Dynamic light filtering over dermal opsin as a sensory feedback system in fish color change
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Dynamic color change has evolved multiple times, with a physiological basis that has been repeatedly linked to dermal photoreception via the study of excised skin preparations. Despite the widespread prevalence of dermal photoreception, both its physiology and its function in regulating color change remain poorly understood. By examining the morphology, physiology, and optics of dermal photoreception in hogfish (Lachnolaimus maximus), we describe a cellular mechanism in which chromatophore pigment activity (i.e., dispersion and aggregation) alters the transmitted light striking SWS1 receptors in the skin. When dispersed, chromatophore pigment selectively absorbs the short-wavelength light required to activate the skin's SWS1 opsin, which we localized to a morphologically specialized population of putative dermal photoreceptors. As SWS1 is nested beneath chromatophores and thus subject to light changes from pigment activity, one possible function of dermal photoreception in hogfish is to monitor chromatophores to detect information about color change performance. This framework of sensory feedback provides insight into the significance of dermal photoreception among color-changing animals.
color. Evidence of dermal photoreception, such as for cephalopods and fish, includes phototransduction proteins (e.g., opsins) of the retina co-occurring in the skin and incident light on excised skin patches inducing a color-change response. In live animals, however, support is lacking for the direct capacity of dermal photoreception to regulate color change, leaving the function of dermal photoreception in dynamic color change unknown. One hypothesis states that dermal photosensitivity may allow for the regulation of color change independently of inputs from ocular vision. The putative benefits of this strategy include reduced demands of sensory processing for color change or the possibility of light detection outside of the field of view or spectral sensitivity of the eyes. Another hypothesis states that dermal photoreception may locally affect color change within a broader system of control that may coordinate with the central nervous system. This possibility could allow monitoring of chromatophore color change within a feedback system, not unlike the intrinsic photosensitivity of light organs in certain mesopelagic shrimp and the bobtail squid thought to help regulate outputs of bioluminescence. For color change, however, evidence is lacking to support these possibilities, leading to questions about how and why dermal photoreception and color change may be linked.

Our understanding of dermal photoreception in color change is largely based on studies of gene expression (e.g., refs. 20,21), which have indicated that opsins and other phototransduction components expressed in the skin have varying similarities to the phototransduction components of the retina. In color-changing vertebrates, including the hogfish (Lachnolaimus maximus), dermal photoreception may incorporate several opsins types and mediate phototransduction via a cAMP-dependent cascade. Both ‘non-visual’ type (e.g., melanopsin) and ‘visual’ type opsins (e.g., RHI, SWSI) have been identified and implicated in chromatophore activation among vertebrates, with a particular role evident for SWSI (short-wavelength-sensitive-1) opsins. In the hogfish (Lachnolaimus maximus), Moorish gecko (Tarentola mauritanica), summer flounder (Paralichthys dentatus), and Nile tilapia (Oreochromis niloticus), regardless of expressing a single or multiple dermal opsins, SWSI opsin has been consistently identified in chromatophore-containing skin. These studies have shown that, relative to other opsins, SWSI opsin has the highest expression levels in the skin (in hogfish and others) and that SWSI activation, at least within in vitro skin preparations of the Nile tilapia, can directly mediate chromatophore responses to light. Though these studies evidence a relationship between dermal opsins and dynamic color change, we still lack knowledge about the functional organization of this system—perhaps critical for understanding the significance of dermal photoreception in living animals.

In addition to studies of gene expression, those examining the arrangement of dermal opsins relative to other components in skin provide key insights into the potential functions of dermal photoreception. We know from a limited number of studies that visual-type opsins can be expressed either within chromatophores or more diffusely, in surrounding cell types. In the Nile tilapia (Oreochromis niloticus), such opsins have been localized to chromatophores using single-cell reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR). Protein localization of opsin and inferred function of dermal photoreception, however, is better described for certain invertebrates. In the inshore squid (Doryteuthis pealeii), rhodopsin has been localized to several cell types comprising chromatophore organs: the pigment cells, radial muscle fibers, and sheath cells, which may individually or synergistically respond to incident light. In the ophiuroid (Ophiocoma wendtii), other dermal opsins (previously implicated in echinoderm vision) were localized to putative photoreceptor cells found between chromatophores, which may serve as screening pigments that confer directionality to dermal photoreception. Despite the widespread prevalence and shared physiological characteristics of dermal photoreception among diverse vertebrates, a similar study examining the optical organization of opsin within skin is lacking for any vertebrate system. Our goal was to conduct such a study, examining design principles of dermal photoreception to better understand the functional significance of this sense in color-changing skin.

The subject of our study, the hogfish (Perciformes: Labridae; Fig. 1), is the largest and most economically valuable wrasse of the western North Atlantic Ocean. Its distinguishing features include hermaphroditic and harem reproductive strategies, which may incorporate color change as a form of social signaling in addition to background-matching camouflage. Post-settlement, both males and females are capable of dynamic color change (within one second or less, Schweikert pers. observation) between at least three chromatic morphs: uniform white, uniform reddish-brown, and a mottled coloration (Fig. 1). Studies are lacking however, on the underlying physiology of hogfish color change.

Fig. 1 | Dynamic color change of hogfish (Lachnolaimus maximus). Hogfish are capable of undergoing rapid changes in skin coloration between at least three chromatic appearances (a). Color change is achieved by aggregating (b) and dispersing (c) pigment granules in chromatophores to generate light and dark cell appearances, respectively. Scale bars equal 100 μm. Panel (a) is modified from Schweikert and colleagues (2018).
In this work, we used approaches in immunohistochemistry, confocal and transmission electron microscopy, sequenced-based spectral sensitivity estimation, and microspectrophotometry (MSP) to investigate the physical and optical relationship between SWS1 opsin and chromatophores in hogfish skin. Our results show that SWS1-opsin expression is localized to a morphologically specialized population of cells existing beneath chromatophores and that chromatophore pigment selectively absorbs the wavelengths of SWS1 peak spectral sensitivity. As SWS1 receptors appear subject to light changes from pigment activity (aggregation and dispersion), the predicted function of dermal photoreception in hogfish is to detect these shifts in chromatophore pigment in order to obtain sensory feedback about color change performance.

Results

Chromatophores and color change

Three types of chromatophores with differing pigments were identified by light microscopy of en face preparations of hogfish skin: black melanophores, red erythrophores, and yellow xanthophores (Fig. 1). Chromatophores were arranged in a horizontal array, existing within a thin dermal tissue layer found on top of the fish’s scales. The light white, dark red, and mottled appearances of hogfish skin (Fig. 1a) are achieved by the aggregation and dispersion (Fig. 1b, c) of chromatophore pigment, respectively. Observation of white reflectivity and blue iridescence in the aggregated pigment preparations (Fig. 1b) suggests the presence of leucophores or iridophores in hogfish skin; however, the presence of these chromatophore types has yet to be observed in our analyses by transmission electron microscopy.

SWS1 immunofluorescence

We performed anti-opsin immunofluorescence to localize SWS1 expression in hogfish skin (Fig. 2). Skin cross sections revealed a dense layer of epidermal cell nuclei overlaying chromatophores and surrounding cell types on top of the fish’s scales. SWS1-immunolabeling was localized directly beneath the pigment of chromatophores, not in a continuous layer in skin, but in discrete positions found beneath individual, contiguous chromatophores (Fig. 2a–c). Using differential interference contrast (DIC) microscopy, SWS1 expression was indicated beneath melanophores (Fig. 2c, d); however, the low optical density of erythrophores and xanthophores made it difficult to identify these cells in micrographs by pigment color. Thus, SWS1 expression beneath these chromatophore types was inferred from the adjacent positioning of these cells as shown by light microscopy (Fig. 1) and transmission electron microscopy (Fig. 3). Specificity and sensitivity of the SWS1-opsin antibody were validated by the lack of expression in control preparations and positive labeling of a cone photoreceptor population in cross section of hogfish retina (Fig. 2e, f).

Skin ultrastructure and SWS1 immunogold labeling

To assess the subcellular ultrastructure supporting SWS1-opsin expression, we conducted transmission electron microscopy (TEM) of ultrathin cross sections of hogfish skin (Fig. 3). Electron micrographs revealed expected elements of fish skin morphology, including the presence of orthogonal collagen lamina found immediately above the chromatophore cells, which showed characteristic differences in the electron density of their pigment (Fig. 3b). Further, micrographs revealed a distinct population of an unknown cell type existing directly beneath chromatophores (Fig. 3). These cells were densely filled with a reticulated membrane, bearing a morphology unlike that known for cell organelles. Section orientation did not change this observation, as the reticulated membrane had the same morphology in both cross-sectional and en face planes (Fig. 3 and Supplementary Fig. 1). As shown for SWS1 immunofluorescence, the membrane-filled cells were found beneath each adjacent chromatophore cell (Fig. 3b and Supplementary Fig. 2).

The two were always coupled, with no instances of membrane-filled cells lacking an overlying chromatophore. Notably, the membrane-filled cells did not appear wider or offset from chromatophores; rather, the margins of both cell types were vertically aligned (Fig. 3b and Supplementary Fig. 2). The SWS1 immunofluorescence (Fig. 2) was therefore, colocalized to the reticulated membrane structure, suggesting expression of SWS1 opsin within these cells and their function as putative photoreceptors. To further explore this possibility, we conducted anti-SWS1 opsin immunogold labeling of skin cross sections and found positive immunoreactivity in the reticulated membrane structure of these cells (Fig. 4a, b). Though the ultrastructural resolution was limited due to conflict between the tissue treatments that preserve ultrastructure and those that permit antibody binding, positive SWS1 immunoreactivity was observed in the underlying cells but not within control preparations (Fig. 4c, d).

SWS1 spectral sensitivity estimate

To begin exploring the optical effects of chromatophores overlying the SWS1 receptors, we used a sequence alignment technique to estimate the spectral sensitivity of the hogfish SWS1 opsin. Our goal was to identify the known sequence with the highest homology SWS1 gene to that of hogfish, encoding an SWS1 cone opsin with a previously published wavelength of peak sensitivity (λ_max). Using data from the skin transcriptome reported by Schweikert and colleagues, we assessed the similarity of the hogfish SWS1 opsin to archived genes using the BLASTx feature provided by the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI). From this output, the SWS1 opsin with the highest homology sequence to that of hogfish came from the night aulonocara (Aulonocara hueseri; family Cichlidae, Genbank accession AV775100.1), which has known SWS1 λ_max of 415 nm. The alignment of these two sequences indicated amino acid residues at known SWS1 spectral-tuning sites that were nearly identical between the species (Fig. 5). Of the 13 spectral-tuning sites identified, only the substitutions were S97C and M116V (Fig. 5), which from related studies of site-directed mutagenesis, are predicted to confer small effects on SWS1 spectral sensitivity, shifting λ_max at most a few nanometers. Thus, the hogfish SWS1 opsin has an estimated λ_max centering on 415 nm, falling within the known λ_max range for all vertebrate SWS1 opsin (i.e., 360–410 nm).

Chromatophore microspectrophotometry

The alignment of chromatophores over putative photoreceptors (Fig. 3) indicates that ambient light must first pass through chromatophores before striking SWS1 opsin in hogfish skin, and thus, we were interested in determining the effects of chromatophore pigment on light transmission using microspectrophotometry (MSP). We passed broad-spectrum white light through the dispersed pigment of each chromatophore type (n = 60 cells each for melanophores, erythrophores, and xanthophores) to measure transmittance ranging from 400 to 700 nm wavelengths. Light was passed through unpigmented tissue between chromatophores as a reference, allowing the spectral transmittance of the chromatophore alone to be calculated. For all three chromatophore types, transmittance was positively correlated with wavelength. The mean spectra of erythrophores and xanthophores revealed sharp transitions between regions of low and high transmittance, occurring at roughly 550 nm and 488 nm, respectively (Fig. 6). By comparison, melanophores had relatively low transmittance that increased slowly and uniformly. All of the chromatophore types, however, strongly attenuated light over the spectral range of known vertebrate SWS1 opsin sensitivity, and specifically of the predicted hogfish SWS1 sensitivity curve (λ_max = 415 nm) as revealed by visual pigment template fitting, with a ~50%, 85%, and 90% reduction of short-wavelength light transmission shown for xanthophores, erythrophores, and melanophores, respectively (Fig. 6).
**Fig. 2** | SWS1 opsin expression in hogfish skin and retina. In skin cross section, immunolabeling of SWS1 opsin (green) is found beneath the chromatophore layer (white arrows; a). SWS1 expression is shown beneath melanophores (black-filled triangles) and erythrophores (white triangles; b–d). In control preparations without primary antibody, immunolabeling of SWS1 opsin in skin cross section is absent (e). In retinal cross section, SWS1 immunolabeling (green) of cone photoreceptor outer segments serves as a positive control (f). Rod photoreceptor outer segments are indicated by anti-rhodopsin immunolabeling (red), and all cell nuclei are stained with DAPI (blue). All scale bars equal 10 μm.
Discussion

The expression of SWS1 opsin in hogfish skin suggests dermal spectral sensitivity that coincides with the availability of short-wavelength light that predominates their coral reef habitat but spectrally contrasts with the long-wavelength pigmentation of their skin. This gives way to two possible, though not mutually exclusive, functions of dermal photoreception as previously posited for color change: (1) to monitor extrinsic information about environmental light, or (2) to monitor intrinsic information about skin coloration. The position of SWS1 receptors beneath chromatophores lends support to the latter possibility, providing insights into how and why dermal photoreception is coupled to color change.

Functional organization of dermal photoreception

As opsins are transmembrane proteins, membrane surface area is correlated with sensitivity. In the vertebrate retina, opsin expression can occur within free-floating discs or laminar invaginations of cell membrane as are found in rod and cone photoreceptors, respectively. Based on the localized SWS1-immunolabeling in hogfish skin and previous studies identifying opsins directly within chromatophores (e.g., ref. 16), we expected to see similar modifications of the chromatophore cell membrane. Surprisingly, however, we found a distinct and unknown cell type with significant membrane specialization existing beneath the chromatophores, which was both colocalized to and immunogold-labeled as the location of SWS1 expression. Though the undifferentiated morphology of this reticulated membrane is unlike the derived morphology of ciliary photoreceptors, for example, and may exist to provide a large surface area for opsin expression. The reason for this high surface area (and putative enhancement in sensitivity) is unknown but may relate to maintaining dermal photosensitivity under dim light levels that hogfish may experience when reaching oceanic depths of 20 to 45 m or more. Similar to retinal photoreceptors, these cells may be morphologically and functionally specialized for light reception in the skin. To our knowledge, specialized photoreceptor cells have not been reported in the skin of vertebrates, and thus, the discovery of this cell opens up avenues of research in comparative photoreceptor physiology. Although these data do not rule out the possibility that other non-visual-type dermal opsins (e.g., melanopsin) are expressed within chromatophores or surrounding cells, these findings provide insights into the function of the most abundant opsin in hogfish skin.

Our findings are in line with those of Sumner-Rooney and colleagues, who found a distinct population of putative photoreceptors between chromatophores in the skin of brittle stars (Ophiocoma wendtii and O. pumila) that appear subject to light changes from chromatophore pigment migration. In contrast to our study, they found that photoreceptors exist adjacent to chromatophores, with pigment migration creating separate sampling stations over photoreceptors that might confer conformational vision. In hogfish, the putative photoreceptors were found beneath (not adjacent to) chromatophores, with cell boundaries that were vertically aligned. The arrangement of this system, with photoreceptors close to one another and directly beneath chromatophores, decreases the likelihood that pigment migration creates separate sampling stations that confer directional vision. This arrangement, however, could allow photoreceptors to detect changes in overlying pigment in order to monitor color change performance–a possibility that is only true if pigment alters the light in a way that is physiologically relevant for SWS1 activation.

Previous site-directed mutagenesis experiments have revealed the key spectral tuning sites that alter SWS1 spectral sensitivity, and as ciliary opsins are highly conserved, a sequence alignment technique provides a tractable method for estimation. Amino acid

Fig. 3 | Transmission electron micrographs of skin cross sections in hogfish. A reticulated membrane structure is contained within a population of cells located beneath chromatophores (a, b). These membrane-containing cells are shown beneath a melanophore (black-filled triangle) and an erythrophore (white triangle; a, b). c = collagen fibers, rm = reticulated membrane structure, n = nuclei. Scale bars equal 2 μm.
substitutions at positions 86, 90, and 93 (relative to a bovine rhodopsin standard) are known to generate the largest changes in SWS1 sensitivity, shifting $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ from ultraviolet to blue light\textsuperscript{37}. Here, the alignment of the hogfish SWS1 to that of another teleost fish (the night aulonocara) indicated high homology of spectral tuning sites, with only two substitutions at positions 97 and 116. Though the effects of these exact amino acid substitutions have never been independently studied using site-directed mutagenesis, similar switches, at least for position 116, are reported to shift SWS1 $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ by 0 to $-3\text{nm}$\textsuperscript{38}. Together, these findings provide a reasonable estimate of the hogfish SWS1 $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ at 415 nm, which was fitted to a vitamin A1-based opsin absorbance spectrum that revealed an overall sensitivity range from <350 to 500 nm.

The chromatophore transmission spectra showed that short-wavelength light required to activate the skin’s SWS1 opsin is the same light that is selectively absorbed, and thus suppressed, by the pigment of each chromatophore type (Fig. 3). The degree of light attenuation varied between the types according to optical density, with melanophores being the strongest attenuators followed by erythrophores then xanthophores—a finding that is in line with a previous study of chromatophore light transmission in the Japanese Medaka (\textit{Oryzias latipes})\textsuperscript{43}. Their study also showed that each chromatophore type attenuates the ultraviolet portion of the spectrum, following the same trend according to chromatophore optical density\textsuperscript{43}.

**Functional implications of dermal photoreception**

In summary, this system of dermal photoreception in hogfish suggests that dispersion of pigment in chromatophores suppresses short-wavelength irradiation of SWS1 photoreceptors and that aggregation of pigment increases irradiation (and therefore, putative activation) of the SWS1 photoreceptors, making them sensitive to changes in chromatophore color state (Fig. 7). This organization suggests a cellular mechanism for how dermal photoreception governs color change and why it does so, perhaps to provide sensory feedback to chromatophores to fine-tune color change performance. One missing piece, however, is determining how dermal photoreceptors communicate with chromatophores to exert feedback control on skin color change. For example, the activation characteristics of the SWS1 receptors are unknown, along with the synapses and signaling molecules that may connect the two cell types. Though more research is needed, the sensory feedback model offered here helps explain both the lack of behavioral support for the direct control of color change by dermal photoreception and the widespread evolution of this sense across color-changing taxa. Specifically, environmental cues for color change may be captured by the eyes and integrated with feedback information from dermal photoreceptors about skin color state to fine-tune color change output (Supplementary Fig. 3). Such closed-loop feedback systems are common in physiology and behavior\textsuperscript{44} and may be required by color change as they are by other outputs where fitness is coupled to the precision of performance\textsuperscript{45,46}.

---

**Fig. 4 | SWS1-immunogold transmission electron micrographs of skin cross sections in hogfish.** Immunogold labeling of SWS1 opsin (black arrows) is shown within the cells beneath melanophores (a, b). In control preparations without primary antibodies, immunogold labeling of SWS1 opsin is absent (c, d). Black-filled triangles = melanophores, rm = reticulated membrane structure. Scale bars equal 1 $\mu\text{m}$ in (a–c) and 2 $\mu\text{m}$ in (d).
Lastly, the physiological characteristics of dermal photoreceptors, such as their cellular activation characteristics and vitamin-A chromophore content for visual pigment function\(^{10}\), remain unknown. We can look to retinal photoreceptor physiology, however, to gain some insight into how this system might work. For example, rod and cone characteristics, such as cell stimulation over graded membrane potentials that scale with exposure to light\(^{12}\), would be particularly relevant to this system where the intensity of incident light upon dermal photoreceptors is dependent on the degree of pigment aggregation and chromatophore pigment type. Again, though dermal photoreception in hogfish and other species requires further research, our findings suggest a future area of study for extraocular photoreception related to sensory feedback, providing a framework for understanding the widespread prevalence of dermal photoreception in the skin of color-changing animals.
All primary and secondary antisera were commercially obtained. The SWS1 opsin antiserum was raised against a recombinant human SWS1 immunogen (1:200-1000 concentration, polyclonal, EMD Millipore catalog# AB5407) and has known specificity and cross-reactivity to SWS1 opsins in diverse species (e.g., refs. 48,49). For the retina, rod opsin (rhodopsin; RHI) antiserum (1:500 concentration, monoclonal, EMD Millipore catalog# MA5316) was used to counterstain rod photoreceptor outer segments. The primary antisera were labeled with secondary antibodies that were conjugated to Alexa Fluor fluorescent dyes (1:500, Thermofisher Scientific catalog # A-11008 and A-21422).

Transmission electron microscopy
Scales taken from hogfish (n = 2 fish) originally fixed as described above were then immersed in modified Karnovsky's fixative (2.5% glutaraldehyde and 2% paraformaldehyde in 0.15 M sodium cacodylate buffer, pH 7.4) for at least 4 h, postfixed in 1% osmium tetroxide in 0.15 M cacodylate buffer for 1 to 2 h and stained en bloc in 2% uranyl acetate for 1 h. Samples were then taken through two iterations of serial dehydrations in ethanol (50%, 70%, 90%, 100%), embedded in Durcupan epoxy resin (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO), sectioned (both transverse and sagittal) at 50 to 60 nm on a Leica UCT ultramicrotome, and picked up using Formvar and carbon-coated copper grids. Sections were stained with 2% uranyl acetate for 5 min and Sato's lead stain for 1 min. Grids were viewed using a Tecnai G2 Spirit BioTWIN transmission electron microscope equipped with an Eagle 4k HS digital camera (FEI, Hillsboro, OR).

Anti-opsin immunogold labeling
Hogfish scales (n = 2) were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde in 1x PBS for 24 h and stored in 1x PBS at 4°C before processing. Samples were taken through a dehydration series with ethanol (50% and 70% for 15 min, 80% for 10 min), infiltrated with a 2:1 mixture of LR White Resin (Electron Microscopy Sciences, Hatfield, PA) to 90% ethanol, and embedded in resin with four changes of LR White (1 h, overnight, and twice for 30 min). Resin was cured in Beem capsules in a vacuum oven at 50°C for 4 days. Cross sections of the embedded scales were cut at 90 nm using a Leica UC7 ultramicrotome and picked up on Formvar-coated nickel mesh grids. Grids were floated on drops of the SWS1 primary antiserum described above (EMD Millipore catalog# AB5407) diluted in 1% bovine serum albumin (BSA) in 1x PBS (1500) for 2 h at room temperature in a humidity chamber. After washing on drops of 1x PBS four times for 5 min each, grids were floated on drops of a 25-nm colloidal gold secondary antiserum diluted in the BSA solution (1:40, Electron Microscopy Sciences, Hatfield, PA) for 2 h at room temperature in a humidity chamber. As expected, the use of these relatively large gold particles permitted the low-magnification imaging required to visualize the target cells but resulted in sparse labeling by the secondary antibody as explained by Cornford and colleagues (2003)50. Grids were again washed in 1x PBS and subsequently in DI water. Sections were imaged using a Tecnai G2 Spirit Bio Twin transmission electron microscope at 80 keV with an Eagle 2k HR 200 kV CCD camera (FEI, Hillsboro, OR). Images were post-processed in Adobe Photoshop to enhance contrast and add annotations.

Spectral sensitivity estimation
We evaluated the deduced amino acid sequence of the SWS1 opsin found in hogfish skin to estimate its wavelength of peak sensitivity (λmax). Previous studies using site-directed mutagenesis and other methods have identified the amino acid sites that affect spectral tuning of ciliary opsins (such as SWS1)10,25. Evaluating amino acid substitutions at these positions relative to their known consequences on opsin sensitivity allows λmax values to be inferred. Using data from the hogfish skin transcriptome reported by Schweikert and colleagues20, we first assessed the SWS1 opsin gene using the BLASTx feature provided by the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI).
From this output, the animal with the highest homology SWS1 gene to that of hogfish, which also had a known SWS1 $\lambda_{\text{max}}$, was identified as the night aulonocara (*Aulonocara hueseri*; family Cichlidae, Genbank accession *AY775100.1*). The spectral tuning sites of ciliary opsins are commonly reported relative to the positions of a bovine rhodopsin gene standard. To locate these tuning sites, the deduced amino acid sequence of SWS1 from hogfish and the night aulonocara were aligned to bovine rhodopsin using CLC Viewer Software (Qiagen, Redwood City, CA). We then compared the amino acid residues at all known SWS1 spectral tuning sites between these fishes to estimate the $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ of SWS1 in hogfish skin. The full absorbance spectrum was calculated from the $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ using the template found in Stavenga and colleagues (1993)1.

**Microspectrophotometry**

We measured the transmission spectra of hogfish chromatophore types (i.e., melanophores, erythrophores, and xanthophores) using microspectrophotometry (MSP). Scales were selected at random from different body regions (e.g., dorsal, ventral, and caudal body regions) for analysis within 12 h of hogfish euthanasia ($n = 3$ fish). Skin tissue was removed from scales using a razor blade and was then mounted on a microscope slide with a drop of a 1:5 glycerol/gelatin medium on the slide to allow condensing objective through a single chromatophore cell (to the desired depth of the pigmented layer). The scale was then mounted under a coverslip to the plantar surface of a condensing objective through a single chromatophore cell (to the desired depth of the pigmented layer). The transmitted light was collected by a Zeiss 16x Neofluor microscope objective before passing a 1 μm diameter fiber (Ocean Optics Inc., Dunedin, FL) connected to a USB2000 spectrometer (Ocean Optics Inc., Dunedin, FL). Reference scans were taken in areas of unpigmented tissue, in the space between chromatophore cells with aggregated pigment. Transmittance spectra of each chromatophore cell type (20 cells per type and fish thus, $n = 60$ cells per chromatophore type) were measured for each fish using OceanView software (vi6.7; Ocean Optics Inc., Dunedin, FL). Spectra for each cell type were averaged across fish at -0.3 nm optical resolution to generate transmission spectra of hogfish chromatophores spanning from 400 to 700 nm. The spectra were averaged using Microsoft Excel and were visually inspected in order to describe transitions between regions of low and high transmittance. The reported wavelengths reflect the point where the slope of these transitions would intersect with the x-axis.

**Statistics and reproducibility**

Experiments associated with each method were replicated multiple times, independently. For light microscopy, micrographs were collected across six independent sample preparations across three fish. For immunofluorescence, experiments were run three times independently on separate days at the Florida Institute of Technology and replicated an additional two times at Duke University. The TEM and immunogold images were collected from two separate sample preparations, which had been analyzed at UCSD and UNCW, respectively. For microspectrophotometry, data were collected across three fish that had been sampled over separate days. Spectra for $n = 60$ cells/chromatophore type were collected using no less than 10 scales sampled from each fish body.

**Reporting summary**

Further information on research design is available in the Nature Portfolio Reporting Summary linked to this article.
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