

# A STUDY OF THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN TYPEWRITING ACHIEVEMENT AND SCORES OBTAINED ON THE DOWNEY GROUP

WILL-TEMPERAMENT TEST

BY

Frances M. McQuarrie

A thesis submitted to the Faculty of the University of North Carolina in a partial fulfillment of the require-ments for the degree of Master of Science in the Department of Business Education

Greensboro

1945

41

Approved by: Wellman

93

# ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Acknowledgment is made to Dr. McKee Fisk, formerly Head of the Department of Business Education of The Woman's College of the University of North Carolina, for suggesting this problem as a thesis topic; to the individuals and agencies who helped in the selection of the tests used in this study; to the schools who participated in giving the tests for this study; and to Dr. Rowena Wellman, of The Woman's College of the University of North Carolina, whose guidance and encouragement in the preparation of this report have been invaluable.

1.30789



### TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	PAG	E
I.	INTRODUCTION	1
	Origin of the Problem	1
	Selection of Temperament Test	3
	Purpose of the Study	5
	Description of the Downey Group Will-Temperament	
	Test	5
	Typewriting Test	3
	Participating Schools	3
	Testing Procedures	4
II.	TREATMENT OF THE DATA 1	6
III.	SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS	7
BIBLIOGRA	РНҮ 4	0
APPENDIX		6

ii

# LIST OF TABLES

TABLE		PAGE
I.	Distribution of Typewriting Scores for Each School	16
II.	Gross Typewriting Words and Scores on Downey Speed	
	Subtests for Ten Best and Ten Poorest Typewriting	
	Pupils in Each School	25
III.	Net Typewriting Words and Total Temperament Scores	
	for the Ten Best and Ten Poorest Typewriting	
	Pupils in Each School	27
IV.	Net Typewriting Words and Downey Group Will-Temperament	
	Test Scores for Speed, Aggressiveness, and Careful-	
	ness in School No. 1	30
٧.	Net Typewriting Words and Downey Group Will-Temperament	
	Test Scores for Speed, Aggressiveness, and Careful-	
	ness in School No. 2	31
VI.	Net Typewriting Words and Downsy Group Will-Temperament	
	Test Scores for Speed, Aggressiveness, and Careful-	
	ness in School No. 3	32
VII.	Rank-Difference Coefficients of Net Typewriting Words	
	and Downey Group Will-Temperament Test Scores on	
	Speed, Aggressiveness, and Carefulness for Each	
	School	33
VIII.	Rank-Difference Coefficients of Net Typewriting Scores	
	on the Subtests of the Downey Group Will-Temperament	
	Test for the Ten Best Typewriting Pupils and the Ten	
	Poorest Typewriting Pupils in Each School	35

E d

#### LIST OF FIGURES

IGURE		PAGE
1.	Specimen Profiles of Pupils Making Good Secres	
	in Typewriting	19
2.	Composite Profiles of Four Best Typewriting Pupils	
	and Four Poorest Typewriting Pupils in School	
	No. 1	21
8.	Composite Profiles of Four Best Typewriting Pupils	
	and Four Poorest Typewriting Pupils in School	
	No. 2	22
•	Composite Profiles of Four Best Typewriting Pupils	
	and Four Poorest Typewriting Pupils in School	
	No. 3	23

1. Commits Martins Labor, "Programily Detting in Typiccision

111

ALTS.

.111V

The Bone Industry of Press Constants, Brannana, Bala

to also initiality 't comparison of principal different in Type of south and initiality of the bir and the pair and the south in the south investory in process, initiality of south darking, formations, iv

## CHAPTER I

#### INTRODUCTION

### Origin of the Problem

It has been observed by teachers of beginning typewriting that pupils who achieve distinction in academic subjects do not always excel in typewriting, even when they put forth their best efforts. This often constitutes a real problem to the individuals who are anxious to maintain their high grades throughout their school life. It also challenges the typewriting teacher to discover and take account of factors other than intelligence and general scholastic abilities which may affect attainment of typewriting skill. Studies which have been reported on the relationship between typewriting and mechanical ability<sup>1</sup>, finger dexterity<sup>2</sup>, reading ability<sup>3</sup>, occupational interests<sup>4</sup>, and other

1. Florence Woodward Lackey, "A Study of the Prognostic Value of the MacQuarrie Test for Mechanical Ability in First-Year Typewriting Speed. Master's thesis, Oklahoma A. and M. College, 1938.

2. Francis Martin Lohan, "Prognostic Testing in Typewriting Through Manual Dexterity and Intelligence Quotients." Master's thesis, Massachusetts State College, 1935.

 Emme Katherine Cobb, "The Relation Between Certain Phases of Reading Ability and Speed and Accuracy in Typewriting." Master's thesis, University of North Carolina, Greensberg, 1944.

4. Anne Barksdale, "A Comparison of Achievement in Typewriting and Interest as Measured by the Occupational Interest Inventory, Intermediate, Form A, by Lee and Thorpe. Master's thesis, in process, University of North Carolina, Greensbore, 1945. measured factors<sup>5</sup> have revealed no reliable indexes of relationship.

Discussion of the problem with the pupils themselves may elicit the response that they get extremely nervous, or go "all to pieces," especially on speed tests or production work where the time element is involved. They also report that they get "disgusted" or angry when their work does not meet their own standards or those set for the class. A frequent comment is that after the first error is made in a typewriting task, other errors follow in rapid succession and it seems futile to try to prevent errors. Is there, then, some intangible factor, which might be called nervous temperament" or "nervous tension" that affects the pupil's success in mastering typewriting? This question gave rise to an endeavor in the present study to obtain some measure of "temperament" and determine its relationship to typewriting achievement.

The preliminary task was an investigation of the field of personality and adjustment testing for appropriate measures of nervous tension" or "temperament." Through correspondence with the following individuals and agencies, published tests were obtained and examined: Dr. G. M. Ruch, U. S. Office of Education; Dr. Mark A. May, Yale University; Mrs. Ruth Toothaker, Oklahoma

5. Florence Katherine Simmons, "A Prediction of Typewriting Success," Master's thesis, Ohio State University, 1934.

College for Women, Chickasha, Oklahoma; The Psychological Corporation; World Book Company; and Bureau of Publications, Teachers College, Columbia University. The following tests were examined and considered:

> Allport and Allport, A-S Reaction Study Bell, The Adjustment Inventory, Student Form Bell, The School Inventory Bernreuter, The Personality Inventory Darly and McNamara, Minnesota Personality Scale Downey, Group Will-Temperament Test Downey, Individual Will-Temperament Test Haggerty-Olson-Wickman, Behavior Rating Schedules MacNitt, Personality and Vocational Guidance Test McFarland and Seitz, Psycho-Somatic Inventory Pintner, Aspects of Personality Rogers, A Test of Personality and Adjustment Root, New Introversion-Extroversion Test Symonds, Adjustment Questionnaire, Form A Thurstone and Thurstone, Personality Schedule Washburne, Social-Adjustment Inventory

## Selection of Temperament Test

The following considerations were kept in mind in the selection of a test to be used in this study: (1) the "popular" conception of personality or social success is not the thing to

be measured, and tests purporting to measure this were therefore excluded. (2) It is not the purpose of this study to discover or consider only individuals who deviate from the normal and are psychopathic or emotional cases. (3) Self-rating scales were avoided because of their subjectivity and insufficient reliability. (4) The test should be fairly easy to administer in a classroom situation. (5) The scoring must be objective. (6) The test must be suitable to the age group concerned. (7) The test must be of such a nature that it would offend neither the pupils nor the patrons of the participating schools.

It was recognized that there is probably no known test which actually measures the trait or combination of traits called "temperament." Nevertheless, a serious effort was made to find some measure of what Downey describes as "the amount of energy at the disposal of the individual and the tendency of such nervous energy to discharge immediately into the motor areas."<sup>6</sup>

All but three of the tests examined employ self-rating by the pupils. Of the remaining tests, the Haggerty-Olson-Wickman Behaviour Rating Schedule requires ratings by the teacher; the Downey Will-Temperament Test, in both the Individual and the Group form, makes use of handwriting exercises and requires no selfrating or introspective responses. The latter form was therefore chosen as the test most nearly meeting the requirements of this investigation.

6. June E. Downey, The Will-Temperament and Its Testing (New York: World Book Company, 1923), p. 59.

### Purpose of the Study

The purpose of this study is to ascertain the relationship between the scores obtained on the Downey Group Will-Temperament Test and the best and poorest net speed scores obtained on typewriting tests administered to 135 first-year typewriting pupils in three high schools.

The best and poorest typewriting scores rather than the entire range of scores are used because the extremes should reveal more clearly any distinguishing differences in the results obtained by the measure of "temperament."

### Description of the Downey Group Will-Temperament Test

Downey, in her book "The Will-Temperament and Its Testing," defines "temperament" thus:

The word "temperament" is used to refer to an innate, relatively permanent disposition. The word "will" is prefixed to it to indicate the nature of the particular disposition that is tested. This limitation in the meaning of the word "temperament" is necessary in order to avoid a possible misunderstanding; namely, the notion that the test is concerned with emotional reactions. By "will", however, no mysterious power is to be understood; it refers merely to the dynamic pattern of the individual. . . . The willtemperament determines the form assumed by character, although it does not determine its content. Similarly, the will-temperament determines the use that will be made of general intelligence, although it does not determine the degree of intelligence."

Individuals are grouped according to three main classifications by Downey: (1) the speedy, "hair-trigger, fluidic type;

7. Ibid., pp. 60-61.

(2) those reacting in a forceful, decisive way; and (3) those characterized by carefulness and persistence of response.

The Downey Group Will-Temperament Test utilizes handwriting reactions, but Downey has stated emphatically that "although handwriting was used (as a common motor activity that leaves a permanent record), no graphological assumptions whatever are made."<sup>8</sup>

The test consists of twelve subtests, representing three phases of activity: (1) those pertaining to speed and fluidity of reaction; (2) those pertaining to forcefulness and decisiveness of reaction; and (3) those pertaining to carefulness and persistence of reaction.

The first phase, speed and fluidity of reaction, includes tests for Speed of Movement, Freedom from Load, Flexibility, and Speed of Decision. The second phase, foroefulness and decisiveness of reaction, is composed of tests for Motor Impulsion, Self-Confidence, Non-Compliance, and Finality of Judgment. The third phase, carefulness and persistence of reaction, consists of tests for Motor Inhibition, Interest in Detail, Coordination of Impulses, and Volitional Perseveration. Uhrbrock analyzed the Downey Will-Temperament Tests in a doctoral dissertation and concluded that there is little evidence that the traits are named correctly.<sup>9</sup>

<sup>8.</sup> Ibid., p. 4.

<sup>9.</sup> Richard Stephen Uhrbrock, "An Analysis of the Downey Will-Temperament Tests," Doctor's dissertation, Teachers College, Columbia University, 1928, p. 64.

The trait names are defined by Downey as follows:

Speed of Movement. Amount of physical work that one can put through in a given time. 7

Freedom from Load. Suggests that the psychic machinery runs with little friction; it is not necessary to oil it continually in order to keep it going smoothly and speedily; its own inherent energy holds it at top speed. It suggests a thrifty management and is of great practical importance.

Flexibility. The capacity to do things differently, to be able to modify one's habitual procedure, to depart from routime.

Speed of Decision. Speed of reaction in making decisions or choices. Mode of reaction and not its accuracy is the point in question.

Motor Impulsion. "Drive" in a person's make-up. It involves the idea of energy and the focusing of energy. Two factors to be tested for: first, the energy available when brakes or inhibitions are off; secondly, the ease with which the brakes or inhibitions may be thrown off.

Non-Compliance. Measures docility rather than intellectual suggestibility.

Self-Confidence. Reliance in one's own ability to make correct response.

Finality of Judgment. Measures tendency of subject to revise a decision already made.

Motor Inhibition. The power to hold back a motor discharge, to keep an impulse under control, to achieve a purpose slowly.

Interest in Detail. Measured by exactness with which a model is imitated when instructions are given to copy it as exactly as possible.

Coordination of Impulses. Person's success in handling a problem that involves a double mental-set.

Volitional Perseveration. Native tendency to "Keep on working," psychic momentum, rather than

9. stil-Iten

mibrie

BECHICTED.

G . TOAT

phases o

SCOUT 10

to's miles?

to beego

1 10 6340

ionf 1 denis

e isseint

ALT ALT

2.1

perseverance or sticking at a task until a certain end is achieved.

A ten-point scale for scoring is provided in the Manual of Directions for each of the twelve subtests. Individual "willprofiles" show graphically the scores made on the various subtests.

Speed of Movement is measured by the number of times a person can write the phrase "United States of America," at his normal speed, in twenty seconds. Scoring is based on the number of letters written at normal speed within the time limit.

Freedom from Load is measured by the number of times the subject writes the phrase "United States of America" in twenty seconds when writing just as rapidly as possible. It is scored according to the number of letters written during the speeded trial in relation to the number written at normal speed (Speed of Movement).

Flexibility is measured by the way subjects disguise their handwriting on three separate attempts. They are told first to write the phrase "United States of America" in a hand very unlike their own. On the second trial, they are told to practice disguising their handwriting so that it would fool a handwriting expert, and then to write the phrase "United States of America" in a designated place on the test paper. On the third trial, the subjects are told to imitate a model of the phrase "United States of America" just as rapidly as they can and as many times as they can in one minute. The first and second trials of the test on Flexibility are rated according to their quality: a

good disguise is rated 2, a fair disguise is rated 1, and a poor disguise is rated 0. In the third trial, the quality of the rapid imitation of the model is rated A, B, C, D, or E, and the number of letters written is counted. A combined score for this third trial is then obtained from a table in the Manual of Directions by referring to the proper letter and speed group. The scores on the three trials are then totaled to obtain the score on Flexibility.

Speed of Decision is measured by the number of choices the subject makes in thirty pairs of character traits. It does not matter how accurate the choices are. The important thing is <u>how</u> <u>many</u> decisions are made in the time limit of twenty seconds. This test is scored on the number of decisions made.

Motor Impulsion consists of four parts. The subjects are first told to write their names at their usual speed and in their usual style as many times as possible in twenty seconds. On the second trial they are told to write their names just as they did on the first trial, but with their eyes closed. They are to write their names again and again, with their eyes closed, until the signal is given to stop. On the third trial, the subjects are to write their names in their usual way, but while they are writing they are to keep their eyes fixed on the examiner's pencil and are to count aloud with him the number of times he taps the table with the pencil. On the fourth trial, the subjects are asked to write their names repeatedly from the signal to begin until the signal to stop. While they are writing, the examiner reads a list of words that rhyme with "fly", and they are asked to note

the number of times the word "fly" is repeated. Each trial is scored by noting the size of the writing under distraction as compared with normal size. The ratio of the number of letters written under distraction to the number of letters written at normal rate is computed and converted into a derived score given in the Manual of Directions. The mean of these three scores constitutes the final score for this subtest.

Self-Confidence is measured by having the subjects check a list of sixteen statements as true <u>or</u> false according to what they remember from a list of words which was read to them earlier in the test. They are asked to draw two lines under their choice if they are <u>absolutely sure</u> that they are right. There is no time limit on this test. The score for Self-Confidence is based on the number of items doubly underlined.

Non-Compliance is measured by the number of changes the subjects make in the way they answered the test for Self-Confidence. They are told that eight of the statements are true and eight of the statements are false and are allowed to make any changes they wish to make their answers that way. This test is scored on the number of changes made after the suggestion has been given by the examiner. The ratio is found between the number of changes actually made (numerator) and the number of changes necessary for complete acquiescence to the suggestion that "Eight of the statements are false; eight, true" (denominator). The latter number is found by subtracting from 8 the number of statements marked "false" before the suggestion is given, and shows to what extent memory was at

fault. The numerator of the fraction is increased by one for every change in which the original decision was doubly underscored and is also increased by one for any change in marking particular statements. The ratio obtained is given a score from the Scoring Table in the Manual of Directions.

Finality of Judgment is measured by the number of changes the subjects make in the decisions made in Test I (Speed of Decision) when given an opportunity to do so. The test is scored on the basis of the time spent in rechecking Test I.

Motor Inhibition is measured by the time subjects take to trace dotted scrolls when told to do so just as slowly as they can and still keep the pencil moving. There is a time limit of thirty seconds for the first trial, one minute and thirty seconds for the second trial, and two minutes and thirty seconds for the third trial. Only the third trial is scored. The scroll is divided into thirty-three equal units and the score is based on the number of units traced.

Interest in Detail consists of two parts. In Part 1, subjects are directed to copy Model A of the phrase "United States of America" just as exactly as possible. Speed does not count. They are told to work carefully and make just as good a copy as they can. If they finish the phrase before the signal to stop, they are to begin a second time. In Part 2, subjects are told to copy Model B of the phrase "United States of America" as well as they can, rapidly or slowly, as they prefer. If they finish one copy, they are to begin a second, and if they finish a second, they are to begin a third

time. This subtest is scored on the success of the examinee in copying the writing and on the time he gives to the task as compared with his speed in the rapid imitation of the model in the third part of the test for Flexibility (VIII, 3). Imitations of Model A and Model B are rated jointly as A, B, C, D, E, or F, according to accuracy in details. About fifteen details are noted in the two imitations--such as, for example, proper placing of the <u>i</u>-dot, form of <u>t</u>-bar, etc. Quality A means no penalizing and other quality ratings involve a penalty of one letter-grade for each three bad failures. The difference is found between the number of letters written for rapid imitation (VIII, 3) and for slow imitation of Model A. The score for this test is found by locating the obtained letter and obtained number in the schedule provided in the Manual of Directions.

Coordination of Impulses is measured by the way in which subjects write the phrase "United States of America" on a very short line and at high speed. This test is scored on the number of letters omitted or over the line.

Volitional Perseveration is measured by the amount of time the examinee spends in practicing the disguise for the second part of the test for Flexibility (VIII, 2).

The norms for the Downey Group Will-Temperament Test were established on the results obtained from a small number of adults. They were published in 1923, with the announced expectation that they would be revised after more extensive application. Uhrbrock, however, in 1928, made no mention of revised norms.

# Typewriting Test

The tests used to measure typewriting achievement consisted of printed straight-copy material of the type commonly used in typewriting instruction and testing. All the pupils were thoroughly familiar with this type of test and the testing procedures. The September, October, and November, 1944, issues of the Competent Typist Speed Tests, published by The Gregg Writer, were used in this study in order to provide unpracticed and uniform copy for all pupils.

Typewriting achievement in this study is limited to speed and accuracy attained on five-minute writings.

In general practice, five minutes is considered a reliable time limit for determining speed and accuracy when endurance and production activities are not to be measured. No attempt was made in this study to measure knowledge of machine parts or their manipulation, spacing arrangement, the use of special symbols, or applied related knowledge such as spelling, proofreading, wherein the pupils' varying backgrounds in English and general scholastic ability might be conditioning factors.

### Participating Schools

Four schools participated in the testing program for this study: DeLand High School, DeLand, Florida; Mainland High School, Daytona Beach, Florida; New Hanover High School, Wilmington, North Carolina; and John W. Hanes High School, Winston-Salem, North Carolina. These schools will hereafter be designated as School No. 1, School No. 2, School No. 3, and School No. 4. The schools ranged in size from 317 to 1700 pupils. The size of the typewriting classes ranged from 21 to 30 pupils, and the class periods ranged from 50 to 55 minutes in length.

In School No. 1, four beginning typewriting classes were tested; in School No. 2, three beginning typewriting classes were tested; in School No. 3, one beginning typewriting class was tested; and in School No. 4, two beginning typewriting classes were tested.

All the individuals tested were in the tenth, eleventh, or twelfth grades. The pupils were from 15 to 18 years of age, with the exception of three over-age pupils whose scores did not place them in any of the groups of data selected for treatment.

# Testing Procedures

The Downey Group Will-Temperament Test was given to 65 firstyear typewriting pupils in School No. 1 and to 17 second-year typewriting pupils; in School No. 2, to 62 first-year typewriting pupils; in School No. 3, to 30 first-year typewriting pupils; and in School No. 4, to 46 first-year typewriting pupils. The tests were given in School No. 1, School No. 2, and School No. 3 in November and December, 1944. They were given in School No. 4 in January, 1945. They were given during the first semester in order to expedite the scoring of the tests. A Manual of Directions was sent to each of the teachers administering the tests. Attention was called to the fact that the parts of the test must be timed accurately to insure uniform results. A copy of the test and a Manual of Directions are included in the Appendix.

In April, 1945, copies of the three straight-copy typewriting tests were sent to the schools participating. Specific instructions on how and when to give the tests were also sent. A copy of the letter of instructions is included in the Appendix. The tests were five-minute tests and were given on three successive days as near the end of the year's work as was possible to secure a good attendance. The September test copy was to be given on the first day, the October copy on the second day, and the November copy on the third day. Teachers were asked to have their pupils use double spacing, margins of 5 and 80, a five-space paragraph indention. Pupils were asked to have the following information on their paperes: name, date, number of test, gross words written, number of errors, net words written, and net words per minute.

Although this study is concerned with first-year typewriting pupils, the tests were given to the second-year typewriting pupils in School No. 1 who were enrolled in the same classes with the first-year pupils. Data on these cases are not involved in the groups selected for analysis. The typewriting test data from School No. 4 were necessarily excluded because the instructions for administering the typewriting tests had not been followed.

### CHAPTER II

### TREATMENT OF THE DATA

The basic data of this study consist of (1) scores obtained on the subtests of the Downey Group Will-Temperament Test, (2) willprofiles, and (3) net words per minute in typewriting.

Net words in typewriting is the established measure in most school practices. It combines speed of writing and accuracy of writing into one score, computed according to the International Typewriting Contest Rules. A copy of these rules is provided in the Appendix. A typewriting "word" represents five typewriting strokes. Gross words are the total number of typewritten words with no deduction for errors. Net words are computed by deducting from the gross words ten words for each error.

The testing procedure provided for three samplings of typewriting achievement. In the school with which the investigator is familiar, School No. 1, the scores on these typewriting tests were, in many cases, not up to the standard consistently maintained by the pupils. This was attributed to the fact that the tests were given at the close of the school year when the pupils were distracted by the activities accompanying the close of school. It is also possible that, in School No. 1 at least, the pupils' scores were affected by the fact that they knew these tests were to be used for a special purpose. For these reasons, the best net score obtained in the three samplings was selected as being most representative of the pupils' ability. Table I shows the distribution of the net typewriting scores for each of the three schools contributing in this study.

The will-profiles obtained from the Downey Group Will-Temperament Test were first examined to see if any definite patterns of "temperament" were apparent for classification. Specimen profiles of two pupils making good scores in typewriting are shown in Figure I on page 19. When this and an examination of the frequency distributions failed to yield results, the profiles of the ten individuals in each school who scored highest in typewriting in terms of net words per minute and the profiles of the ten individuals in each school who scored lowest in typewriting were isolated for detailed study on the assumption that the extremes would be more likely to reveal contrasts and discernible differentiation. The ten individuals who scored highest in typewriting in each school will hereafter be referred to as the good group of that school and the ten individuals who scored lowest in typewriting in each school will hereafter be referred to as the poor group of that school. The arbitrary selection of ten cases in the sampling of extremes provided data for correlations of rank differences.

When no relationships were apparent in the preliminary inspection, the will-profiles were submitted to a seminar composed of six graduate students actively engaged in research and two university professors. This examination also failed to reveal definite patterns for either extreme. It seemed, however, that there was a tendency toward greater variability among the pupils who made low scores in typewriting. Their profiles fluctuated between the extremes more

	101	12	 •
I.W	נס	20	

Net Words	Number of Pupils								
	School No	. 1	School No. 2	School No. 3					
59-57	2								
56-54	1								
53-51									
50-48	1		1	2					
47-45	2		1	4					
44-42	5		1	3					
41-39	6		4	4					
38-36	9			5					
35-33	. 9		3	3					
32-30	3		4	1					
29-27	7		2	2					
26-24	8		6	4					
23-21	1		7	1					
20-18	1		4						
17-15	2		6						
14-12	3		1						
11- 9			2						
8- 6			2						
5- 3									
2- 0			2						
Total	60		46	29					

DISTRIBUTION OF TYPEWRITING SCORES FOR EACH SCHOOL

### FIGURE I

# SPECIMEN PROFILES OF PUPILS MAKING

### GOOD SCORES IN TYPEWRITING







### FIGURE I

# SPECIMEN PROFILES OF PUPILS MAKING

## GOOD SCORES IN TYPEWRITING



### 44 net words per minute 258 gross words



44 net words per minute 229 gross words frequently than did the profiles of the pupils with high typewriting scores. This observation led to an attempt to construct a composite profile by superimposing the will-profiles of the four highest-ranking pupils in each school on one profile blank and a similar composite for the four lowest-ranking pupils in each school. The number was limited to four in each case because more than that number obscured the graphic record.

The resulting composites, shown in Figures 2, 3, and 4, reveal little differences in frequencies at the extremes (i.e., at points O and 10 on the profile form) for the poor group and for the good group in all schools. In School No. 1, the ratio is 5 times for the poor group to 6 times for the good group. In School No. 2, the corresponding ratio is 7 to 4. In School No. 3, the ratio is 9 to 6.

The results do not provide a dependable criterion for distinguishing good pupils from poor pupils. The analysis was not extended to further investigation of this phase, since any selection posited on the incidence of extremes in the will-profiles would eliminate good as well as poor cases.

Since none of the foregoing examinations and comparisons revealed an appropriate basis for statistical procedure to measure the degree of relationship, the gross words were used as a basis for comparison. All typewriting scores were rearranged in a frequency distribution according to the number of words written regardless of errors, and the ten highest and ten lowest of each school were selected for comparison with the four subtests of the

### FIGURE 2

# COMPOSITE PROFILES OF FOUR BEST TYPEWRITING PUPILS AND FOUR POOREST TYPEWRITING PUPILS IN SCHOOL NO. 1



### FIGURE 3

# COMPOSITE PROFILES OF FOUR BEST TYPEWRITING PUPILS AND

# FOUR POOREST TYPEWRITING PUPILS IN SCHOOL NO. 2



### FIGURE 4

# COMPOSITE PROFILES OF FOUR BEST TYPEWRITING PUPILS AND

FOUR POOREST TYPEWRITING PUPILS IN SCHOOL NO. 3



"temperament" test pertaining to speed (Speed of Movement, Freedom from Load, Flexibility, and Speed of Decision). Table II shows the gross typewriting words for the good and poor groups in each of the three schools and the "temperament" scores on the subtests pertaining to speed for each of the three schools.

Inspection of the scores on the speed items showed no relationship between the number of gross words written and the "temperament" scores. In the good group of School No. 1, the individual at the top of the list in gross words scored 7 on Speed of Movement, whereas the individual who ranked lowest scored 6 on Speed of Movement and the individual who was second from the bottom in rank on gross words scored 10 on Speed of Movement. Similar disparities throughout the distributions in all three schools reflect lack of direct relationship between high scores on the "temperament" subtests pertaining to speed of movement and ability to write rapidly on the typewriter.

Comparison of the gross typewriting words written and the "temperament" scores on Freedom from Load and Speed of Decision in each of the three schools also failed to reveal relationships between gross typewriting words written and these items.

All scores on Flexibility were low. The scoring of this subtest was subjective and little reliance can be placed on its accuracy.

Since none of the foregoing examinations and comparisons revealed an appropriate basis for statistical procedures to measure the degree of relationship, the combined or total score for the

### TABLE II

GROSS TYPEWRITING WORDS AND SCORES ON DOWNEY SPEED SUBTESTS FOR TEN

Se	hool	No.	1		So	hool	No.	2		Se	hool	Noe	3	
Gross Words	SM	FL	F	SD	Gross Words	SM	FL	F	SD	Gross Words	SM	FL	F	SD
334	7	8	4	7	276	4	9	5	5	322	10	10	2	9
308	4	9	6	в	267	2	7	2	10	312	7	4	6	10
306	4	3	5	9	261	Б	10	2	8	278	10	7	4	8
284	9	10	5	5	261	4	5	6	1	267	7	10	Б	10
279	Б	Б	3	6	261	8	9	2	8	265	6	9	8	4
258	2	1	3	1	247	Б	Б	4	7	260	4	10	7	9
253	8	9	2	9	239	7	8	4	9	258	7	2	3	9
252	7	4	3	Б	237	2	1	4	6	255	4	9	Б	8
238	7	10	1	2	236	10	9	8	6	250	9	4	2	9
237	4	7	3	8	233	10	9	Б	9	247	7	8	5	3
177	4	9	4	1	175	Б	2	Б	4	221	Б	8	4	4
175	4	7	4	4	174	3	10	2	Б	216	8	10	4	7
172	9	9	4	6	166	9	10	4	9	208	3	10	6	10
172	4	10	0	7	163	2	3	Б	9	199	4	2	2	9
171	9	10	Б	7	162	2	1	3	6	199	Б	10	Б	8
169	3	7	3	2	159	2	7	0	7	199	Б	10	3	7
169	4	9	5	Б	152	10	10	7	10	192	8	8	7	10
168	2	1	2	3	126	. 4	10	2	Б	168	3	10	8	7
163	10	10	3	3	121	4	9	1	1	168	9	10	3	2
130	6	7	0	5	120	4	5	3	3	132	4	10	Б	10

BEST AND TEN POOREST TYPEWRITING PUPILS IN EACH SCHOOL

SM Speed of Movement F Flexibility FL Freedom from Load SD Speed of Decision

"temperament" test was computed and utilized for comparisons of ranks. Downey has made little use of the total score obtained by taking the sum of the scores on all the subtests. She states, however, that "a very high score undoubtedly reveals a forceful personality, and a very low score a weak or colorless or psychopathic personality. For an <u>adult</u> a total above 85 is distinctly high, and one below 55 is low."1

Table III gives the comparative data on total "temperament scores and typewriting scores and the coefficients obtained when the Spearman formula for rank-difference correlation was applied.

$$\rho = 1 - \underbrace{\underline{\geq} D^2}_{N(N^2 - 1)}$$

No individual in any school achieved a temperament score of 85, specified by Downey as "distinctly high." The good groups in the three schools fell into approximately equal ranged (49-74, 49-76, and 46-74). In each school the lower limit for both the good group and the poor group was below the score of 55, which Downey considers low for adults. Greater variation between schools and a slightly greater variation in the range within each school is noted for the poor groups (38-67, 43-76, and 48-83). School No. 1 shows lower range limits for the poor group than for the good group, and School No. 2 shows approximately equal ranged. In School No. 3, however, the poor group attained the highest score in the entire distribution, with a score of 83, which approaches the figure set by Downey as high for adults.

1. June Etta Downey, The Will-Temperament and Its Testing (New York: World Book Company, 1923), p. 73.

TABLE III

NET TYPEWRITING WORDS AND TOTAL TEMPERAMENT SCORES FOR THE TEN

BEST AND TEN POOREST TYPEWRITING PUPILS IN EACH SCHOOL

Sch	ool No. 1	Sch	col No. 2	School No. 3		
Net Words	Total Temperament	Net Words	Total Temperament	Net Words	Total Temperament	
59	61	49	56	48	63	
58	57	45	49	48	57	
55	72	42	63	47	66	
48	67	41	64	45	68	
47	74	41	69	45	65	
45	59	41	67	45	46	
44	34	40	76	44	69	
44	62	33	69	43	73	
43	66	33	72	42	74	
43	49	32	67	41	70	
Rho	.19	Rhe	76	R	ao73	
28	55	24	48	34	68	
27	64	18	47	33	48	
26	56	16	56	32	64	
24	67	12	71	29	71	
18	38	11	59	29	63	
17	45	10	76	26	66	
15	58	7	55	25	83	
13	57	6	63	25	69	
13	64	0	43 24		63	
12 Rhe	55	0 Rhe	52	23 Rh	57 • • 05	

The rank-difference coefficients shown in Table III reveal negligible relationship for the poor group. The fact that fairly high negative coefficients were obtained on the scores for the good groups in two schools does not suggest that, for the purposes of this study, low scores on the temperament test may serve as a predictive index of success in typewriting. Instead, when viewed with the figure obtained on the same measures in School No. 1 and the indeterminate results obtained in preceding comparisons, they indicate the futility of attempting to measure probable success in typewriting by means of the "temperament" test.

While establishing norms for the will-temperament test, Downey obtained will-profiles of twenty men and women who were eminent enough to be included in <u>American Men of Science</u>, in <u>Who's Who</u>, or both. In general, the total score on the will-profiles ran high. Nearly three-fourths of the group gave a consistently high profile but with relative emphasis of either speed and aggressiveness, <u>or</u> carefulness and aggressiveness. It seemed, therefore, that it was high aggressiveness that gave force both to the careful and the speedy reaction.

The total scores on each of the three phases of the "temperament" test were determined in an effort to characterize an individual as predominantly of the speedy, fluidic type (as shown by Speed of Movement, Freedom from Load, Flexibility, and Speed of Decision), the dominant, aggressive type (as shown by Motor Impulsion, Self-Confidence, Non-Compliance, and Finality of Judgment),
or the careful, persistent type (as shown by Motor Inhibition, Interest in Detail, Coordination of Impulses, and Volitional Perseveration). These scores were compared with the net words per minute written by the pupils in the good and poor groups in each school. This comparison yielded no apparent relationship.

Tables IV, V, and VI show the net typewriting words and the total scores on each of the three divisions of the Downey Group Will-Temperament Test for the ten best and the ten poorest typewriting pupils in each school.

Examination of Tables IV and V would seem to indicate that individuals in the poor group show a greater tendency to rank relatively high in speed and aggressiveness than those in the good group, but Table VI shows six individuals in the good group ranking high in speed and aggressiveness, while only one in the poor group ranks high in this combination. In all three schools, the individuals in the good groups show a slight tendency to rank higher in carefulness and aggressiveness than do those individuals in the poor groups, but this tendency is not conclusive enough to be considered of value in predicting success in typewriting.

Table VII shows the rank-difference coefficients obtained between net typewriting scores and the Downey Group Will-Temperament Test scores on the speed, aggressiveness, and carefulness divisions for the ten best typewriting pupils and the ten poorest typewriting pupils in each school.

The rank-difference coefficients for relationship between net scores in typewriting and the temperament" scores for speed,

# TABLE IV

NET TYPEWRITING WORDS AND DOWNEY GROUP WILL-TEMPERAMENT

# TEST SCORES FOR SPEED, AGGRESSIVENESS,

AND CAREFULNESS IN SCHOOL NO. 1

Net Words	Speed	Aggressiveness	Carefulness
59	21	22	18
58	27	14	16
55	26	23	23
48	20	25	22
47	28	21	25
45	22	18	19
44	7	15	12
44	22	22	18
43	28	14	24
43	17	14	18
28	21	15	19
27	28	16	22
26	23	16	17
24	26	18	23
18	14	19	5
17	19	17	9
15	20	17	21
13	31	15	11
13	26	23	15
12	18	21	16

## TABLE V

NET TYPEWRITING WORDS AND DOWNEY GROUP WILL-TEMPERAMENT

# TEST SCORES FOR SPEED, A GGRESSIVENESS,

AND CAREFULNESS IN SCHOOL NO. 2

Net Words	Speed	Aggressiveness	Carefulness		
49	25	21	12		
45	21	19	9		
42	25	21	17		
41	16	24	24		
41	13	21	35		
41	25	20	24		
40	83	21	22		
33	34	17	18		
33	25	23	24		
32	12	23	32		
24	25	17	6		
18	15	17	15		
16	16	17	23		
12	37	18	16		
11	22	14	23		
10	39	23	14		
7	22	21	12		
6	16	18	29		
0	20	12	11		
0	15	18	19		

## TABLE VI

NET TYPEWRITING WORDS AND DOWNEY GROUP WILL-TEMPERAMENT

TEST SCORES FOR SPEED, AGGRESSIVENESS,

AND CAREFULNESS IN SCHOOL NO. 3

Net Words	Speed	Aggressiveness	Carefulness
48	27	28	13
48	31	9	17
47	26	27	13
45	26	28	14
45	23	19	23
45	11	15	20
44	29	26	14
43	32	29	12
42	30	25	19
41	21	25	24
34	26	16	26
38	24	9	15
32	24	31	19
29	33	19	19
29	19	24	20
26	28	16	22
25	28	26	29
25	25	21	23
24	29	15	19
23	24	14	19

TABLE VII

RANK-DIFFERENCE COEFFICIENTS OF NET TYPEWRITING WORDS AND DOWNEY GROUP WILL-TEMPERAMENT TEST SCORES ON SPEED, AGGRESSIVENESS,

Trait	School No. 1	School No. 2	School No. 3
Speed:			
Good	.16	13	.06
Poor	.16	.24	13
Aggressiveness:			
Good	•48	17	29
Poor	57	19	.11
Carefulness:			
Good	03	62	39
Poor	.44	16	.15

AND CAREFULNESS FOR EACH SCHOOL

aggressiveness, and carefulness ranged from negative -.62 to positive .48. The highest positive coefficient obtained on any of the three phases of the temperament test was .48 for aggressiveness and typewriting in the good group of one school, but this was offset by negative coefficients of -.17 and -.29 in the good groups of the other two schools. A positive coefficient of .44 was obtained for carefulness in the <u>poor</u> group of one school, but coefficients of .15 and -.16 were obtained for this group in the other two schools. Consistently negative coefficients of -.62, -.39, and -.03 were obtained for this phase of temperament in the <u>good</u> groups of all three schools.

Correlations were made, using the rank difference method, between net typewriting scores and the scores made on each of the twelve subtests of the Downey Group Will-Temperament Test. Table VIII shows the rank-difference coefficients of net typewriting scores and scores on the subtests of the Downey Group Will-Temperament Test for the ten best typewriting pupils and the ten poorest typewriting pupils in each school.

Examination of Table VIII reveals no consistency of relationships among the three schools reported. The highest positive coefficient is .68. This is the relationship between Self-Confidence and typewriting in the good group of School No. 1. In the good group of School No. 3, however, there is a negative coefficient between these two items almost as high, -.61, as the positive coefficient in School No. 1. There is also a negative coefficient of -.42 between these two items for School No. 2.

Motor Impulsion, in the good group, and Interest in Detail, in the poor group, are the only two items that show positive coefficients in all three schools. The coefficient for Motor Impulsion and typewriting of .52 in School No. 1 and of .50 in School No. 2 are comparable, but in School No. 3 the coefficient of .08 is much lower. The coefficient for Interest in Detail and typewriting are consistently low and positive for all three schools.

In School No. 1, there is a positive coefficient of .55 between Speed of Decision and typewriting in the good group. There is a somewhat lower positive coefficient of .31 between these two items for School No. 3, and a small negative coefficient of -.04 for School No. 2.

# TABLE VIII

RANK-DIFFERENCE COEFFICIENTS OF NET TYPEWRITING SCORES AND SCORES

ON THE SUBTESTS OF THE DOWNEY GROUP WILL-TEMPERAMENT TEST

FOR THE TEN BEST TYPEWRITING PUPILS AND THE TEN

POOREST TYPEWRITING PUPILS IN EACH SCHOOL

Trait	School No. 1	School No. 3	School No. 3
Speed of Movement:	12.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.		
Good	26	32	.31
Poor	32	.18	08
Freedom from Load:			
Good	.18	.29	23
Poor	.28	13	39
Flexibility:			
Good	.35	28	.06
Poor	.07	06	. 35
Speed of Decision:			
Good	.55	04	.31
Poor	.23	.40	04
Motor Impulsion:			
Good	. 52	. 50	.08
Poor	.45	11	.07
Self-Confidence:			
Good	.68	42	61
Poor	23	.19	53
Non-Compliance:			
Good	08	.28	45
Poor	31	.03	.65
Finality of Judgment:			
Good	01	22	.20
Poor	56	.11	.35
Motor Inhibition:			
Good	.09	23	10
Poor	•48	14	48
Interest in Detail:			
Good	07	.10	.14
Poor	.12	.06	.10
Coordination of Impulses	:		
Good	13	62	64
Poor	09	35	.35
Volitional Perseveration	\$		
Good	.18	58	.05
Poor	.24	01	05

In School No. 3, there is a positive coefficient of .65 between Non-Compliance and typewriting in the poor group. There is a very slight positive coefficient of .03 between these two items for School No. 2 and a negative coefficient of -.31 for School No. 1.

All of these results were characterized by lack of consistency and variations within each school and among the schools. None of the findings reported in this chapter are decisive enough to use in predicting success in typewriting.

notes Indefinitions label containers. Southerslines, Institute of Angelene most, maker Institutes, Incoments in Stanks, Annotherenters of Institute and Villevilles. Personalistic. The most property is incomental acceptional collegentiant.

shorts in the last of more surplicity of Growshorn modify at

terre erreiser, en 18 merile in erre electre in the terreiser erreit ren la erreiser, er 18 merile in erreite electre erre tetalen er erreite electre erreiter, er tetaler erreitere erreiteren, te stitten erreiter beste erreitere erreiter er erreitere erreitere in frameriken erreiter beste erreitere erreiter erreitere erreitere erreitere erreiter beste erreitere erreitere erreitere erreitere beste erreitere erreiter beste erreitere erreitere erreitere erreitere beste frameriken erreiter beste erreitere erreitere erreitere erreitere beste frameriken erreiter beste erreitere erreitere erreitere erreitere beste frameriken erreitere beste erreitere erreitere erreitere erreitere erreitere erreitere erreitere beste erreitere erreitere erreitere erreitere erreitere erreitere erreitere beste erreitere erreitere erreitere erreitere erreitere erreitere erreitere erreitere

#### CHAPTER III

#### SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

This study has attempted to measure a phase of temperament and determine its relationship to success in typewriting achievement. The Downey Group Will-Temperament Test was selected as the one most nearly meeting the criteria formulated for the purposes of this study. This test purports to measure three phases of activity-speed, aggressiveness, and carefulness--and provides data for individual will-profiles representing twelve so-called traits: Speed of Movement, Freedom from Load, Flexibility, Speed of Decision, Motor Impulsion, Self-Confidence, Non-Compliance, Finality of Judgment, Motor Inhibition, Interest in Detail, Coordination of Impulses, and Volitional Perseveration. The data are reported in numerical scores and will-profiles.

Typewriting achievement was measured in terms of net words per minute on the best of three samplings of five-minute testing at the close of the first year of typewriting in three schools.

Complete data from the "temperament test and typewriting tests were obtained on 135 pupils in three schools. In addition, scores from 13 second-year typewriting pupils were obtained in one school and were reserved as supplementary data for projected comparison with the main data. As the study progressed, these data served, along with the middle range of the distributions, to differentiate the "best" scores and the "poorest" scores in typewriting.

Preliminary examination of the comparative data revealed the futility of applying correlation techniques to the entire range of scores, and the problem was therefore resolved into a comparison, by rank-difference method, of the ten best and the ten poorest typewriting scores in each school with the corresponding data from the Downey Group Will-Temperament Test.

The following generalizations seem justified from the results obtained:

No patterns of similarity were found in the Downey Group Will-Temperament Test to afford a grouping of the individuals on the basis of any of the named "temperament" traits or combinations of these traits.

When the poorest and best scores in typewriting were used as a basis of comparison, no distinguishable differences in will-profiles were revealed in analyses of separate subtests, of grouped phases of "temperament" activities, and of total test scores.

Comparison of rapidity of action measured in terms of gross words in typewriting with no consideration given to errors and scores on the Downey Group Will-Temperament Test dealing with speed failed to give any definite results.

Comparison of net typewriting scores and scores on the sections of the Downey Group Will-Temperament Test dealing with speed, aggressiveness, and carefulness yielded equally indeterminate findings.

The rank-difference coefficients for relationship between total temperament scores and net scores in typewriting ranged from negative -.64 to positive .68. The highest positive coefficient obtained on any of the temperament traits was .68 for Self-Confidence and typewriting in the good group of one school, but this finding was offset by negative coefficients of -.61 and -.42 for similar groups in the other schools. Non-Compliance and typewriting yielded a positive coefficient of .65 for the poor group of one school but a negative coefficient of -.31 and a positive coefficient of only .03 in the other schools.

All of the attempts to measure relationships were characterized by variations and inconclusiveness in the results obtained.

In view of the apparent lack of relationship revealed in these findings, the Downey Group Will-Temperament Test is not recommended as an instrument for predicting probable success in typewriting achievement as measured in the schools represented in this study.

It is hoped that further researches may result in adequate measures for the phase of emotionality which this study sought to investigate. Such an instrument should be valuable in other fields of endeavor involving skill training.

The search should be continued also for identifying factors that contribute to an individual's success in all fields involving skills. The classroom teacher should benefit from such a contribution by researchers.

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

#### Researches

The annotations given here are those appearing in the published bibliographical announcements.

- Angelo, John P., "A Comparative Study of the Highest and Lowest Ranking First-Semester Typewriting Pupils," Master's thesis, University of Pittsburgh, 1934.
- Houtchens, H. Max, "Temperament in Adolescent Groups," Doctor's dissertation, Iowa, 1938.

Finds adolescents, as compared with adults, more paradoxical in their feelings and more prone to oscillate from one extreme to another.

- Lackey, Florence Woodward, "A Study of the Prognostic Value of the MacQuarrie Test for Mechanical Ability in First-Year Typewriting Speed." Master's thesis, Oklahoma A. and M. College, 1938.
- Lehan, Francis Martin, "Prognostic Testing in Typewriting Through Manual Dexterity and Intelligence Quotients." Master's thesis, Massachusetts State College, 1935.

Finds that the combination of I. Q.'s and dexterity quotients is a more reliable measure of typewriting ability than the dexterity quotients alone.

Simmons, Florence Katherine, "A Prediction of Typewriting Success." Master's thesis, Ohio State University, 1934.

Analyzes 69 tests and measurements of 120 junior high school pupils in an attempt to predict typewriting success.

Stedman, Melissa Branson, "A Study of the Possibility of Prognosis of School Success in Typewriting," <u>Journal of Applied</u> Psychology, 13:505-15, October 1929.

> No test was found to correlate highly enough with typewriting to make it possible to tell a child whether or not it would be worthwhile for him to take up typewriting as a vocation.

Uhrbrock, Richard Stephen, "An Analysis of the Downey Will-Temperament Tests," <u>Contributions to Education</u>, No. 296, Bureau of Publications, Teachers College, Columbia University, 1928.

The following are excerpts from Master's thesis of John P. Angelo: "A Comparative Study of the Highest and Lowest Ranking First-Semester Typewriting Pupils."

Brewington, Ann Elizabeth, "Prognostic Tests for Typewriting." Master's thesis, University of Chicago, 1922.

> Studied the value of 5 standardized association tests and a specially designed test to measure serial reaction and rhythmical reaction for the purpose of predicting ability to learn typewriting. From the data reported, it was concluded (1) that it is possible to construct tests which will reveal with a fairly high degree of accuracy the skill that an individual can ultimately acquire; (2) that the serial reaction test is just as reliable as any combination of other tests used.

Cooley, Mazie R., "Relation of the I. Q. to Success in Learning Shorthand and Typewriting. Master's thesis, University of Pittsburgh, 1928.

> Correlated intelligence quotients and vocabulary tests with success in learning shorthand and typewriting as determined by grades. I. Q. of no practical value in predicting success in these subjects.

Easterbrook, Mabel, and Minnie A. Vavra, "Factors Predetermining Success in Typewriting", Public School Messenger, May 1924, Board of Education, St. Louis.

> I. Q. furnishes a good indication of predicting ability to learn typewriting, as measured by final grades; substitution test a fairly good prognosis; these two factors taken together form an almost perfect prognosis of ability to learn to type.

George, Guy B., "The Relation of Maze Learning to Typewriting Learning." Master's thesis, Stanford University, 1930.

> Correlated intelligence quotients and the time needed and errors made in learning a maze with typewriting ability as measured by a Blackstone test and a 15-minute copy test. He found they provided no measurement of skill in touch typewriting.

Gronert, Mary Lynch, "A Prognostic Test in Typewriting," Journal of Educational Psychology, Vol. 16, 1925.

> Devised the Lynch substitution test and found that it formed a good prognosis for typewriting achievement as shown by a pupil's grades.

Johnson, Clara Louise, "The Validity of Certain Tests to Prognosticate Typewriting Ability." Master's thesis, Iowa, 1925.

> Results from Monroe Silent Reading Test, Iowa Spelling Test, Spelling Letter Test, Greene's Organization Test, Pressey's Mental Test. Correlated with Blackstone Typewriting scores. Found the above tests have no prognostic value, and concluded that the application of one's capacity is the deciding factor in learning to type.

Korngold, Helen, Master's thesis, Washington University, 1930.

Correlated mental and motor tests with Blackstone tests. Mental--intelligence, association, reading, spelling. Motor--speed and longhand writing, tapping, general mechanical ability. Mental tests seemed of greater significance, but the use of these tests as an absolute measure of who is to enter a typewriting class would be unfair to pupil.

Miller, M. Alice, "Relation of the I. Q. to Success in Learning Typewriting," Curricular Study and Educational Research Bulletin, January-February, Fittsburgh Board of Education, 1929. Studied the use of the intelligence quotient as a means of predicting success in typewriting. She correlated the results of the Terman Group Tests of Mental Ability with the results of the Blackstone Tests and with class grades. She found that the correlation was too low to justify the use of intelligence tests alone in predicting success. Concluded that special aptitude tests and tests of special mental functions may prove to be more reliable.

Overholtzer, J. M., "A Study of the Possibilities of Predicting Typing Ability." Master's thesis, University of Southern California, 1928.

Correlated tests of Immediate Memory, Following Directions, Tapping, Substitution, and Attention and Accuracy, with typewriting achievement as measured by straight-copy material. He concluded the tests were of no practical value.

Puckett, Cecil, The Rank of the Inferior Student in Typewriting," The Balance Sheet, May 1930.

> Correlated I. Q.'s with typing grades given at the end of first 6-week period; grades in typing and English; grades in typing and history; and grades in typing and the average grade made in the pupil's other subjects. He concluded (1) that the greater mental capacity a student has, the better work he can do; (2) that typewriting grades are representative of a pupil's ability and are well on a level with grades given in other subjects.

Stiles, Eline, "A Group Test for Prognosticating Skill in Typewriting." Master's thesis, University of Chicago, 1927.

> Evaluated a group test for predicting ability to learn typewriting. She correlated the results of a test based on Brewington's serial reaction test with typing achievement as measured by a Blackstone typing test, an alphabetic sentence test, the number of perfect copies made on daily exercises, and the typewriting teacher's grades. She found (1) that pupils who wrote more accurately on the reaction test are the ones who tended to be most successful in learning typewriting; and

(2) that there is some correlation between the speed of writing on the reaction test and later typing achievement.

Tuttle, W. W., "Tests for Determining Ability for Learning Typewriting," Journal of Educational Psychology, Vol. 14, 1923.

> Found a correlation of .62 between test records in typewriting and combined test records of motor control, attention and accuracy, and substitutions.

#### Books

Appel, Kenneth Ellmaker, Practical Examination of Personality and Behavior Disorders, Adults and Children. New York: The Macmillan Company, 1936.

Downey, June Etta, The Will-Temperament and Its Testing. New York: The World Book Company, 1923.

Garrett, Henry E., Statistics in Psychology and Education. New York: Longmans, Green and Company, 1937.

Haggard, Howard Wilcox, The Anatomy of Personality. New York: Harper and Brothers, 1936.

Olson, Willard Clifford, The Measurement of Nervous Habits in <u>Normal Children</u>. Minneapolis: The University of Minnesota Press, 1929.

Roback, Abraham Aaron, The Psychology of Character, With a Survey of Temperament. New York: Harcourt, Brace and Company, 1928.

#### Periodicals

Bonney, M. E., "Validity of Certain Techniques of Gathering Psychological Data, With Special Reference to Personality Questionnaires," <u>Journal of Social Psychology</u>, 13:103-22, February, 1941.

Cattell, R. B., "Objective Test of Character-Temperament," Journal of General Psychology, 25:59-73, July, 1941.

Clark, W. W., "Measurement in Personality," Education, 61:620-2, June, 1941.

- Humm, D. G. and K. A. Humm, "Validity of the Humm-Wadsworth Temperament Scale," <u>Journal of Psychology</u>, 18:55-64, July, 1944.
- McQuitty, L. L., "Approach to the Nature and Measurement of Personality Integration," <u>Journal of Social Psychology</u>, 13:3-14, February, 1941.
- Richards, T. W., and W. Ellington, "Objectivity in the Evaluation of Personality," Journal of Experimental Education, 10:228-37, June, 1942.
- Simpson, R. H., "Students Attempt Self-Evaluation of Work in Educational Psychology," Journal of Educational Psychology, 33:225-30, March, 1942.
- Snyder, W. U., "Survey of Recent Studies in the Measurement of Personality, Attitudes, and Interests of Adolescents," Journal of General Psychology, 25:403-20, October, 1941.
- Tiegs, E. W., "Measuring Personality Status and Social Adjustment," Education, 63:631-5, June, 1943.

. Life dranter, which must be reader aparents, "the soughts." Hear, has marghy or Arragalariy meaned it passified as array bd.

### APPENDIX

- An and the set of the
- . Photocomputing ( Paragraphics min't be included first special, and using first. An arrier in paragraphics is peculipse in addition to all other application in mine likes.
- 5. Spheres and Protocolarities priority. Spraws and providentifies paints are transfer in parts of the providing piers, but if the providing inclutowarded, culturely or through an changed from the printed enjoy, an event when he shoughd antions the providing much are the ranky buck painteness. Use Table New 51
- and the first starting, and the entities points the spirit rates of a period, the

If panelsoning is defined by contained have print, the specing follows the spin by particular dense for the provinciation print, then, a coine following to particular print, the special following to be and special to the file of the second second

After a particly spect to possible subcompanies, as don't which would be specified of which easy work that both full, while, one drawes, we are the addression wide a approximation

- 1. J. Gold work is written with two hyphics without spacing before or afters. If a dest is assisting at the beginning of a line there anough is an apare between it and the following works.
- A, CET CHERRITER, any word written of rise inthe to the, testra, are wide at short, that a perturn of sar inther is not will, must be possible total.
- Montos primeiros difficiente a verse en employ distribuied al time and of a line static pa manificante. A worse en presentation at the end of a line

#### OFFICIAL INTERNATIONAL CONTEST RULES FOR CORRECTING TYPING TESTS

J. N. Kimball, 453 East 141st Street, New York, N. Y.

- 1. LINE SPACING. Work must be double spaced -- "two notches." Every line singly or irregularly spaced is penalized one error in addition to all other errors in same line.
- 2. LENGTH OF LINE. Except at end of paragraph, any line having fewer than 61 or more than 76 characters and spaces is penalized one error in addition to all other errors in same line.
- 3. LENGTH OF PAGE. With paper 8 1/2" by 13", each page, except the last, must have at least 35 lines of writing; with paper 8 1/2" by 11", each page, except the last, must have at least 29 lines of writing. One error is charged for short page--not one error for each line that the page is short.
- 4. PARAGRAPHING. Paragraphs must be indented five spaces, and only five. An error in paragraphing is penalized in addition to all other errors in same line.
- 5. SPACES AND PUNCTUATION POINTS. Spaces and punctuation points are treated as parts of the preceding word, but if incorrectly made, inserted, omitted, or in any mannar changed from the printed copy, an error must be charged unless the preceding word has already been penalized. (See Rule No. 6)
- 6. SPACING AFTER FUNCTUATION. Two spaces must follow the period, the colon, the interrogation, and the exclamation points--one space only after all other punctuation points.

If punctuation is followed by quotation marks the spacing follows the rule laid down for the punctuation point, viz., a colon followed by quotation marks calls for two spaces, but a comma followed by quotation marks calls for but one space. (See Rule No. 5.)

After a period used to denote abbreviation, as 0. K., M. D., Mr., Mrs., initials, etc., the spacing required is that which would be used if word was written out in full, viz., one space, unless the abbreviation ends a sentence.

- 7. A DASH must be written with two hyphens without spacing before or after. If a dash is necessary at the beginning of a line there should be no space between it and the following word.
- 8. CUT CHARACTERS. Any word written so close to top, bottom, or side of sheet, that a portion of any letter is out off, must be penalized.
- 9. WORDS WRONGLY DIVIDED. A word wrongly divided at the end of a line must be penalized. A word hyphenated at the end of a line

in the printed copy may or may not need the hyphen if occurring medially in the contestant's work. For instance: "Devilfish" might be hyphenated at the end of a printed line, but medially, if contestant's rendering conforms to any standard dictionary, there is no error.

- 10. FAULTY SHIFTING. An error must be charged against every word where the shift key is incorrectly used. If the complete character is discernible it is no error.
- 11. LIGHTLY STRUCK LETTERS. If the outline of any character is discernible there is no error.
- 12. TRANSPOSITION. Letters transposed in any word constitute an error. Words when transposed are penalized one error for the transposition, and for every error in the transposed words.
- 13. REWRITTEN MATTER. In rewritten matter every error must be penalized, whether in first or second writing, and one additional error charged for the rewriting.
- 14. CROWDING. No word shall occupy less than its proper number of spaces.
- 15. PILING. If any portion of the body of one character overlaps any portion of the body of another character, or extends into the space between words to the extent that it would overlap any portion of the body of a character were there a character in that space, then it is an error.
- 16. LEFT-HAND MARGIN. Characters beginning lines, except in paragraphs, must all be struck at the same point of the scale. If printed to the left or right of that point an error must be charged.
- 17. X-ING. Work in which words are x-ed will not be received.
- 18. ERASING. The use of an eraser is not allowed.
- 19. ERRORS IN PRINTED COFY. Errors found in the printed copy may be corrected or written as per copy, but in no case shall an error be charged against such words unless they are omitted.
- 20. LAST WORD. An error made in the last word written, whether that word is completed or not, must be charged.
- 21. PENALTY. For every error 10 words are to be deducted.
- 22. GENERAL RULE. Every word omitted, inserted, misspelled, or in any manner changed from the printed copy (save in the case of transposition and rewritten matter), must be penalized.
- 23. GROSS WORDS. The gross number of strokes shall be reckoned from the printed copy of matter used, and shall be divided by five, the

result being the <u>number of gross words</u> from which all deductions for errors shall be made. Strokes in rewritten matter are not to be counted in the gross. When a typist ends his test with an unfinished word, he shall be given credit of one stroke for each character written.

Copy should have the gross strokes printed at the end of each line, the strokes being counted per line of print, with an additional stroke at the end of each line--if the lest word on that line is completed (not hyphenated). In other words, the strokes are counted as though the entire test were written in one continuous line.

Beginning a paragraph, the count commences with the first letter of that line, as actual strokes made for characters and spaces only are counted. Extra strokes made by the typist when shifting for capital letters, when paragraphing and when returning the carriage, are not counted.

In counting strokes from printed copy two strokes are given to every dash in the printed copy, and to all spaces after the colon, interrogation and exclamation points and period.

If necessary to strike a second key to complete a character-mas the exclamation point, and accented letter occurring in some foreign languages--the extra stroke is counted. COPY

April 9, 1945

Miss Laura Canfield Mainland High School Daytona Beach, Florida

Dear Miss Canfield:

I am mailing you today the copy for the three typewriting tests which are to be correlated with the temperament tests. This copy need not be returned to me.

These tests are to be given on three successive days just as near the end of the course as is possible to have a good attendance. If your school is like mine, everything is in a turmoil during the last two weeks. Therefore, I would like for you to use your discretion about when you give them. Just try to see that everybody gets the three trials at the completion of the typewriting course. I don't know yet exactly how I will treat them. I may average the three or I may average the best two.

Please give the tests in the following order: (1) September, (2) October, and (3) November. Have the pupils prepare their papers for the test like the sample test sheet enclosed so that there will be some uniformity about the papers. It will also help me if you will have some pupil arrange the papers alphabetically and with each person's three tests together in the order in which they are taken. If you have them checked for your ewn grading records, it is all right to fill in the desired information on the test papers. Otherwise, I don't want you to bother with the scoring of the tests.

I appreciate the help you have given me in getting the data for my thesis and hope that I will be able to do as much for you sometime.

Yours very truly,

(Signed) Frances McQuarrie

Enclosure

# SAMPLE TEST SHEET

1 A.

Name	
Test	No.
Numbe	er of strokes
Gross	s words
Numbe	er of errors
Net 1	words per minute

Margins: 5 and 80 Double spacing 5-space paragraph indentions 5 minutes

1. Name Marally Gradens. 2. Boy or girl (or man or woman) And Grade Grade 3. Age last birthday 16 years Birthday Month Day 4. Teacher Miss me Suamie 5. School (or education) Ala dand. High School ..... 6. City or town Ils. Theast State Flanda 7. Date of this test Manamber 15, 19.44

# DOWNEY GROUP WILL-TEMPERAMENT TEST

By JUNE E. DOWNEY Professor of Psychology, University of Wyoming

WORLD BOOK COMPANY: PUBLISHERS Yonkers-on-Hudson, New York

# TEST



Copyright, 1922, by World Book Company. Copyright in Great Britair All rights reserved. DOWTT:T-10

PRINTED IN U. S. A.

This test is copyrighted. The reproduction of any part of it by mimeograph, hectograph, or in any other way, whether the reproductions are sold or furnished free for use, is a violation of the copyright law.

# Downey Group Will-Temperament Test

2

T

Draw a line under the word in each pair which more nearly describes you. Take the pairs in order. *Don't skip any*. Speed doesn't count.

1	Careful		 			. Careless
2	Daring					. Cautious
3	Ambitious					Unambitious
4	Selfish					Unselfish
5	Punctual					Tardy
6	Bad-tempered					Sweet-tempered
7	Accurate					Inaccurate
8	Industrious					Lazy
9	Vain					Modest
10	Constant					Changeable
11	Indifferent					Enthusiastic
12	Firm					Yielding
13	Trustful					Distrustful
14	Cowardly					Brave
15	Clever					Stupid
16	Good Memory					Bad Memory
17	Self-distrustful					Self-confident
18	Hasty					Deliberate
19	Observant					Unobservant
20 (	Sociable					Unsociable
21	Orderly					Disorderly
22	Gloomy					Cheerful
23	Patient					Impatient
24	Quick in thought					Slow in thought
25	Quick in movement	t				Slow in movement
26	Ready to forgive					Holds a grudge
27	Fond of a fight					Avoids a fight
28	Extravagant					Saves money
29	Humble					Proud
0	Generous .				-	Stingy
		-		2	1	61

# Downey Group W

II Write your name as dir again until the signal to s

> 1 Usual style and speed. Morathy Grad Karathy Kree Karathy Gra

2 As rapidly as possible. Marthy Green Marthy Loren Marthy Loren Marthy Loren

III Write your name as slowly the signal, begin again.

IV Memory Test.

## Test

hich more nearly skip any. Speed

us pitious sh

tempered rate

eable siastic

stful

lemory nfident rate ervant able lerly ul ient n thought n movement a grudge s a fight

money

# Downey Group Will-Temperament Test

II Write your name as directed below. Write it again and again until the signal to stop.

1 Usual style and speed.

Morathy Greams Derethy Greams Derethy bro

2 As rapidly as possible.

Marathy Greens Alarathy Loreans Alarathy Grooms

III Write your name as slowly as possible. If you finish before the signal, begin again.

Wrothy Groo

IV Memory Test.

52

52 Downey Group Wi Downey Group Will-Temperament Test 4 V Write "United States of America" on the line below as VII Trace as slowly as possible quickly as possible. Both speed and not running over the line count. 1 Unitat States VI Write "United States of America" as directed below. Write it again and again until the signal to stop. 1 Usual style and speed. 4 United States of America 42 United States of America 2 (9) 2 As rapidly as possible. 3 3 United States of America Unde. 46

# 52 Downey Group Will-Temperament Test nt Test 5 VII Trace as slowly as possible the scroll below. 3 trials. the line below as unning over the line 1 (222220000000)Cleeleeooooo ected below. Write 42 2 allelee (22222222222222 3 3 000000000 33 000000000000 46 (0000000000000

Downey Group Will-Temperament Test

VIII Disguised writing.

2

6

1 Write "United States of America," in a hand very unlike your own. You need not hurry.

United States of America United

Practice disguising your hand, and then write "United States of America" again. *Change your writing as much as possible.* 

United States of America

Number 60

Downey Group V Use this p

United Dates of An United States of Av United Stalosof A United States of Ar United States of United States of United States of

t Test

" in a hand very

Imerica

Downey Group Will-Temperament Test Use this page for practice.

United Dates of America United States of America

then write "United our writing as much

Number

160

52

52 8 Downey Group Will-Temperament Test Imitate Model A, writing as rapidly as possible. Copy it as many times as you can before the signal to stop. Downey Group W Copy Model A as exactly as you can. Go slowly and work carefully. If you finish before the signal to stop, begin again. Copy the handwriting below as directed. 3 -IX T

IX Copy the handwriting below as directed. 1 Copy Model A as exactly as you can. Go slowly and work carefully. If you finish before the signal to stop, begin again. 1, in

Downey Group Will-Temperament Test 2 Copy Model B as well as you can. Choose your own speed. If you finish before the signal to stop, begin again.

United States of america. BUnited States gamerica United States gamerica

52

9

Ses

10 Downey Group Will-Temperament Test X Write your name repeatedly in the spaces below as directed. Keep writing until the signal to stop. 1 Eyes open, usual style and speed. florethy Grooms Marothy Groens arting Green 2 Eyes closed, usual style and speed. eretty Groems Derethy Eyes on examiner's pencil while counting taps. 3 4 Eyes open while counting number of times examiner repeats the word "fly." herethy preems rothy Green

The word "fly" was used \_\_\_\_\_times.

# Downey Group W

Memory Test. XI

> After each one of the the words "false" and says is true, draw a line line under ''false.''

Example:

I read you a list of

This is a true statem lined.

If you are absolutely lines under "true" or Take all the time you you have marked every that you have finished. Don't skip any.

1 I read you a list of 10 word

Two words rimed-that is,

The first word in the list wa 3

The last word in the list wa 4

The word collar occurred tu 5

The longest word in the list 6

The shortest word in the list 7

Three words began with b. 8 When I read the list of wor

9 The card from which I read

10

The card was white. 11

I held the card in my left 12

-13 In my right hand I held a

I tapped with the pencil at 14

After reading the list I put 15

16 Then I sat down.

XII Correcting Memory T

### Downey Group Will-Temperament Test

### XI Memory Test.

After each one of the following 16 sentences you will find the words "false" and "true." If you think what a sentence says is true, draw a line under "true"; if it is false, draw a line under "false."

Example:

I read you a list of words early in the test. true false

This is a true statement, and the word "true" is underlined.

If you are absolutely sure that you are right, draw two lines under "true" or "false."

Take all the time you need to mark the sentences. When you have marked *every one*, hold your pencil up to show that you have finished.

Don't skip any.

1	I read you a list of 10 words.	true fa	lse
2	Two words rimed-that is, ended in the same sound.	true fa	lse
3	The first word in the list was book.	true fa	lse
4	The last word in the list was rose.	true fa	lse
5	The word collar occurred twice in the list.	(true) fa	lse
6	The longest word in the list was mountain.	true fa	lse
7	The shorlest word in the list was ice.	true fa	lse
8	Three words began with $b$ .	true fa	lse
9	When I read the list of words, I was standing up.	true fa	lse
0	The card from which I read the words was oblong.	true fa	lse
1	The card was white.	true fa	lse
2	I held the card in my left hand while reading.	true fa	lse
3	In my right hand I held a pencil.	true fa	lse
4	I tapped with the pencil at every word I read.	true fa	lse
5	After reading the list I put the card into an envelope.	true fa	lse
6	Then I sat down.	true fa	lse

XII Correcting Memory Test.

2.15

*it Test* below as directed.

taps.

ne

examiner repeats

52



# DOWNEY GROUP WILL-TEMPERAMENT TEST

Devised by JUNE E. DOWNEY, PH.D. Professor of Psychology, University of Wyoming

WORLD BOOK COMPANY: PUBLISHERS Yonkers-on-Hudson, New York

# MANUAL OF DIRECTIONS

First Revision


### CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION											PAGE
D 0				1		•		•			.,
DIRECTIONS FOR GIVING THE GROUP TE	ST			•							3
Test I. Speed of Decision											3
Test II. Freedom from Load .											4
Test III. Writing Name at Retard	led	Sp	ee	ł							4
Test IV. Memory Test							-				1
Test V. Coordination of Impulses				•		•			•	•	-
Test VI Speed of Movement and	E.					· T	•				9
Test VII Meter I-hilin	r re	eed	on	11	ron	n L	oa	α	•		Э
Test VII. Motor Inhibition	•		•	•	*	•	•	•	•		6
Test VIII. Flexibility and Volition	nal	Pe	rse	ve	rat	ion					6
Test IX. Interest in Detail											7
Test X. Motor Impulsion											7
Test XI. Self-confidence											8
Test XIL Non-compliance										•	0
Test XIII Finality of Indoment				•	•		•	*	*	•	9
rest rent. I manty of Judgment	• •	•	•	•	•	•	•	*		•	à
TENTATIVE SCORING OF GROUP TEST											10
THE WILL-PROFILE											15

DGWTT: M-11

Copyright 1922, 1925, by World Book Company. Copyright in Great Britain All rights reserved. PRINTED IN U.S.A.

# MANUAL

## INTE

THE author advises examin form of the test before atte For a discussion of the sig profile, see the manual accortest and the author's *The* 

The group form of the v basis of the amount of wo of on the basis of the tin task, as in the individual fo necessary by this different the test parallel each othe dividual form could not be to Opposition a test for Se Reaction to Contradiction

## DIRECTIONS FOR

See that each subject is break in the middle of a te Always give the oral dir In the beginning say:

"Please fill in the blank let, but do nothing more u When all have finished,

"This is not an intellig that you follow directions to work rapidly, sometin speed. Follow these dire at the signal and stop in finishing what you are d there. Don't take time e stop is given. Is this clea allowed to ask questions.

#### TEST I.

"Turn over the page columns of words. They less; Daring.....cautiou "You are to draw a lin nearly describes you. Un

<sup>1</sup> Published by World Boo

# MANUAL OF DIRECTIONS

### INTRODUCTION

THE author advises examiners to give and score the individual form of the test before attempting to administer the group form. For a discussion of the significance of the tests and of the willprofile, see the manual accompanying the individual form of the test and the author's The Will-Temperament and Its Testing.<sup>1</sup>

The group form of the will-temperament test is scored on the basis of the amount of work done in a prescribed time, instead of on the basis of the time needed to accomplish a prescribed task, as in the individual form. Except for the modifications made necessary by this different method of scoring, the two forms of the test parallel each other very closely. Two items of the individual form could not be adapted for group use. For Resistance to Opposition a test for Self-confidence has been substituted; for Reaction to Contradiction, a Non-compliance test.

### DIRECTIONS FOR GIVING THE GROUP TEST

See that each subject is provided with two pencils. One may break in the middle of a test. Erasers are not needed. Do not allow

Always give the oral directions exactly according to instructions. to leave

In the beginning say: "Please fill in the blank on the front of the examination booklet, but do nothing more until directions are given."

When all have finished, say:

"This is not an intelligence test, but it is absolutely necessary that you follow directions exactly. Sometimes you will be asked to work rapidly, sometimes slowly, sometimes at your natural speed. Follow these directions precisely. Begin always exactly at the signal and stop immediately when I say, 'Stop,' without finishing what you are doing. If in the middle of a word, stop there. Don't take time even to finish a letter when the signal to stop is given. Is this clear? After the test begins, you will not be allowed to ask questions."

#### TEST I. SPEED OF DECISION

"Turn over the page to Test I. Notice that there are two columns of words. They run in pairs, thus: Careful.....careless; Daring ..... cautious; Ambitious ..... unambitious, etc. "You are to draw a line under the word in each pair which more

nearly describes you. Underline one word or the other in every pair;

<sup>1</sup> Published by World Book Company, Yonkers-on-Hudson, New York.

cht in Great Britain

from Load

eration .

10

15

. . . .

4

one always describes you better than the other. Take the pairs in order; *don't skip any*. Take your time. Speed doesn't count. Ready—Begin."

### (Time limit: 45 seconds.)

When the time is up, say "Stop." This signal is to be given sharply and promptly at the end of each test.

## TEST II. FREEDOM FROM LOAD

1. "Now turn to Test II, Writing your Name. Under '1' you are to write your name as you sign it ordinarily, in your usual style and at your usual speed — just the way you naturally write it. Keep on writing your name until told to stop. When you finish it, don't pause, but begin again at once. Keep on writing it again and again until told to stop. Ready — Begin."

The examiner should emphasize the above instruction and enforce it absolutely. There is a strong tendency to stop at the completion of each writing of the name.

### (Time limit: 20 seconds.)

2. "Under '2' you are to write your name just as rapidly as you possibly can. Write it just as many times as you can from the instant I give the signal to begin until I give the signal to stop. Ready — Go."

The examiner should give a suggestion of speed in reading the directions. "Ready -Go" should be given with a snap.

#### (Time limit: 20 seconds.)

## TEST III. WRITING NAME AT RETARDED SPEED

"In Test III you are to write your name just as slowly as you possibly can without stopping the movement of the pencil. Remember that you are to write very, very slowly. If you finish your name once, begin on it a second time. Use a sharp pencil. Ready — Start."

The examiner gives the idea of retarded movement by drawling the directions.

#### (Time limit: 20 seconds.)

## TEST IV. MEMORY TEST

"Now you may rest your hand a moment. Pencils up, please. Listen to me. I am going to read you a list of 10 words. You are to remember them so as to be able to report on them at the end of the test. You must also watch very carefully everything that I

#### do while I am reading the my actions."

Examiner now read oblong white card the l reading, holds the card right hand, and taps at follows:

## Book, Collar, Muff

"Now listen again. Notice the *first* and *la repeated* in the list, whe same sound), and *how* 

After the second read the first reading, the e deliberately and sits do

#### TEST V.

"Turn the page to Te write on that short line "United States of Ame remember there are two rapidly, and you must k Go."

#### (Ti

## TEST VI. SPEED OF

1. "Test VI is like write 'United States of *usual* speed. Write con the signal to stop. Don't repeatedly until I tell y *phrases.*<sup>1</sup> Write at the s keep writing all the time (Tim

2. "Under '2' write as you can and as many begin until the signal remember to write just a

The examiner must be sure tendency to pause at the end of

### rament Test

e other. Take the pairs e. Speed doesn't count.

## nds.)

his signal is to be given test.

## M LOAD

r Name. Under '1' you rdinarily, in your usual way you naturally write old to stop. When you nce. Keep on writing it y — Begin."

above instruction and tendency to stop at the

## nds.)

name just as rapidly as mes as you can from the give the signal to stop.

of speed in reading the n with a snap. ds.)

#### STARDED SPEED

me just as slowly as you nent of the pencil. Rey slowly. If you finish ne. Use a sharp pencil.

movement by drawling

## ds.)

#### FEST

ent. Pencils up, please. t of 10 words. You are ort on them at the end refully everything that I

## Manual of Directions

do while I am reading the words, for 1 shall ask you questions about my actions."

Examiner now reads slowly (one word a second) from an oblong white card the list of words given below. He stands while reading, holds the card in the left hand, holds a pencil in the right hand, and taps at every word read. The list of words is as follows:

#### Book, Collar, Muff, Ice, Stove, Collar, Bluff, Mountain, Bird, Rose.

"Now listen again. I am going to read the list a second time. Notice the *first* and *last* word; and notice also if any word is *repeated* in the list, whether any words *rime* (that is, end in the same sound), and *how many* begin with *B*."

After the second reading, which in every detail should duplicate the first reading, the examiner puts the card into an envelope deliberately and sits down.

## TEST V. COORDINATION OF IMPULSES

"Turn the page to Test V. Look at the short line. You are to write on that short line, *just as rapidly as you can*, the words 'United States of America." Write out the words in full and remember there are two things you *must* do: *you must write very rapidly, and you must keep from running over the line.* Ready — Go."

## (Time limit: 7 seconds.)

# TEST VI. SPEED OF MOVEMENT AND FREEDOM FROM LOAD

1. "Test VI is like one you have already had. Under '1' write 'United States of America' in your usual style and at your usual speed. Write continuously from the signal to begin until the signal to stop. Don't hurry, but remember to write the phrase repeatedly until I tell you to stop. Don't pause between words or phrases.<sup>1</sup> Write at the speed at which you naturally write, and keep writing all the time. Ready — Begin."

## (Time limit: 20 seconds.)

2. "Under '2' write 'United States of America' just as rapidly as you can and as many times as it is possible from the signal to begin until the signal to stop. Don't pause between words;' remember to write just as rapidly as possible. Ready — Go."

<sup>1</sup> The examiner must be sure that this instruction is understood. There is a strong tendency to pause at the end of each word and phrase.

53

6

As in Test II, the examiner should suggest speeding by voice and manner.

(Time limit: 20 seconds.)

### TEST VII. MOTOR INHIBITION

1. "Turn to Test VII. Under '1' you are to move your pencil along the dotted line *just as slowly as you possibly can* and still keep the pencil moving. Trace the scroll as if you were writing, but do it *very*, *very slowly*. Be sure that your pencil keeps moving all the time. Ready — Begin."

The examiner should suggest retardation by drawling the directions.

## (Time limit: 30 seconds.)

2. "Now under '2' try a second time. More the pencil just as slowly as you can without slopping it. Most persons go more slowly on a second trial. Ready — Begin."

#### (Time limit: 1 minute, 30 seconds.)

3. "Try once more. This is your last chance; do your very best. Some persons can spend 10 minutes writing their names. Go as slowly as you possibly can. Ready — Begin."

(Time limit: 2 minutes, 30 seconds.)

## TEST VIII. FLEXIBILITY AND VOLITIONAL PERSEVERATION

1. "Turn the page to Test VIII, Disguised Handwriting. Under '1,' write 'United States of America' in a style very unlike your usual one. Change your writing so much that none of your friends would know it. Ready — Begin."

#### (Time limit: 50 seconds.)

2. "Before writing under '2' you are to practice on page 7 disguising — that is, changing — your writing of 'United States of America' until you get a disguise that would deceive a hand-writing expert.

"When you are satisfied with your disguise, look up at the blackboard, where I shall be writing a column of numbers, and note the first number that I write *after you look up*. Write this number in the space where it says, 'Number' on page 6. "Then under '2' write 'United States of America' in the dis-

"Then under '2' write 'United States of America' in the disguised hand you have worked out. Begin now with your practice on page 7." Man

At this point the examinand at the end of 5 second blackboard. At the end of write the number of second which will appear thus:

# (Time

If at the end of the 6 min not written the phrase op omitted, but that they sha on page 6.

3. "Turn the page to T States of America' is writt writing *just as rapidly as* sample and copy it over an Don't pause after one copy (Time

#### TEST IX.

1. "In Test IX, 1, you possible. Speed doesn't c good a copy as you can. If sure to begin a second tim (Time

2. "Under '2' copy M slowly, as you prefer. Just one copy, begin a second, third time. Ready — Star (Time limit

#### TEST X.

1. "Turn the page to T carefully. Under '1' writ Test II, at your usual sp over and over again just th signal to stop. Ready — G (Time

At this point the examiner should note the time by his watch, and at the end of 5 seconds he should write the number 5 on the blackboard. At the end of each succeeding 5 seconds he should write the number of seconds which have elapsed, in a column which will appear thus:

#### 10 15 20 etc., up to 360 (Time limit: 6 minutes.)

5

If at the end of the 6 minutes some are still practicing and have not written the phrase opposite 2, tell them that this may be omitted, but that they shall write the number 360 in the square on page 6.

3. "Turn the page to Test VIII, 3. Observe the way 'United States of America' is written. Under '3' you are to imitate the writing *just as rapidly as you can*. Write the same size as the sample and copy it over and over until the signal is given to stop. Don't pause after one copying before beginning a second time."

#### (Time limit: 1 minute.)

#### TEST IX. INTEREST IN DETAIL

1. "In Test IX, 1, you are to copy Model A just as exactly as possible. Speed doesn't count. Work carefully, and make as good a copy as you can. If you should finish before the signal, be sure to begin a second time. Ready — Begin."

#### (Time limit: <u>1 minute.</u>)

2. "Under '2' copy Model B as well as you can, rapidly or slowly, as you prefer. Just choose your own speed. If you finish one copy, begin a second, and if you finish a second, begin a third time. Ready — Start."

(Time limit: 1 minute, 15 seconds.)

#### TEST X. MOTOR IMPULSION

1. "Turn the page to Test X. You must follow directions very carefully. Under '1' write your name just as you wrote it in Test II, at your usual speed and in your usual style. Write it over and over again just the way you naturally write it, until the signal to stop. Ready — Go."

(Time limit: 20 seconds.)

ament Test

gest speeding by voice

<u>ds.</u>)

#### BITION

are to move your pencil a possibly can and still as if you were writing, our pencil keeps moving

ation by drawling the

#### ds.)

Move the pencil just as persons go more slowly

#### seconds.)

t chance; do your very es writing their names. — Begin." seconds.)

## NAL PERSEVERATION

Disguised Handwriting. a' in a style very unlike much that none of your

### ds.)

to practice on page 7 iting of 'United States would deceive a hand-

isguise, look up at the olumn of numbers, and you look up. Write this ber' on page 6. of America' in the disin now with your prac-

2. "Now write your name again, just as you did under '1." but with your eves closed. You must place your pencil in position, so that when I give the signal you will be ready to begin. Remember to write your name again and again during the time between signals. Don't open your eves until I give the signal to stop. Ready — Close eyes — Go."

### (Time limit: 20 seconds.)

3. "Under '3' you are to write your name in your usual way, but while you are doing this you are to keep your eyes fixed on this pencil and you are to count aloud with me the number of times I tap the table with the pencil. Keep your eyes on the pencil and count aloud. Keep on writing until I stop tapping. Ready — Go."

Examiner taps on the table slightly faster than once per second. He also counts aloud vigorously.

## (Time limit: 20 seconds.)

4. "Under '4' you are to write your name repeatedly from the signal to begin until the signal to stop. While you are writing I am going to read you a list of words that rime with 'fly,' such as 'die,' 'sigh,' and 'lie.' You are to keep track of the number of times I say the word 'fly.'

"When I give the signal to stop, record the number of times I read the word 'fly." Don't forget to keep on writing your name all the time. Ready — Go."

List to be read by examiner:

8

Fly, die, sigh, sky, fly, fly, fly, my, fly, lie, die, by, fly, fly, high, fly, cry, fly, sigh, sigh, fly, nye, my, fly.

The examiner should time his reading so that 20 seconds will be required to go through the list. If he fails to complete the list, he should record the number of times "fly" was read. If he finishes before the end of the 20 seconds, he should reread part of the list, recording the correct number of times "fly" was pronounced.

(Time limit: 20 seconds.)

## TEST XI. SELF-CONFIDENCE

"Turn to Test XI. After each one of the 16 sentences you will find the words 'false' and 'true.' If you think what a sentence says is true, draw a line under 'true'; if it is false, draw a line under 'false.'

"For example, 'I read you a list of words early in the test. true false.' "This is a true sta "If you are *absolute* under 'true' or 'false." "Take all the time y have marked *every one* finished. Don't skip d

#### TEST

When every one has "Of the sentences y are true. You may con around any 'true' or 'f and underline the othe reads, 'Two of the wor you underlined the w 'true' and underline 'f

"You may make all eight false and eight trapencil when you have The examiner shoulthey desire. Three m

TEST XI

"Turn to Test XIII. back to Test I and m you marked your own o changes, draw a circle underline the other wor addition to those you c finished, look at the bl "Number" on page 12 U "Now turn back to Ready — Begin."

At this point the exa and at the end of 3 sec blackboard. At the en write the number of s which will appear thus:

<sup>1</sup> With children below the 9th and explained.

"This is a true statement, and the word 'true' is underlined. "If you are *absolutely sure* that you are right, draw two lines under 'true' or 'false."

"Take all the time you need to mark the sentences. When you have marked *every one*, hold your pencil up to show that you have finished. *Don't skip any.*"

### TEST XII. NON-COMPLIANCE

When every one has finished Test XI, say:

"Of the sentences you have just marked, *eight* are false, *eight* are true. You may correct your work in this way: Draw a circle around any 'true' or 'false' that you made a mistake in marking, and underline the other word instead. For example, sentence 2 reads, 'Two of the words in the list rimed.' If this was false, but you underlined the word 'true,' draw a circle about the word 'true' and underline 'false' instead.<sup>1</sup>

"You may make all the corrections you care to, so as to mark eight false and eight true, <u>but don't erase any marks</u>. Raise your pencil when you have finished."

The examiner should give time for all to make any changes they desire. Three minutes is probably sufficient.

#### TEST XIII. FINALITY OF JUDGMENT

"Turn to Test XIII. When the signal is given you are to turn back to Test I and make any changes you care to in the way you marked your own character traits. If you want to make any changes, draw a circle around the word you marked first and underline the other word of the pair.<sup>1</sup> Do not check any traits *inaddition* to those you checked in the first place. When you have finished, look at the blackboard and write in the space marked 'Number' on page 12 the first number I write after you look up.

"Now turn back to Test I and make what changes you wish. Ready — Begin."

At this point the examiner should note the time by his watch, and at the end of 3 seconds he should write the number 3 on the blackboard. At the end of each succeeding 3 seconds he should write the number of seconds which have elapsed, in a column which will appear thus:

3	
6	
9	
	369

#### 12 etc., up to 120.

 $^{\rm t}$  With children below the 9th grade the illustration should be put on the blackboard and explained.

## rament Test

t as you did under '1.' your pencil in position, ready to begin. Rememduring the time between give the signal to stop.

nds.)

hame in your usual way, keep your cyes fixed on with me the number of Keep your eyes on the ag until I stop tapping.

ter than once per second.

nds.)

ame repeatedly from the While you are writing I rime with 'fly,' such as track of the number of

rd the number of times on writing your name

e, die, by, fly, fly, high, ye, my, fly.

b that 20 seconds will be to complete the list, he was read. If he finishes d reread part of the list, fly" was pronounced. ds.)

DENCE

he 16 sentences you will think what a sentence f it is false, draw a line

words early in the test.

10

After reaching 114 the examiner quits writing and tells those who have not finished to write 114 in the blank. If desired, a little further time may then be allowed for the checking.

### TENTATIVE SCORING OF GROUP-TEST

The directions for scoring are to be used in conjunction with the table on page 16. The norms were obtained from an approximately small number of adults and are only *tentative*. After more extensive application of the tests, material will be at hand for establishing norms for different ages.

Test I. Speed of Decision. Checking of traits. Scored on number of decisions made in time limit. See table, page 16.

Test II. Normal and Speeded Writing of Name. Not scored; given as practice for Test VI.

Test III. Retarded Writing of Name. Not scored; given as preliminary practice for Test VII.

Test IV. Memory Test. Not scored; given in preparation for Test XI.

Test V. Coördination of Impulses. Writing "United States of America" in a restricted space and at speeded time. Scored on number of letters omitted or over the line. See table, page 16.

Nore. The method of scoring used is open to the objection that it fails to reckon with variations in normal speed and that it makes no distinction between those who fail to finish writing the phrase through lack of time and those who finish but run over the line.

It is possible to correct a particular record or to note the quality of reaction by the following procedure:

Calculate from Test VI the normal and speeded time for writing the phrase once. Using these values, score the reaction on the basis of the norms given for Test V, in the Manual of Directions for the Individual Tests.

Test VI. Speed of Movement and Freedom from Load. Speed of Movement is scored from VI.1 on the number of letters written at normal speed within the time limit. See table, page 16.

To score for Freedom from Load, divide the number of letters written during the speeded trial (VI, 2) by the number written at normal speed (VI, 1). Score this ratio in accordance with the norms given in the scoring table, page 16.

Test VII. Motor Inhibition. Scored on third trial according to norms given in table. Each scroll is divided into 33 equal units, and the scoring is based on the number of units traced.

Test VIII. Flexibility. Score disguised hands (VIII, 1 and 2), first and second attempts each, 0, 1, or 2, according to amount of change. (See samples below.) If the phrase was not written under 2, score the best practice attempt.

Score Rapid Imitation quality and speed, as follo Rate the quality A, B, (see page 12), E signifyin own hand.

Man

Count the letters writte schedule below find the gro Find the score under the speed group.

united States 70 Normal

United States

Disguised. Scored 0

United State Normal

United Sta

Disguised. Scored 1

Mulat Stake

Normal

Disguised. Scored 2

Score Rapid Imitation of Model A (VIII. 3) according to quality and speed, as follows:

Rate the quality A, B, C, D, or E according to general effect (see page 12), E signifying complete reversion to the subject's own hand.

Count the letters written, and in the left-hand column of the schedule below find the group in which the speed of writing falls.

Find the score under the proper letter and opposite the proper speed group.

huiles States of america Normal

Three examples of normal and disguised writing. Test VIII, 1 and 2

11

United States of america Disguised. Scored 0

United States of aminea

Normal

United States of america

Disguised. Scored 1

United States of America Venited States of America

Disguised. Scored 2

# ient Test

iting and tells those blank. If desired, a e checking.

# DUP-TEST

in conjunction with ned from an approxonly lentative. After rial will be at hand

traits. Scored on table, page 16. Name. Not scored;

ot scored; given as

n in preparation for

"United States of ed time. Scored on e table, page 16. ion that it fails to reckon ction between those who who finish but run over

he quality of reaction by

writing the phrase once. norms given for Test V.

om Load. Speed of of letters written ble, page 16.

e number of letters he number written n accordance with

ird trial according ded into 33 equal of units traced. ands (VIII, 1 and cording to amount se was not written

53 Four examples of rapid imitation of Model A. Test VIII. 3 (Seepage 16) NUMBER OF LETTERS WRITTEN 147-42 41-21 20-10 Under 10 Add partial scores to Test VIII. 2. Voliti is determined by the an guise. From the num the score according to Test IX. Interest in the examinee in copying the task as compared A (VIII. 3). The score Rate imitations Mod jointly, A, B, C, D, E, about fifteen details in as proper placing of one letter-grade for ea accompanying illustration be noted in scoring. sol + Find the difference imitation (VIII, 3) a and in the left-hand Quality B Quality C Quality A Quality in which this number Under the proper l the score. 12

NUMBER OF LETTERS WRITTEN	A	в	c	D	Е
147-42	6	6	4	2	0
41-21	6	4	3	1	0
20-10	4	3	2	0	0
Under 10	3	2	1	0	0

Add partial scores to find the total score.

Test VIII. 2. Volitional Perseveration. The score on this trait is determined by the amount of time spent in practice on the disguise. From the number the examinee records in the blank find the score according to the table, page 16.

Test IX. Interest in Detail. This test is scored on the success of the examinee in copying the writing and on the time he gives to the task as compared with his speed in Rapid Imitation of Model A (VIII. 3). The scoring procedure is as follows:

Rate imitations Model A(IX, 1) and imitations Model B (IX, 2) jointly, A, B, C, D, E, or F, according to accuracy in details. Note about fifteen details in the two imitations, - such, for example, as proper placing of i-dot, form of t-bar, etc., - and penalize one letter-grade for each three bad failures. The crosses on the accompanying illustration show the sort of details that should be noted in scoring. Quality A means no penalizing.

United States of aminint,

Find the difference in the number of letters written for rapid imitation (VIII, 3) and for slow imitation (IX, 1) of Model A, and in the left-hand column of the schedule below find the group in which this number falls.

Under the proper letter and opposite the proper number, find the score.

53

14

DIFFERENCE IN NUMBER OF LETTERS	A	в	С	D	Е	F
36 & over	10	9	8	7	6	5
21-35	9	8	7	6	5	4
12-20	8	7	6	5	4	3
6-11 Note	7	6	5	4	3	2
2-5 Note	6	5	4	3	2	1
1 or negative	5	4	3	2	1	0

Note. A slight difference in number of letters written in VIII and IX may be due to preoccupation with detail. If the quality of copy is A or B for imitations in VIII and IX and less than 22 letters are written in VIII, score 10 for Detail.

Test X. Motor Impulsion. By means of the accompanying schedule, score writing according to size and amount written as compared with normal size and amount written at normal rate. Score 2, 3, and 4 separately and then obtain the mean score.

RATIO OF LETTERS	GREAT MAGNI- FICATION (ABOUT 50%)	MODERATE MAGNI- FICATION (ABOUT 25%)	SLIGHT MAGNI- FICATION	NORMAL	SLIGHT DECREASE IN SIZE	GREAT DECREASE IN SIZE
1.10 or over	10	10	8	6 -	4	2
.90-1.09	9	9	7	5	3	1
.7589	8	7	6	4	2	1
.5574	6	5	4	3	2	1
.3354	4	3	2	2	1	1
.0032	2	1	1	1	1	1

To score each trial, note the size of the writing under distraction as compared with normal size. Then find the ratio of the number of letters written under distraction to the number of letters written at normal rate, by dividing the former by the latter, and find the proper score in the schedule. Take the mean of these for the final score.

Test XI. Self-confidence. Scored on number of items doubly underlined. See table, page 16.

Test XII. Non-compliance. Scored on number of changes made after the suggestion has been given by examiner.

Find the ratio between the number of changes actually made

# Manue

(numerator) and the numb acquiescence to the sugges are false; eight, true" (deno

53

The latter number is found statements marked "false" shows to what extent memo

Add 1 to the numerator which the original decision v for any change in marking a 5, 7, 9, 12, 13. Tabulation sions are rarely revised. Ap rationalization process that

**EXAMPLE.** Suppose a subject be ments "true" and 4 "false." The so of a possible 4. Two of the items marking; the ratio, then, is 5/4 or cates that the score would be 4.

Test XIII. Finality of rechecking Test I. See tal

#### THE

The will-profile shows in various tests. To plot the score for each trait by pla name of the trait. Then co will-profiles and a discuss referred to must be consu

(numerator) and the number of changes necessary for complete acquiescence to the suggestion that "Eight of the statements are false; eight, true" (denominator).

The latter number is found by subtracting from 8 the number of statements marked "false" before the suggestion is given, and shows to what extent memory was at fault.

Add 1 to the numerator of the fraction for every change in which the original decision was doubly underscored and also add 1 for any change in marking any of the following statements: 3, 4, 5, 7, 9, 12, 13. Tabulation of returns has shown that these decisions are rarely revised. Apparently they afford little chance for a rationalization process that justifies a change.

**EXAMPLE.** Suppose a subject before the suggestion is given marks 12 of the statements "true" and 4 "false." The suggestion that 8 are "false" brings 3 changes out of a possible 4. Two of the items changed were doubly underscored in the original marking; the ratio, then, is 5/4 or 1.25, and reference to the norms (see table) indicates that the score would be 4.

Test XIII. Finality of Judgment. Scored on time spent in rechecking Test I. See table, page 16.

#### THE WILL-PROFILE

The will-profile shows in graphic form the scores made in the various tests. To plot the graph, indicate on the record blank the score for each trait by placing a dot on the line labeled with the name of the trait. Then connect the dots with a line. For sample will-profiles and a discussion of them, the publications already referred to must be consulted.

nent Test

Е	F
6	5
5	4
4	3
3	2
2	1
1	0

a in VIII and IX may be a A or B for imitations in core 10 for Detail.

the accompanying amount written as tten at normal rate. the mean score.

L	SLIGHT DECREASE IN SIZE	GREAT DECREASE IN SIZE
	4	2
	3	1
	2	1
	2	1
	1	1
	1	1

iting under distracnd the ratio of the to the number of the former by the nle. Take the mean

er of items doubly

number of changes xaminer. nges actually made

XIII1 SCORE	3-24 10		35 27-30 9	15 27-30 9   59 33-36 8	15 27-30 9   59 33-36 8   79 39-42 7	55 27-30 9   59 33-36 8   79 39-42 7   00 45-51 6	55 27-30 9   59 33-36 8   70 39-42 7   29 54-60 5	55 27–30 9   99 33–36 8   99 39–42 7   00 45–51 6   229 54–60 5   .45 63–69 4	55 27-30 9   99 33-36 8   99 39-42 7   90 45-51 6   29 54-60 5   45 63-69 4   69 72-87 3	55 27–30 9   99 33–36 8   199 39–42 7   100 45–51 6   229 54–60 5   69 72–87 3   0.00 45–51 6   100 45–60 5   100 72–87 3   0.00 72–87 3   0.00 72–87 3   0.0171 2 3	$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$
IIX XIII	6 0	4 .113		.365	.365	.365					
IX	0 15-1	0 13-1	0 19		11 0	0 11 5 10	0 11 5 10 8-9	0 11 5 10 8-9 5 6-7	0 11 5 6-7 4-5	0 11   5 10   6 8-9   6 7   6 1-3   1-3 1-3	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
VIII, 2	315-36	245-31	195-24		160-19	160-19	160-19 135-15 120-13	160-19 135-15 120-13 100-11	160-190 135-150 120-130 85-95	160-19   135-15   120-13   120-13   85-95   65-80	160-19   135-15   135-15   120-13   85-95   65-80   5-60
TEST VII	r 0-3	4-5	2-9		8-9	8-9 10-12	8-9 10-12 13-15	8-9 10-12 13-15 16-21	8-9 10-12 13-15 16-21 22-35	8-9 10-12 13-15 16-21 22-35 36-59	8-9 10-12 11-12 16-21 16-21 36-59 0ver 59
TEST VI, 1	56 & ove	54-55	49-53		48	48 44-47	48 44-47 43	48 44-47 43 42	48 44-47 43 43 42 38-41	48 44-47 43 42 35-37	48 44-47 43 43 42 33-41 35-37 0-34
VI, 1 & 2	under 1.09	1.10-1.15	1.16-1.17		1.18-1.21	$\frac{1.18-1.21}{1.22-1.26}$	1.18-1.21 1.22-1.26 1.27-1.30	$\frac{1.18-1.21}{1.22-1.26}$ $\frac{1.27-1.30}{1.31-1.32}$	$\frac{1.18-1.21}{1.22-1.26}$ $\frac{1.27-1.30}{1.31-1.32}$ $\frac{1.33-1.39}{1.33-1.39}$	$\frac{1.18-1.21}{1.22-1.26}$ $\frac{1.22-1.26}{1.27-1.30}$ $\frac{1.31-1.32}{1.33-1.39}$	1.18-1.21   1.22-1.26   1.27-1.30   1.31-1.32   1.33-1.39   1.40-1.50   Over 1.50
TEST V	0 letters	1-2 letters	3 letters		4 letters	4 letters 5 letters	4 letters 5 letters 6 letters	4 letters5 letters6 letters7 letters	4 letters5 letters6 letters7 letters8-9 letters	4 letters5 letters6 letters7 letters8-9 letters9.5-12	4 letters5 letters6 letters7 letters8-9 letters9.5-12Over 12
I	.30	24-29	21-23		18-20	18-20 17	18-20 17 15-16	18-20 17 15-16 14	18-20 17 15-16 14 12-13	18-20 17 15-16 14 12-13 10-11	18-20 17 15-16 15-16 14 12-13 10-11 1-9
SCORE	10	6	8		1	2	2 9 3	6 4	3 4 3 6 1	19 33 4 37 6 -1	1 23 34 55 66 71

SCORING TABLE

16

Downey Group Will-Temperament Test