

## Evaluation of a Disease-Specific Self-Efficacy Instrument in Adolescents with Sickle Cell Disease and its Relationship to Adjustment

By: Olivio J. Clay and Joseph Telfair

Clay, O. & [Telfair, J.](#) (2007). Evaluation of a disease-specific self-efficacy instrument in adolescents with sickle cell disease and its relationship to adjustment. *Child Neuropsychology* 13(2), 188-203 DOI: 10.1080/09297040600770746

Made available courtesy of Taylor and Francis: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/09297040600770746>

**\*\*\*Note: Figures may be missing from this format of the document**

### **Abstract:**

The psychometric properties of a disease-specific instrument used to assess self-efficacy in adolescents with sickle cell disease, the Sickle Cell Self-Efficacy Scale, were evaluated in a sample of 131 adolescents ranging from 11 to 19 years of age. This nine-item instrument was associated with a one-item, general self-efficacy question and an item of self-control. After controlling for age, gender, highest grade of education completed, and the number of individuals in the household, high levels of self-efficacy were related to fewer physical, psychological, and total symptoms. Using the stress process framework to examine the relationship between self-efficacy and self-reported symptoms in adolescents may lead to the initiation of effective intervention programs capable of increasing levels of self-efficacy in adolescents. These interventions could lead to better outcomes for adolescents with sickle cell disease. Additional longitudinal investigations are needed to evaluate the ability of self-efficacy to predict adolescent adjustment over time.

**Keywords:** Sickle cell disease; self-efficacy; adolescent health; health promotion; youth health; adjustment

### **Article:**

#### **Introduction**

Sickle cell disease (SCD) comprises a group of genetic hemoglobin disorders. The predominant symptom associated with SCD is pain resulting from “vasoocclusion” of small blood vessels by abnormally “sickle-shaped” red blood cells. There are other complications including chronic organ damage (Serjeant, 2001), however severity is variable. Current treatments include transfusion for severe complications (Reed & Vichinsky, 1998), and hydroxyurea, which raises fetal hemoglobin level, rehydrates the red blood cells, and reduces vasoocclusion (Charache et al., 1995). Bone marrow transplantation may also be considered in cases with extreme disease severity (Hoppe & Walters, 2001).

SCD is found in people originating from Africa, the Mediterranean, the Middle East, and Asia. The United States affected population is about 75,000 (National Heart, Lung & Blood Institute, 2002) and mostly African American. Medical advances over the past few decades have lead to improved life expectancy for people with SCD (Platt et al., 1991). Nonetheless, as children grow into adulthood they are faced with new challenges of social and institutional barriers, such as access to health care, social services, and employment (Telfair, 1994; Telfair, Myers, & Drezner, 1994). Many young people with SCD have to deal with health professionals' and the public's lack of knowledge and understanding of their condition, the stereotype of being socially dysfunctional, and the stigmatization of their condition (Telfair & Nash, 1996). This is partly because SCD is characterized as a “black” disease (Tapper, 1999).

The emergence of chronic conditions like SCD as a problem for health care systems to address is becoming more obvious, and research indicates that adolescents with chronic conditions have opinions and expectations about their future health care and health management (Court, 1993; Tapper, 1999). Their recurring health care needs put them at an increased risk of chronic dependency, low self-esteem, high levels of stress, ineffective coping skills, psychological difficulties (e.g., increased anxiety, depression and decreased self-efficacy), and

functional failure within their families and in adult social and health care systems (Burlew, Telfair, Colangelo, & Wright, 2000; McAnarney, 1985; Streetley, Maxwell, & Meija, 1997; Kellerman, Zeltzer, Ellenberg, Dash, & Rigler, 1980; Hurtig, Koepke, & Park, 1989; Hurtig & White, 1986; Thompson et al., 1994). Adolescents with difficulties adjusting to their illness are especially vulnerable for poor longitudinal outcome and are identifiable by low scores on questionnaires that assess current self-efficacy, self-esteem, and social competence. Difficulties in adjustment could be related to neurocognitive dysfunction either through damage by the disease to the neurologic structures that regulate emotional and social behaviors or through the difficulties involved in performing certain tasks. Additional longitudinal investigations are needed in this area that utilize techniques such as computerized tomography (CT) and positron emission tomography (PET) to determine the nature of the these relationships (Brown, Armstrong, & Eckman, 1993).

The increasing number of adolescents with SCD surviving into adulthood and ready for transfer from pediatric to adult care has made transition a critical issue (Telfair, Myers, & Drezner, 1994). Given the chronicity of SCD, this transition encompasses both medical and life transition and is defined as the process involved in the movement of adolescents from a focus on pediatric life skill issues to adult life skill issues, including transfer from pediatric or child-centered care to adult health care services (Telfair, Myers, & Drezner, 1994). Transition from pediatric to adult health care is identified as “the most difficult and potentially traumatic passage faced by adolescents with SCD” (Kinney & Ware, 1996; Hauser & Dorn, 1999) and many adolescents and young adults with chronic conditions, such as diabetes (Crosnier & Tubiana-Rufi, 1998), cystic fibrosis (Landau, 1995), spina bifida (Sawyer et al. 1998), congenital heart disease (Dore, de Guise, Mercier, 2002), and chronic arthritis (Ansell & Chamberlain, 1998), lack the self-advocacy, independent living and vocational skills, and sense of self-efficacy that would allow them to smoothly transition into adult care (Wagner, 1992).

The changing delivery of health care services presents additional challenges such as availability of and coordination between primary and specialty care, competing health care plans and administrative bureaucracies, managed care “gate-keeping,” financing and reimbursement systems, and quality of care (Committee on Child Health Financing, 1995; Jessop & Stein, 1994; Ballas, 1998; Palfrey, Samuels, Haynie, & Cammisa, 1994). Similarly, adolescents with SCD may be unwilling to seek out the services of an adult provider since they may have developed a strong relationship with, and reliance upon, their pediatrician (Betz, 1998; Callahan, Feinstein, & Keenan, 2001). For this and other reasons, many who reach late adolescence and young adulthood still remain in pediatric medical care systems or “find themselves adrift in search of appropriate fulfillment of their care needs” (Scal, Evans, Blozis, Okinow, & Blum, 1999; Chamberlain & Rooney, 1996; Maternal & Child Health Bureau, 1992; Blum et al., 1993). The rapid advancements in the treatment and care of persons affected by SCD has not been matched by development of effective programs focusing on the acquisition of needed personal, interpersonal and social life skills, self-advocacy, medical self-management and system negotiation, educational skills, and vocational readiness for young people (Reinholt & Oberg, 1993). Improved longitudinal outcomes for young people with chronic diseases are associated with participation in appropriate child to adult care transition programs, though there is little evidence to suggest that this is happening at a rate commensurate with clinical requirements (Sawyer, Blair, & Bowes, 1997) or that comparable to adolescents without such conditions. Adolescents with chronic conditions like SCD need guidance, support, and information about available services to help meet daily challenges (Court, 1993).

Previous research on psychosocial aspects of SCD has examined the extent of its impact on children, adolescents, and adults, the ways in which the affected families function, and the resultant psychological adjustment. Some of these studies have demonstrated that SCD is a risk factor for maladjustment (psychosocial functioning) in children and adolescents (Brown, Kaslow et al., 1993; Kliwer & Lewis, 1995; Gil, Wilson, & Edens, 1997; Thompson et al., 1999). Understanding the needs of adolescents is necessary to help them through behavioral and emotional difficulties of living with a chronic genetic disorder like SCD. Moreover, it is acknowledged that guidelines for clinical practice should be based on good evidence of effective recommended interventions (Garrison & McQuiston, 1989). However, in the context of moving through the health care system and life for adolescents with SCD there is hardly any empirical evidence available about appropriate interventions.

One potentially modifiable construct that may be important to adolescent adjustment is self-efficacy. Self-efficacy, a component of Social Learning Theory, refers to the extent to which individuals feel they have control over the events that affect their lives. In addition, the actions they take in response to a situation are expected to lead to a certain outcome (Bandura, 1977a, 1977b). An individual's level of motivation, actions, and affective states are not only based on what is objectively true but also on what the individual believes to be true (Bandura, 1997). This sometimes leads to self-efficacy being a better predictor of behavior and functioning than an individual's knowledge and skills. Bandura (1986) warns that measures of self-efficacy and self-perception must be relevant to the domain of functioning in question in order to avoid examining ambiguous relationships. Therefore, a valid, disease-specific measure of self-efficacy is necessary to examine the relationship between self-efficacy and adolescent outcome. The Sick Cell Self-Efficacy Scale (SCSES) serves as the first validated instrument designed to assess self-efficacy in adolescents with SCD.

Previous studies have examined the relationship between disease-specific self-efficacy and adolescent outcome. For example, Caplain and associates (2002) developed and evaluated a Seizure Self-Efficacy Scale for Children (SSES-C). This scale consisted of 15 items that loaded on a single factor, and the internal consistency as assessed by Cronbach's alpha coefficient was 0.93. High levels of seizure-specific self-efficacy were found to be associated with a more positive attitude toward the illness, a positive self-concept, less worry, and fewer depressive symptoms. Additionally, disease specific self-efficacy in adolescents has been shown to be modifiable by interventions. A 23-item questionnaire was used to assess asthma-specific self-efficacy in urban dwelling adolescents. This instrument was tested on a larger sample of 171 children with asthma and revealed an internal consistency of 0.77 (Bartholomew et al., 2000). A computer-assisted educational program designed to teach asthma self-management skills was shown to increase self-efficacy over a 3-week period (Shegog et al., 2001).

In this investigation, a stress process model (Lazarus & Folkman, 1984; Haley, Levine, Brown, & Bartolucci, 1987) was used to examine adolescents' adjustment to SCD. The stress process was originally divided into four multiple component domains, which can be used to examine adolescents' adjustment to SCD. First, the background and contexts of the stressful event or situation must be considered. Demographics such as age, education, and gender must be examined to determine if some adolescents with SCD are members of groups with unequal distributions of rewards, privileges, opportunities, or responsibilities, if so these variables must be controlled for when examining individual differences in adjustment. For this study, we did not examine the second domain of the stress process: primary, secondary, and extrinsic stressors (Pearlin, Mullan, Semple, & Skaff, 1990). Stressors such as pain that arise directly as a consequence of SCD would be labeled primary stressors. The stress associated with living with SCD has additional negative consequences, or secondary stressors, that affect the lives of adolescents. These may include missing days from school for sickle-cell-related illness or medical appointments and potentially limited opportunities for leisure activities or recreation. Extrinsic stressors include life events such as being involved in a car accident that are unrelated to the having SCD.

The third domain of the stress process model involves the mediation of stress and explaining individual differences in adjustment to SCD. Investigations examining both child and adult samples have shown that self-efficacy, social support, and appraisals of the stress involved in living with SCD explain some but not all individual differences on participant adherence to treatment and adjustment (Dalton & Pakenham, 2002; Ott, Greening, Palardy, Holderby, & DeBell, 2000; Haley et al., 1996). The stress process model would be incomplete if we had no way to measure the effects of stress on the everyday life of the adolescent. Individual outcomes, physical and psychological symptoms in this investigation, are the final domain of the model.

The purpose of this investigation was first to evaluate use of a disease-specific instrument, the SCSES, in assessing self-efficacy in adolescents with SCD, then to examine the relationships between demographic factors, self-efficacy, and physical and psychological symptoms in these adolescents. It is hypothesized that (a) the SCSES will have excellent psychometric properties when utilized in an adolescent sample as it did when used with adults (Edwards, Telfair, Cecil, & Lenoci, 2000), (b) background or demographic measures will not

be significantly associated with self-efficacy, (c) the SCSES will correlate with questions that assess related constructs such as self-care, and (d) after controlling for demographics, self-efficacy will be associated with the number of psychological symptoms, physical symptoms, and total symptoms reported by adolescents.

## **METHODS**

### ***Recruitment and Inclusion Criteria***

The current study is a “substudy” of the Multi-site Study of Transition to Adult Care for Adolescents with SCD (Telfair et al., 1994; Anie, Telfair, & the Sick Cell Disease Transition Study Group, 2005; Telfair, Ehiri, Loosier, & Baskin, 2004). The study was a cross-sectional survey of a volunteer international sample of individuals with SCD, who were still receiving care in pediatric community-based and medical center sickle cell disease programs. The purpose of the larger study was to present the “voice” of the adolescent with SCD as part of the discussion of transition issues and to assess the adolescent's readiness for transition to adult care and life (Anie et al., 2005). Respondents came from community-based and medical center sickle cell programs from 18 centers in the United States. In addition, participants from one site in London were also included in the sample examined for this manuscript.

Data gathering for the larger study was conducted between June 1998 and December 2002 to allow for the continuous enrollment of sites who wished to volunteer their participation. Each site collected data for an average of six months. This allowed for a larger sample to be gathered and for each site to exhaust their eligible participant base. Given the low prevalence of SCD, it was rare for a center to have more than 40 individuals who met the inclusion criteria on its active patient list: those that have been seen by providers at least once in the previous year. Individuals were not excluded because of disease severity or other reasons. The total number of individuals in the centers that met the inclusion criteria was 264 and each was invited to participate in the study. One hundred and seventy-two of these consented, giving a response rate of 65%. The list of participating sites is included in the acknowledgements.

### ***Procedures***

The measures described in this investigation were included in the adolescent sickle cell transfer questionnaire (A-SCTQ) designed to elicit demographics, adolescent-expressed demonstration of transition behaviors, expectations of transition, opinions regarding the need for a systematic transition program, and self-reports of personal health care, self-efficacy, and psychological and physical symptoms (Telfair et al., 1994; Telfair et al., 2004). Human subjects' approval was obtained from the Institutional Review Boards at the relevant agencies before commencement of the study. Signed consent was sought from the parent(s) or legal guardian(s) and the assent of the eligible adolescent was obtained. Parents/guardians and adolescents were informed by mail about the purpose and procedures of the study. They were told participation in the project was completely voluntary and they could refuse to participate, to discontinue participation at any point, or to refuse to answer questions they did not feel comfortable answering. Participants were reassured that if they chose not to participate in the study, they would not lose any benefits from their health care providers. Thus, participation in the study was voluntary and no incentive was provided to respondents. A stamped postcard was included for parents and adolescents to sign and return if they did not want to participate in the study.

### **Measures**

*Demographics.* The sociodemographic characteristics of the respondents, including hemoglobinopathy status, race, gender, marital status, employment, health insurance status, geographic location, highest grade in school completed, household size, and type of care program received, were all collected.

*Self-efficacy.* The Sickle Cell Self-Efficacy Scale (SCSES) is a nine-item scale developed specifically to assess adults and adolescent's self-appraisals of their ability to engage in daily functional activities despite having SCD (see appendix A). Response choices ranged from “not at all sure” to “very sure.” In the earlier study on the reliability and validity of the SCSES in adults (Edwards, et al., 2000), we noted that the items comprising the SCSES were relatively few (nine), queried efficacy beliefs in rather general terms, and were based on responses from fewer than 100 study participants, we elected not to factor-analyze the scale. Thus, total self-efficacy

scores were obtained by summing responses to all nine items, with higher scores indicative of greater self-efficacy. Adolescents answered the same items that were used with the adult sample.

*Personal health care.* Individuals were asked to rate whether they performed certain activities as a part of their health care on a four-point Likert scale (1 = rarely or never, 2 = not very often, 3 = often, and 4 = very often). The activities included: drinking enough liquids, not overexercising, taking medications as told, making sure the doctor's instructions were understood, and making sure that all medical questions were answered. For these items, higher scores were better.

*Physical symptoms.* A modified version of the National SCD Adult Self-Help (NSCDSH) study's Sickle Cell Disease Problem Scale (SCDPS) was employed to assess the presence and the extent of physical symptoms experienced by participants in the last 30 days (Nash, 1991a). Modifications involved simplifying the language of the items to make them more understandable for adolescents. Eleven items assessing how often the participant experienced physical symptoms common in SCD (e.g., pain, shortness of breath, threw up/vomited, etc...) were measured using a four-point Likert scale (1 = "rarely or none of the time", 2 = "not very often", 3 = "often", 4 = "very often"). A composite score was computed by summing the responses with higher scores indicating that the member experienced higher number of physical symptoms or problems. Cronbach's alpha for these eleven items was = 0.80.

*Psychological symptoms.* The Sickle Cell Disease Psychological Symptoms (SCDPYS) subscale of the NSCDSH study questionnaire (Nash, 1991b) was employed. The SCDPYS is an eight-item, four-point scale, also rated from 1 (rarely or never) to 4 (very often), that assesses adolescents' experiences of psychological symptoms specific to SCD in the preceding six months (e.g. was low, sad, or blue, was tense and nervous, had problems coping, etc...). Higher scores on this subscale indicate more psychological symptoms, hence more distress. Internal consistency of this subscale in this study sample was also quite high  $\alpha = 0.86$ .

*Total symptoms.* This was the sum of the 19 physical and psychological symptoms items.

## **Analyses**

All analyses were conducted using SAS Version 9 (SAS, 2002). An exploratory factor analysis was conducted to determine the number of factors that should be extracted from the nine-item SCSES. After using the principal components extraction method, an examination of the scree plot and eigenvalues were used to determine the number of factors to be retained. Cronbach's alpha was computed to examine the internal consistency of the factor(s). Pearson's product-moment correlations were used to examine the relationships between self-efficacy, demographics, adolescent outcome, and other study variables. Finally, multiple regression analysis was used to determine if self-efficacy was associated with adolescent outcome after controlling for demographics.

## **RESULTS**

### **Participants**

Of the 172 participants who gave informed consent and enrolled in the study, 148 were administered and completed the SCSES. There were no systematic differences between the individuals who completed the SCSES and those who did not on demographics, self-efficacy, or symptoms. Seventeen (17) individuals over the age of 19 who were still receiving care in pediatric community-based and medical center sickle cell disease programs were excluded from the current investigation. The 131 adolescents who are the focus of this manuscript ranged from 11 to 19 years of age and 60.31% were female. Ninety-seven (97) percent of the participants reported being of African American race/ethnicity. The majority of the participants reported a SS hemoglobin type (61.07%), followed by Hb SC (18.32%), and Hb S/beta thalassemia (7.63%). The remaining 17 participants (12.98%) reported not knowing their hemoglobin type or another type of hemoglobin. Descriptive statistics for the main study variables are included in Table 1. Additional *t*-tests were conducted using all measures from Table 1 to determine if the 16 (12.21%) adolescents from the United Kingdom differed from the adolescents residing in the United States. The results of these *t*-tests were all nonsignificant,  $p$ 's > .17. Also, equality of variance tests were conducted to determine if the variances of the measures were equal for the

United Kingdom adolescents and adolescents from the United States. The results of these tests were also nonsignificant,  $p's > .12$ , specifying that the variances of the groups were not statistically different from each other and validating the decision to analyze all data as if it were from the same population.

**Table 1** Descriptive Statistics for Study Variables.

| Variable               | Mean  | Standard Deviation | Minimum | Maximum |
|------------------------|-------|--------------------|---------|---------|
| Age                    | 15.68 | 1.88               | 11      | 19      |
| Education              | 9.06  | 1.95               | 4       | 13      |
| Number in Household    | 4.04  | 1.82               | 1       | 10      |
| Self-efficacy          | 29.73 | 8.31               | 9       | 45      |
| Physical Symptoms      | 17.46 | 5.41               | 11      | 36      |
| Psychological Symptoms | 13.34 | 5.31               | 8       | 30      |
| Total Symptoms         | 30.80 | 9.90               | 19      | 62      |

### ***Psychometric Properties of Scale***

Factor Analysis suggested the existence of a single self-efficacy factor that accounted for 49.78% of the total variance. There was no need to rotate the factor pattern due to the evidence of only one factor (Tabachnick & Fidell, 1996). A Cronbach's alpha of .87 was computed for the nine items. Alpha values of .70 or greater generally indicate adequate internal consistency (Nunnally & Bernstein, 1994). George and Mallery (2003) categorized Cronbach alpha scores as follows: 1.00 - .90 = Excellent, .89 - .80 = Good, .79 - .70 = Acceptable, .69 - .60 = Questionable, .59 - .50 = Poor, and < .50 = Unacceptable. Gliem and Gliem (2003) suggest that an alpha = .80 is a reasonable goal when focused on scale development. Moreover, all item-total correlation coefficients between the SCSSES individual items and the SCSSES total exceeded .49 and no items adversely affected alpha values. That is, no items were identified whose deletion would elevate the alpha for the total scale. This high level of internal consistency replicated the results of the factor analysis and is consistent with findings in an adult population with sickle cell (Edwards et al., 2000).

### ***Relationship Between Adolescent Demographics and Self-efficacy***

The participant's age, gender, level of education, and the number of members in the adolescent's household were not significantly associated to self-efficacy when bivariate relationships were examined (see Table 2). These variables were controlled for in the multiple regressions that assessed the relationship between self-efficacy and adolescent outcome.

**Table 2** Pearson's Product-Moment Correlations Between Demographics and Self-Efficacy.

|                | Age          | Gender     | Education | # in household | Self-efficacy |
|----------------|--------------|------------|-----------|----------------|---------------|
| Age            | 1.00         |            |           |                |               |
| Gender         | -.11 (.21)   | 1.00       |           |                |               |
| Education      | .75 (<.0001) | -.11 (.23) | 1.00      |                |               |
| # in household | .18 (.04)    | .03 (.77)  | .09 (.33) | 1.00           |               |
| Self-efficacy  | -.05 (.61)   | .10 (.27)  | .08 (.36) | -.12 (.19)     | 1.00          |

*Note.* *P*-values are in parentheses ( ).

### ***Association Between Self-Efficacy and Other Related Constructs***

An individual item was incorporated into the protocol to serve as a one-item measure of self-efficacy. Individuals were asked, "Do you think there are things you can do to reduce the number of problems you have related to your SCD?" This item was significantly associated with the total score from the SCSSES ( $r = .23$ ,  $p < .01$ ). Self-efficacy was also significantly related to one of the ratings of an individual's personal health care, adolescent's report of drinking enough liquids ( $r = .18$ ,  $p = .04$ ). The associations between self-efficacy and the adolescents' ratings of not overexercising, having all medical questions answered, taking medications as told, and making sure to understand the doctor's instructions were all nonsignificant,  $p's > .10$ .

### *Association Between Self-efficacy and Adolescent Outcome*

After controlling for age, gender, education, and the number of members in the household, self-efficacy was negatively associated with the adolescent outcomes of physical symptoms, psychological symptoms, and total symptoms (see Table 3). Higher levels of self-efficacy were associated with fewer self-reported symptoms. There were concerns of multicollinearity due to the significant bivariate associations between age and education and between age and the number of members in the household. Separate multivariate models were conducted without age as a predictor to examine the strength of the relationships between education and symptoms and the number of members of the household and symptoms that did not adjust for age. The adolescent's highest level of education completed was positively associated with adolescent outcome in all three models when age was not included as a predictor,  $p$ 's < .03. Number of people in the household was related to adolescent outcome for all models whether age was included as a predictor or not,  $p$ -values ranged from  $p = .04$  to  $p = .07$ . The total variance accounted for in each model was also examined, and age, gender, education, number of individuals in the household, and self-efficacy accounted for 13% of the total variance in psychological symptoms, 17% in physical symptoms, and 17% in total symptoms.

**Table 3** Multivariate Models of Association Between Self-Efficacy and Adolescent Outcome After Controlling for Demographics.

| Predictors                    | B             | SE B        | $\beta$    | $p$ -value      |
|-------------------------------|---------------|-------------|------------|-----------------|
| <b>Physical Symptoms</b>      |               |             |            |                 |
| Age                           | -.19 (-)      | .36 (-)     | -.07 (-)   | .59 (-)         |
| Gender                        | -.91 (-.89)   | .91 (.91)   | -.08(-.08) | .32 (.33)       |
| Education                     | .71 (.58)     | .35 (.23)   | .26 (.21)  | .04* (.01*)     |
| Number in Household           | .47 (.45)     | .25 (.24)   | .16 (.15)  | .06 (.07)       |
| Self-efficacy                 | -.19 (-.19)   | .05 (.05)   | -.29(-.29) | <.01** (<.01**) |
| <b>Psychological Symptoms</b> |               |             |            |                 |
| Age                           | -.19 (-)      | .36 (-)     | -.07 (-)   | .60 (-)         |
| Gender                        | -.59 (-.61)   | .91 (.91)   | -.05(-.06) | .52 (.50)       |
| Education                     | .40 (.53)     | .35 (.23)   | .15 (.20)  | .26 (.02*)      |
| Number in Household           | .47 (.49)     | .25 (.25)   | .16 (.17)  | .07 (.05)       |
| Self-efficacy                 | -.14 (-.14)   | .05 (.05)   | -.21(-.22) | .01* (.01*)     |
| <b>Total Symptoms</b>         |               |             |            |                 |
| Age                           | -.005 (-)     | .66 (-)     | -.001 (-)  | .99 (-)         |
| Gender                        | -1.50 (-1.50) | 1.66 (1.65) | -.07(-.07) | .37 (.37)       |
| Education                     | 1.11 (1.11)   | .63 (.42)   | .22 (.22)  | .08 (<.01**)    |
| Number in Household           | .94 (.94)     | .46 (.45)   | .17 (.17)  | .04* (.04*)     |
| Self-efficacy                 | -.33 (-.33)   | .10 (.10)   | -.27(-.27) | <.01** (<.01**) |

Note. B = unstandardized regression coefficient; SE = standard error;  $\beta$  = the standardized beta estimate. \* $p$  < .05, \*\* $p$  < .01. Statistics in parentheses ( ) represent values after age was removed from the model.

## **DISCUSSION**

The first purpose of this investigation was achieved. The SCSES demonstrated good psychometric properties, results similar to those in the earlier adult study (Edwards et al., 2000) and therefore appears suitable for use with adolescents with SCD. As with the adult scale, it would be the first available disease-specific efficacy measure utilized in this population. It is brief compared to other disease-specific self-efficacy measures discussed earlier, but has similar internal consistency. Secondly, self-efficacy was significantly related to the self-care item of drinking enough liquids. In addition to the relationship with self-efficacy, it is clinically well known that in children with chronic conditions, especially those with SCD, self-care practices are strongly related to parental support and a good understanding of how one's disease affects one personally (Kliwer & Lewis, 1995; Atkin & Ahmad, 1999; Logan, Radcliffe, & Smith-Whitley, 2002). Given the need for clinically useful tools, the brevity of the SCSES makes its use very practical in the identification of at-risk adolescents with low self-efficacy. The identification of these adolescents would provide clinicians with the opportunity to implement focused efforts such as individual counseling, psycho-educational groups, and family-centered education. Preventive efforts targeted towards adolescents who have the potential for poor symptom management, poor medical adherence, poor psychological and social adjustment as a consequence of their condition, and low self-efficacy can be effective in terms of cost and in increasing efficacy beliefs.

The final purpose was an examination of the relationship between adolescent sickle cell self-efficacy and self-reports of physical and psychological well-being. There was a significant association between sickle cell self-efficacy in adolescents and the report of symptoms after controlling for age, gender, highest grade completed in school, and the number of individuals in the household. Increased levels of SCD-specific efficacy beliefs were related to lower levels of SCD symptomatology. These findings are in agreement with the earlier adult SCD self-efficacy study (Edwards et al., 2000) and previous investigations of self-efficacy beliefs in other chronic conditions: inverse relationships have been reported between efficacy beliefs and pain frequency (Karoly & Ruehlman, 1996), and severity (Lin & Ward, 1996), health care utilization (Nicholas, Wilson, & Goyen, 1992; O'Leary, 1985), and global adjustment (Patterson & Blum, 1996).

The stress process model attempts to explain individual differences in adaptation to a stressful situation or event using mediators such as self-efficacy. Results of this investigation suggest that high levels of self-efficacy among adolescents may serve as a buffer, allowing them to find ways to adapt to the psychological and physical stressors of their condition, while those with low self-efficacy tended to be more symptomatic. This is supported by previous findings where a transactional stress and coping model revealed that adjustment to SCD for adults was related to higher efficacy expectations suggesting that chronic illness is a stressor to which individuals can successfully adapt (Thompson, Gil, Abrams, & Phillips, 1992). Additional longitudinal studies are needed to truly determine if higher levels of self-efficacy lead to better adjustment or if successful adjustment to SCD leads to higher levels of self-efficacy, but initial work has been undertaken using an adult sample. The results of a one-year investigation revealed that baseline levels of self-efficacy along with temporal changes in self-efficacy were uniquely associated with changes in SCD symptomatology. Multiple regression models revealed that higher baseline self-efficacy was predictive of declines in pain severity and physical symptoms. In addition, increases in self-efficacy were associated with declines in symptomatology (Edwards, Telfair, Cecil, & Lenoci, 2001).

The highest grade completed in school by the adolescent was positively associated with self-reported symptoms. Adolescents with more education may be better able to identify their problems. Another reason for this association could be the age of onset of symptoms. It has been shown that SCD-related symptoms may first appear as early as the first few months or as late as after puberty (Hurtig & White, 1986). The association between the number of individuals in the household and symptoms is a novel finding for this adolescent sample that requires further investigation.

Several limitations of the present study should be noted. Participation was voluntary and adolescents with parents/guardians who were active in their treatment and who rarely missed appointments may have been more likely to be enrolled. Second, the cross-sectional design makes it impossible to examine the causal sequence. This problem is further compounded by the lack of longitudinal data from the parent study. Although data from the present investigation suggest that perceived efficacy plays a significant role in predicting adjustment to SCD, as was demonstrated in adults with SCD (Edwards et al., 2001), further study is needed. Third, participants were primarily urban-dwelling adolescents, throughout the United States. Future investigations may benefit from inclusion of individuals with more diverse backgrounds, such as those in rural areas. Finally, the present investigation relied upon participants' self-report. While this is true of many studies of this kind, a substantial literature exists concerning the myriad potential problems of self-report data (Brown & Moskowitz, 1998; Turk, 1994). Of particular concern herein are the issues of recall bias for retrospective symptoms reported and social desirability bias, which may have affected the nature or magnitude of the results. Moreover, recall of events over the preceding 12 months (e.g., physician and ER visits) is likely to be somewhat inaccurate. Future investigations of the SCSES with adolescents may benefit from direct measurement of social desirability bias, as well as from inclusion of multiple data sources (e.g., behavioral observations, report of family members, medical chart review, etc...).

The SCSES serves as one of the first psychometrically sound, population-based tools that can be used by clinicians and researchers as part of a quality-of-life (QOL) battery. Unlike standard measures of QOL, the



SCSES was not designed as a measure of participant well-being but serves as a screening instrument to identify adolescents who may be at risk for negative psychosocial and/or physical outcomes as a result of having SCD. Measuring and understanding the adolescent's confidence in their own ability to function in spite of their condition will allow clinicians and researchers to make predictions concerning the adolescent in critical areas such as adherence with prescribed regimens, appropriate levels of interaction with family and friends, school functioning, and personal/self health care. The tool can also serve as an adjunctive measure in a neuropsychological assessment of adolescents with SCD given its development and standardization on the population for which such an assessment is aimed and support from previous findings that show that low levels of self-efficacy on a disease-specific measure are related to an increased number of depressive symptoms in children and adolescents (Caplin, Austin, Dunn, Shen, & Perkins, 2002). This study was a first step in obtaining evidence that the promotion of efforts to bolster condition specific self-efficacy in adolescents may be a critical component in the planning of future interventions.

### Acknowledgments:

This work was supported by funds from the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute, and the Maternal and Child Health Bureau. The authors are eternally grateful to the former member of the Multi-Site Study of Transition of Adolescents and Young Adults with Sickle Cell Disease Collaborative Group (Duke/UNC) Comprehensive Sickle cell center, Medical University of South Carolina Adult Sickle Cell program (SC) Children's Hospital of Alabama Pediatric Sickle Cell program (AL), Kansas Children's Mercy Hospital Sickle Cell Program (MO), Children's Hospital of Oakland Sickle Cell Program (CA), Douglas Community Health Center (MI), Jimmy Everest Sickle Cell Center (OK), Sickle Cell Disease Parent and Family Network of Cincinnati (OH), West Central Ohio Sickle Cell Center (OH), Minneapolis Children's Hospital Sickle Cell program (MN), Sickle Cell Disease Association of the Piedmont (NC), International Black Women's Congress (NJ), Brent Sickle Cell and Thalassemia Centre (UK), Marion County Health Department Sickle Cell program (IN), Cordellia Martin Health center (IN), UAB Kirkland Clinic Adult hematology program (AL), University of Tennessee-Memphis Adult Sickle Cell program (TN), Medical College of Georgia Adult Sickle Cell Program (GA), St. Agnes Pediatric Sickle cell program (CA), Cooper Green Hospital Adult Hematology/Oncology program (AL), and Maine Children's Hospital (ME). Without their partnership, this research would not have been possible. Preparation of this work has been facilitated in part by the infrastructure and resources provided by the NIH CFAR Core Grant P30 AI27767.

### References:

1. Anie, K., Telfair, J. and the Sickle Cell Disease Transition Study Group (2005) Multi-site study of transition in adolescents with sickle cell disease in the United Kingdom and the United States. *International Journal of Adolescent Medicine & Health* 17:2, pp. 169-178.
2. Ansell, B. M. and Chamberlain, M. A. (1998) Children with chronic arthritis: The management of transition to adulthood. *Baillieres Clinical Rheumatology* 12:2, pp. 363-374.
3. Atkin, K. and Ahmad, W. I. (1999) Family care-giving and chronic illness: How parents cope with a child with a sickle cell disorder. *Health and Social Care in the Community* 8, pp. 57-69.
4. Ballas, S. K. (1998) Managed care and sickle cell disease. *Blood* 92:10 Part 2 suppl 1, p. 3093.
5. Bandura, A. (1977a) *Social learning theory* Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ
6. Bandura, A. (1977b) Self-efficacy: Toward a unifying theory of behavioral change. *Psychological Review* 84, pp. 191-215.
7. Bandura, A. (1986) *Social foundations of thought and action: A social cognitive theory* Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ
8. Bandura, A. (1997) *Self-efficacy: The exercise of control* Freeman, New York
9. Bartholomew, L. K., Gold, R. S., Parcel, G. S., Czyzewski, D. I., Sockrider, M. M., Fernandez, M., Shegog, R. and Swank, P. (2000) Watch, discover, think and act: Evaluation of computer assisted instruction to improve asthma self-regulation in inner-city children. *Patient Education and Counseling* 39, pp. 269-280.
10. Betz, C. L. (1998) Adolescent transitions: A nursing concern. *Pediatric Nursing* 24, pp. 23-30.

11. Blum, R. W., Garel, D., Hodgman, C. H. , Jorissen, T. W. , Okinow, N. A. and Orr, D. (1993) Transition from child-centered to adult health-care systems for adolescents with chronic conditions: A position paper of the Society for Adolescent Medicine. *Journal of Adolescent Health* 14, pp. 570-576.
12. Brown, R. T., Armstrong, F. D. and Eckman, J. R. (1993) Neurocognitive aspects of pediatric sickle cell disease. *Journal of Learning Disabilities* 26 , pp. 33-45.
13. Brown, R. T. , Kaslow, N. J. , Doepke, K. , Buchanan, I. , Eckman, J. and Baldwin, K. (1993) Psychosocial and family functioning in children with sickle cell syndrome and their mothers. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry* 32, pp. 545-553.
14. Brown, K. W. and Moskovitz, D. S. (1998) It's a function of time: A review of the process approach to behavioral medicine research. *Annals of Behavioral Medicine* 20, pp. 109-117.
15. Burlew, A. K., Telfair, J., Colangelo, L. and Wright, L. (2000) Factors that influence psychosocial functioning in adolescents with sickle cell disease. *Journal of Pediatric Psychology* 25, pp. 287-299.
16. Callahan, S. T., Feinstein, R. and Keenan, P. (2001) Transition from pediatric to adult-oriented health care: A challenge for patients with chronic disease. *Current Opinion in Pediatrics* 13, pp. 310-316.
17. Caplin, D. , Austin, J. K., Dunn, D. W. , Shen, J. and Perkins, S. (2002) Development of a self-efficacy scale for children and adolescents with epilepsy. *Children's Health Care* 31, pp. 295-309.
18. Chamberlain, M. A. and Rooney, C. M. (1996) Young adults with arthritis: meeting their transitional needs. *British Journal of Rheumatology* 35, pp. 84-90.
19. Charache, S. , Barton, F. B. , Moore, R. D. , Terrin, M. L. , Steinberg, M. H. and Dover, G. J. (1995) Effect of hydroxyurea on the frequency of painful crises in sickle cell anaemia. *New England Journal of Medicine* 332, pp. 1317-1322.
20. Committee on Child Health Financing (1995) Guiding principles for managed care arrangements for the health care of infants, children, adolescents, and young adults. *Pediatrics* 95, pp. 613-615.
21. Court, J. M. (1993) Issues of transition to adult care. *Journal of Pediatric Child Health* 29: suppl 1, pp. S53-S55.
22. Crosnier, H. and Tubiana-Rufi, N. (1998) Transition from pediatric to adult care for diabetic adolescents in the Paris-Ile-de-France area. *Archives de Pediatrie* 5:12, pp. 1327-1333.
23. Dore, A., de Guise, P. and Mercier, L. A. (2002) Transition of care to adult congenital heart centers: What do patients know about their heart condition? *The Canadian Journal of Cardiology* 18:2, pp. 141-146.
24. Edwards, R., Telfair, J., Cecil, H. and Lenoci, J. (2000) Reliability and validity of a self-efficacy instrument specific to sickle cell disease. *Behaviour Research and Therapy* 38, pp. 951-963.
25. Edwards, R., Telfair, J., Cecil, H. and Lenoci, J. (2001) Self-efficacy as a predictor of adult adjustment to sickle cell disease: One-year outcomes. *Psychosomatic Medicine* 63 , pp. 850-858.
26. Garrison, M. T. and McQuiston, S. (1989) *Chronic illness during childhood and adolescence: Psychological aspects* Sage Publications , Newbury Park, CA
27. George, D. and Mallery, P. (2003) *SPSS for Windows step by step: A simple guide and reference, 11.0 update* p. 231. 4th, Allyn & Bacon , Boston
28. Gil, K. M. , Wilson, J. J. and Edens, J. L. (1997) The stability of pain coping strategies in young children, adolescents, and adults with sickle cell disease over an 18- month period. *The Clinical Journal of Pain* 13, p. 110.
29. Gliem, J. A. and Gliem, R. R. (2003) Calculating, interpreting, and reporting Cronbach's alpha reliability coefficient for Likert-type scales. Paper presented at the Midwest Research-to-Practice Conference in Adult, Continuing, and Community Education Columbus, OH, USA
30. Haley, W. E. , Levine, E. G. , Brown, S. L. and Bartolucci, A. A. (1987) Stress, appraisal, coping, and social support as predictors of adaptational outcome among dementia caregivers. *Psychology & Aging* 2 , pp. 323-330.
31. Haley, W. E. , Roth, D. L. , Coleton, M. I. , Ford, G. R. , West, C. A. C. and Collins, R. P. (1996) Appraisal, coping, and social support as mediators of well-being in black and white family caregivers of patients with Alzheimer's disease. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology* 64:1, pp. 121-129.
32. Hauser, E. S. and Dorn, L. (1999) Transitioning adolescents with sickle cell disease to adult-centered care. *Pediatric Nursing* 25:5, pp. 479-488.

33. Hoppe, C. C. and Walters, M. C. (2001) Bone marrow transplantation in sickle cell anemia. *Current Opinions in Oncology* 13, pp. 85-90.
34. Hurtig, A. L., Koepke, D. and Park, K. B. (1989) Relation between severity of chronic illness and adjustment in children and adolescents with sickle cell disease. *Journal of Pediatric Psychology* 14 , pp. 117-132.
35. Hurtig, A. L. and White, L. S. (1986) Psychological adjustment in children and adolescent with sickle cell disease. *Journal of Pediatric Psychology* 11, pp. 411-427.
36. Jessop, D. J. and Stein, R. E. K. (1994) Providing comprehensive healthcare to children with chronic illness. *Pediatrics* 93, pp. 602-607.
37. Karoly, P. and Ruehlman, L. S. (1996) Motivational implications of pain: Chronicity, psychological distress, and work goal construal in a national sample of adults. *Health Psychology* 15, pp. 383-390.
38. Kellerman, J., Zeltzer, L., Ellenberg, L., Dash, J. and Rigler, D. (1980) Psychological effects of illness in adolescence. I. Anxiety, self-esteem, and perception of control. *The Journal of Pediatrics* 97, pp. 126-131.
39. Kinney, T. R. and Ware, R. E. (1996) The adolescent with sickle cell anemia. *Hematology/Oncology Clinics of North America* 10:6, pp. 1255-1264.
40. Kliwer, W. and Lewis, H. (1995) Family influences on coping processes in children and adolescents with sickle cell disease. *Journal of Pediatric Psychology* 20, pp. 511-525.
41. Landau, L. I. (1995) Cystic fibrosis – Transition from pediatric to adult physicians care. *Thorax* 50:10, pp. 1031-1032.
42. Lazarus, R. S. and Folkman, S. (1984) Stress, appraisal, and coping. Springer , New York
43. Lin, C. C. and Ward, S. E. (1996) Perceived self-efficacy and outcome expectancies in coping with chronic low back pain. *Research in Nursing & Health* 19, pp. 299-310.
44. Logan, D. E., Radcliffe, J. and Smith-Whitley, K. (2002) Parent factors and adolescent sickle cell disease: Associations with patterns of health service use. *Journal of Pediatric Psychology* 27, pp. 475-484.
45. Maternal & Child Health Bureau (1992) *Moving – on* U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, Health Resources Administration, Maternal and Child Health Bureau , Bethesda, MD
46. McAnarney, E. (1985) Social maturation: A challenge for handicapped and chronically ill adolescents. *Journal of Adolescent Health Care* 6, pp. 90-101.
47. Nash, K. B. (1991a) *Impact of self-help groups on adults with sickle cell disease* Psychosocial Research Division of the Duke Comprehensive Sickle Cell Center, School of Social Work, University of North Carolina , Chapel Hill, NC — “Sickle Cell Disease Problem Scale.”
48. Nash, K. B. (1991b) *Impact of self-help groups on adults with sickle cell disease* Psychosocial Research Division of the Duke Comprehensive Sickle Cell Center, School of Social Work, University of North Carolina , Chapel Hill, NC — “Sickle Cell Disease Psychosocial Symptoms Scale.”
49. National Heart, Lung and Blood Institute (2002) *The management of sickle cell disease*— Division of Blood Disease and Resources, National Heart, Lung and Blood Institute, National Institutes of Health. (NIH Publication, No. 02-2117)
50. Nicholas, M. K., Wilson, P. H. and Goyen, J. (1992) Comparison of cognitive-behavioral group treatment and an alternative non-psychological treatment for chronic low back pain. *Pain* 48, pp. 339-347.
51. Nunnally, J. C. and Bernstein, I. H. (1994) *Psychometric theory* 3rd, McGraw-Hill , New York
52. O'Leary, A. (1985) Self-efficacy and health. *Behaviour Research & Therapy* 23, pp. 437-451.
53. Ott, J., Greening, L., Palardy, N., Holderby, A. and DeBell, W. K. (2000) Self-efficacy as a mediator variable for adolescents' adherence to treatment for insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. *Children's Health Care* 29, pp. 47-63.
54. Palfrey, J. S., Samuels, R. C., Haynie, M. and Cammisa, M. L. (1994) Health care reform: What's in it for children with chronic illness and disability. *Journal of School Health* 64 , pp. 234-237.
55. Patterson, J. and Blum, R. W. (1996) Risk and resilience among children and youth with disabilities. *Archives of Pediatric & Adolescent Medicine* 150, pp. 692-698.

56. Pearlin, L. I., Mullan, J. T., Semple, S. J. and Skaff, M. M. (1990) Caregiving and the stress process: An overview of concepts and their measures. *Gerontologist* 30, pp. 583-591.
57. Platt, O. S. , Thorington, B. D. , Brambilla, D. J. , Milner, P. F. , Rosse, W. F. and Vichinsky, E. (1991) Pain and sickle cell disease: Rates and risk factors. *New England Journal of Medicine* 325, pp. 11-16.
58. Reed, W. and Vichinsky, E. P. (1998) New considerations in the treatment of sickle cell disease. *Annual Review of Medicine* 49, pp. 461-474.
59. Reinholt, P. M. and Oberg, C. (1993) Teens can't get by with status quo. *Connections: The Newsletter of the National Center for Youth with Disabilities* 3:1
60. SAS (2002) *SAS version 9* SAS Institute Publishing Co., Inc , Cary, NC
61. Sawyer, S. M., Blair, S. and Bowes, G. (1997) Chronic illness in adolescents: Transfer or transition to adult services?. *Journal of Paediatric & Child Health* 33, pp. 88-90.
62. Sawyer, S. M., Collins, N., Bryan, D. , Brown, D. , Hope, M. A. and Bowes, G. (1998) Young people with spina bifida: Transfer from pediatric to adult health care. *Journal of Paediatric & Child Health* 34:5, pp. 414-417.
63. Scal, P. , Evans, T. , Blozis, S. , Okinow, N. and Blum, R. (1999) Trends in transition from pediatric to adult health care services for young adults with chronic conditions. *Journal of Adolescent Health* 24 , pp. 259-264.
64. Serjeant, G. R. (2001) *Sickle cell disease* 3rd, Oxford Med Publications , Oxford
65. Shegog, R., Bartholomew, L. K. , Parcel, G. S. , Sockrider, M. M. , Masse, L. and Abramson, S. L. (2001) Impact of a computer-assisted education program on factors related to asthma self-management behavior. *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association* 8:1, pp. 49-61.
66. Streetley, A. , Maxwell, K. and Meija, A. (1997) *Sickle cell disorders in Greater London: A needs assessment of screening and care services* Bexley and Greenwich Health Authority , London
67. Tabachnick, B. G. and Fidell, L. S. (1996) *Using multivariate statistics* Harper Collins College Publishers , New York
68. Tapper, M. (1999) *In the blood: Sickle cell anaemia and the politics of race* University of Pennsylvania Press , Philadelphia
69. Telfair, J. (1994) Factors in the long-term adjustment of children and adolescent with sickle cell disease. *Journal of Health and Social Policy* 5, pp. 69-96.
70. Telfair, J. , Ehiri, J. , Loosier, P. and Baskin, M. (2004) Transition to adult care for adolescents with sickle cell disease: Results of a national survey. *International Journal of Adolescent Medicine & Health* 16, pp. 47-64.
71. Telfair, J., Myers, J. and Drezner, S. (1994) Transfer as a component of the transition of adolescents with sickle cell disease to adult care: Adolescent, adult, and parent perspectives. *Journal of Adolescent Health* 15, pp. 558-565.
72. Telfair, J. and Nash, K. B. Fisher, N. L. (ed) (1996) African American Culture. *Cultural and ethnic diversity: A guide for genetic professionals* pp. 36-59. Johns Hopkins University Press , Baltimore, MD
73. Thompson, R. J. , Armstrong, F. D. , Kronenberger, W. G. , Scott, D. , McCabe, M. A. and Smith, B. (1999) Family functioning, neurocognitive functioning, and behavior problems in children with sickle cell disease. *Journal of Pediatric Psychology* 4, pp. 491-498.
74. Thompson, R. J., Gil, K. M. , Abrams, M. and Phillips, G. (1992) Stress, coping and psychological adjustment of adults with sickle cell disease. *Journal of Consulting & Clinical Psychology* 60 , pp. 433-440.
75. Turk, D. C. (1994) Potentials of process measurement: Motion picture versus snapshots. *Annals of Behavioral Medicine* 16, p. 198.
76. Wagner, M., Wagner, M., D'Amico, R., Marder, C., Newman, L. and Blockorby, J. (eds) (1992) A second look. *What happens next? Trends in post-school outcomes of youth with disabilities. The second comprehensive report from the National Longitudinal Transition Study of Secondary Special Education Students* pp. 1-15. SRI International , California

## Appendix A: Sickel Cell Self-Efficacy Scale:

The following questions ask about **HOW SURE YOU ARE** in dealing day-to-day with sickle cell disease. There are no right or wrong answers, we just want to know what you think. So for each question tell us **HOW SURE YOU ARE** by putting a check (✓) in the box that best tells us how you feel. **PLEASE ANSWER EVERY QUESTION.**

|   | <u>NOT AT</u><br><u>ALL SURE</u> | <u>NOT</u><br><u>SURE</u> | <u>NEITHER</u>           | <u>SURE</u>              | <u>VERY</u><br><u>SURE</u> |
|---|----------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|
| A. <b>HOW SURE ARE YOU</b> THAT YOU CAN DO SOMETHING TO CUT DOWN ON MOST OF THE PAIN YOU HAVE WHEN HAVING A PAIN EPISODE? .....         | <input type="checkbox"/>         | <input type="checkbox"/>  | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>   |
| B. <b>HOW SURE ARE YOU</b> THAT YOU CAN KEEP DOING MOST OF THE THINGS YOU DO DAY-TO-DAY? .....  | <input type="checkbox"/>         | <input type="checkbox"/>  | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>   |
| C. <b>HOW SURE ARE YOU</b> THAT YOU CAN KEEP SICKLE CELL DISEASE PAIN FROM INTERFERING WITH YOUR SLEEP? .....                           | <input type="checkbox"/>         | <input type="checkbox"/>  | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>   |
| D. <b>HOW SURE ARE YOU</b> THAT YOU CAN REDUCE YOUR SICKLE CELL DISEASE PAIN BY USING METHODS OTHER THAN TAKING EXTRA MEDICATION? ..... | <input type="checkbox"/>         | <input type="checkbox"/>  | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>   |
| E. <b>HOW SURE ARE YOU</b> THAT YOU CAN CONTROL HOW OFTEN OR WHEN YOU GET TIRED? .....  | <input type="checkbox"/>         | <input type="checkbox"/>  | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>   |
| F. <b>HOW SURE ARE YOU</b> THAT YOU CAN DO SOMETHING TO HELP YOURSELF FEEL BETTER IF YOUR ARE FEELING SAD OR BLUE? .....                | <input type="checkbox"/>         | <input type="checkbox"/>  | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>   |
| G. AS COMPARED WITH OTHER PEOPLE WITH SICKLE CELL DISEASE, <b>HOW SURE ARE YOU</b> THAT YOU CAN MANAGE YOUR LIFE FROM DAY-TO-DAY? ..... | <input type="checkbox"/>         | <input type="checkbox"/>  | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>   |
| H. <b>HOW SURE ARE YOU</b> THAT YOU CAN MANAGE YOUR SICKLE CELL DISEASE SYMPTOMS SO THAT YOU CAN DO THE THINGS YOU ENJOY DOING? .....   | <input type="checkbox"/>         | <input type="checkbox"/>  | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>   |
| I. <b>HOW SURE ARE YOU</b> THAT YOU CAN DEAL WITH THE FRUSTRATION OF HAVING SICKLE CELL DISEASE?.....                                   | <input type="checkbox"/>         | <input type="checkbox"/>  | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>   |