

EFFECTS OF THE ADDITION OF DREDGED SEDIMENT TO A MARSH ECOSYSTEM  
ON BENTHIC MICROALGAL BIOMASS

Gina M. Panasik

A Thesis Submitted to the  
University of North Carolina at Wilmington in Partial Fulfillment  
Of the Requirements for the Degree of  
Master of Science

Department of Biological Sciences  
University of North Carolina at Wilmington

2003

Approved by

Advisory Committee

---

Chair

Accepted by

---

Dean, Graduate School

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT .....	iii
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS .....	iv
DEDICATION .....	v
LIST OF TABLES .....	vi
LIST OF FIGURES .....	vii
INTRODUCTION .....	1
METHODS .....	7
RESULTS .....	13
Pre-sediment addition .....	13
Post-sediment addition .....	16
DISCUSSION .....	20
LITERATURE CITED .....	26

## ABSTRACT

Estuarine marsh ecosystems offer enormous amounts of biological activity and resources to the environment, comprising about 83 percent of the coastal wetlands in the conterminous United States. Deteriorating marsh systems have become more numerous in back barrier salt marshes of the southeastern North Carolina coastal plain and other coastal zone areas. Loss of sediment from these diebacks results in loss of nutrients from the ecosystem, which can in turn affect the biomass of benthic microalgae. Believed to be among the most important primary producer in these ecosystems, it is important to find some way to reverse the negative effects of marsh deterioration. One way which sediment deficits may be offset is by artificially introducing inorganic sediment to deteriorating marshes. This was done to both deteriorated and non-deteriorated sections of marsh on Masonboro Estuarine Research Reserve, NC. Dredge sediment from the Atlantic Intracoastal Waterway was added in increments of 0mm-25.4mm, 25.4mm-76.2mm, and 76.2mm-102mm to the marsh surface. Mean sediment chlorophyll *a* samples were taken in non-deteriorated and deteriorated sites both pre and post-sediment addition. Monthly sediment chlorophyll *a* means increased from an average of 13.3 mg chlorophyll *a* m<sup>-2</sup> for degraded and 66.2 mg chlorophyll *a* m<sup>-2</sup> for non-degraded pre-sediment addition to an average of 92.74 mg chlorophyll *a* m<sup>-2</sup> for degraded and 81.89 mg chlorophyll *a* m<sup>-2</sup> for non-degraded post-sediment addition. Both deteriorated and non-deteriorated sites saw a significant increase in benthic microalgae biomass post-sediment addition. The amount of sediment added and the grain size of the amended material had no significant effect on biomass.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I'd like to thank my advisor Dr. Lawrence Cahoon for all of his time, patience, and irreplaceable advice. I'd also like to thank my committee members, Dr. Lynn Leonard for all of her help and guidance in my fieldwork, and Dr. Paul Hosier for all of his guidance in the academic realm. This project would never have been completed without the help of my field research partner, Alex Croft. And finally, I'd like to thank Dr. Fred Wasserman of Boston University whose pure love of science and teaching made me always want to learn more.

## DEDICATION

For my father, Edward Anthony Panasik, who never got to see me achieve any of my academic goals.

## LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
1. Comparison of pre-sediment addition benthic microalgal biomass vs. sampling time (January 2000-May 2000) and marsh health (non-deteriorated vs. deteriorated) using two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA).....	14
2. Comparison of post-sediment addition benthic microalgal biomass vs. sampling time (June 2000-July 2001), sediment addition (thin, intermediate, thick) and sampling site (non-deteriorated vs. deteriorated) using three-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). <i>A posteriori</i> test (Tukey-Kramer) showed that all sediment additions (thin, intermediate, thick) were significantly ( $p<0.05$ ) different from no sediment addition but not different among them .....	17
3. Mean mg chlorophyll <i>a</i> m <sup>-2</sup> results from previous studies taken in nearby North Carolina estuaries.....	21

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Page
1. Figure 1. Map of Masonboro Island. NAD 83 North Carolina State Plane Units (ft) (courtesy of CJ Jackson, 2003).....	8
2. Aerial photograph of location of sample sites along channel in back Barrier marsh of Masonboro Island. Non-det unamended=non-Deteriorated site with no sediment addition while Det. Unamended=Deteriorated site with no sediment addition. I and II represent the first And second non-deteriorated and deteriorated sites, which are determined By color. Red blocks represent non-deteriorated sites while blue Blocks represent deteriorated.....	9
3. Diagram of sediment addition segments within study sites .....	12
4. Comparison of mean mg chlorophyll <i>a</i> m <sup>-2</sup> of non-deteriorated sites And deteriorated sites by month (January 2000-May 2000) prior to Sediment addition. May2 indicates a second set of samples taken in May 2000 and error bars indicate standard deviation .....	15
5. Comparison of all unamended sites and post-sediment addition average Monthly chlorophyll <i>a</i> of amended sites where ND=non-deteriorated Sites, D=deteriorated sites, and U=unamended sites .....	18
6. Scatterplot of mean chlorophyll <i>a</i> mg m <sup>-2</sup> when plotted against mean Sediment grain size that is <125 μm. Higher chlorophyll <i>a</i> numbers Are expressed when the percent of sediment <125 μm is low .....	24