2000). Molecular cloning of the crustacean DD4 cDNA encoding a Ca²⁺ -binding protein. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Com.* 276, 286-291.
- Endo, H., Takagi, Y., Ozaki, N., Kogure, T. and Watanabe, T. (2004). A crustacean Ca²⁺ binding protein with a glutamate-rich sequence promotes CaCO₃ crystallization. *Biochem. J.* 384, 159-167.
- Giraud-Guille, M. M. and Quintana, C. (1982). Secondary ion microanalysis of the crab calcified cuticle: Distribution of mineral elements and interactions with the cholestric organic matrix. *Biol. Cell.* 44, 57-68.

- Hepburn, H.R. and Chandler, H.D. (1976). Material properties of arthropod cuticles: The arthrodial membrane. J. Comp. Physiol. 109, 177-178.
- Inoue, H., Ozaki, N. and Nagasawa, H. (2001). Purification and structural determination of a phosphorylated peptide with anti-calcification and chitin-binding activities in the exoskeleton of the crayfish, *Procambarus clakii. Biosci. Biotech. Biochem.* 65, 1840-1848.
- Inoue, H., Ohira, N., Ozaki, N. and Nagasawa, H. (2003). Cloning and expression of a cDNA encoding a matrix peptide associated with calcification in the exoskeleton of the crayfish. *Comp. Biochem. Physiol.* 136B, 755-765.
- Inoue, H., Ohira, T., Ozaki, N. and Nagasawa, H. (2004). A novel calcium-binding peptide from the cuticle of the crayfish, *Procambuarus clarkii. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Com.* 318, 649-654.
- Kennedy, P. J. (2004) Characterization of a gene family associated with calcified structures in the blue crab, *Callinectes sapidus*. M.S. Thesis, University of North Carolina at Wilmington, USA.
- Kragh, M., Molbak, L. and Andersen, S.O. (1997). Cuticular proteins from the lobster, *Homarus americanus. Comp. Biochem. Physiol.* 118B, 147-154.
- Lowenstam H. A., and Weiner, S. (1989). On Biomineralization. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Neville, A. C. (1975). Biology of the Arthropod Cuticle. New York: Springer.
- Nousiainen, M., Rafn, K., Shou, L., Røepstorff, P. and Andersen, S.O. (1998) Characterization of exoskeletal proteins from the American lobster, *Homarus americanus*. Comp. Biochem. Physiol. 119B, 189-199.
- Passano, L. M. (1960). Molting and its control. In The Physiology of the Crustacea (ed T. H. Waterman), pp. 473-536. New York: Academic Press, Inc.
- Rebers, J. E. and Riddiford, L. M. (1988). Structure and expression of a *Manduca sexta* larval cuticle gene homologous to *Drosophila* cuticle genes. J. Mol. Biol. 203, 411-423.
- Rebers, J. E. and Willis, J. H. (2001). A conserved domain in arthropod cuticular proteins binds chitin. *Insect Biochem. Molec. Biol.* 31, 1083-1093.
- Riddiford, L. M., Baeckmann, A., Hice, R. H. and Rebers, J. E. (1986). Developmental expression of three genes for larval cuticular proteins of the tobacco hornworm, *Manduca sexta. Develop. Biol.* 118, 82-94.

- Roer, R. D. and Dillaman, R. M. (1984). The structure and calcification of the crustacean cuticle. *Amer. Zool.* 24, 893-909.
- Shafer, T. H., Roer, R. D., Miller, C. G. and Dillamen, R. M. (1995). Postecdysial cuticle alteration in the blue crab, *Calliectes sapidus*. J. Exp. Zool. 271, 171-182.
- Tweedie, E. P., Coblentz, F. E. and Shafer, T. H. (2004). Purification of a soluble glycoprotein from the uncalcified ecdysial cuticle of the blue crab *Callinectes sapidus* and its possible role in initial mineralization. *J. Exp. Biol.* 207, 2589-2598
- Watanabe, T., Persson, P., Endo, H., and Kono, M. (2000). Molecular analysis of two genes, DD9A and B, which are expressed during the postmolt stage in the decapod crustacean *Penaeus japonicus. Comp. Biochem. Physiol.* 125B, 127-136.
- Williams, C. L., Dillaman, R. M., Elliot, E. A. and Gay, D. M. (2003). Formation of the arthrodial membrane in the blue crab, *Callinectes sapidus*. J. Morph. 256, 260-269.
- Zitnan, D., Hollar, L., Spalovska, I., Takac, P., Zitnanova, I., Gill, S.S., and Adams, M.E. (2002). Molecular cloning and function of ecdysis-triggering hormones in the silkworm *Bombyx mori. J. Exp. Biol.* 205, 3459-3473.