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Abstract:
Any two Z-related set-classes will map onto one another under 1) TnM or TnMI, or 2) TnM or TnMI in tandem with Morris’s alpha operations, or 3) maximally alpha-like operations, the original contribution of the present paper. This brief “research notes” paper explores the theoretical formulation and analytical application of maximally alpha-like operations.
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Article:
1] Example 1 shows an excerpt from a Dallapiccola song.(1) The excerpt contains four chords, labeled X, Y, T₆(Y), and T₆(X). The union of X and Y forms the pc aggregate, as does the union of T₆(Y) and T₆(X). The passage resists an overarching transformational network such as that at the bottom of Example 1 because there is no Tₙ, TₙI, TₙM, or TₙMI operation that will map the X and Y forms onto each other. The dashed arrows in the network represent this limitation.

[2] The reason why X and Y cannot map onto one another is that they are Z-related.(2) However, not all Z-pairs (two Z-related scs) work this way. To explain, I shall divide the twenty-three Z-pairs (under the traditional equivalence operations Tₙ and TₙI) into three categories. Example 2 shows the first
category, \textit{Z-related/M-related}. Here each sc maps under $T_nM$ or $T_nMI$ onto the other sc in the same $Z$-pair; the two scs are thus $Z$-related and $M$-related.\(^{(3)}\) Example 3 shows the second category, \textit{Z-related/M-variant}. Here each sc maps under $T_nM$ or $T_nMI$ onto a sc in a different $Z$-pair (thus the term “variant”). Example 4 shows the third category, \textit{Z-related/M-invariant}. Here each sc in the $Z$-pair maps onto itself under $T_nM$ or $T_nMI$ (thus the term “invariant”). This is perhaps the most restrictive of the three categories, in that each sc can only map onto itself. The $Z$-pair in Example 1, 6–Z28/6–Z49, belongs to this category.\(^{(4)}\)

\textbf{Example 2.} \textit{Z-related/M-related scs}

\begin{center}
\begin{tabular}{cc}
Solid line: Z-relation & Solid line: Z-relation \\
Dashed line: M-relation & Dashed line: M-relation \\

4–Z15 & 4–Z29 \\
5–Z17 & 5–Z37 \\
5–Z18 & 5–Z38 \\
6–Z11 & 6–Z40 \\
6–Z6 & 6–Z38 \\
6–Z19 & 6–Z44 \\
7–Z17 & 7–Z37 \\
7–Z18 & 7–Z38 \\
8–Z15 & 8–Z29 \\
\end{tabular}
\end{center}

\textbf{Example 3.} \textit{Z-related/M-variant scs}

\begin{center}
\begin{tabular}{cc}
Solid line: Z-relation & Solid line: Z-relation \\
Dashed line: M-relation & Dashed line: M-relation \\

5–Z12 & 5–Z36 \\
6–Z12 & 6–Z41 \\
6–Z17 & 6–Z43 \\
6–Z23 & 6–Z45 \\
6–Z28 & 6–Z49 \\
7–Z12 & 7–Z36 \\
\end{tabular}
\end{center}

\textbf{Example 4.} \textit{Z-related/M-invariant scs}

\begin{center}
\begin{tabular}{cc}
Solid line: Z-relation & Solid line: Z-relation \\
Dashed line: M-relation & Dashed line: M-relation \\

5–Z12 & 5–Z36 \\
6–Z12 & 6–Z41 \\
6–Z17 & 6–Z43 \\
6–Z23 & 6–Z45 \\
6–Z28 & 6–Z49 \\
7–Z12 & 7–Z36 \\
\end{tabular}
\end{center}

\[3\] Robert Morris has noted that the $Z$-relation may appear or disappear depending on the canon of operations in use.\(^{(5)}\) This is evident in Example 2, where scs in $Z$-pairs that do not relate by $T_n$ or $T_nI$ do relate by $T_nM$ or
To this end, Morris develops a number of operations designed to erase the Z-relation. The most often cited of these operations is alpha (α), whose mappings are

\[ \alpha_1 = (01) (23) (45) (67) (89) (AB) \]

or

\[ \alpha_2 = (12) (34) (56) (78) (9A) (B0). \]

For α1, Ian Quinn notes, “each pc in the even whole-tone collection gets transposed up a semitone, and each pc in the odd whole-tone collection down a semitone.” For α2, each pc in the even whole-tone collection is transposed down a semitone, and each pc in the odd whole-tone collection is transposed up a semitone. Applying α1 to a pcset X may yield quite different results than applying α2 to X. For instance, if X = \{012478\}, a member of 6–Z17[012478], applying α1 to X yields \{013569\}, a member of sc 6–Z28[013569]. However, applying α2 to X yields \{12378B\}, another member of 6–Z17. The fact that 6–Z17 and 6–Z28 belong to the same category of Z-pairs (cf. Example 4) suggests that α may be of use in creating mappings for the Z-pairs in Examples 3 and 4.

[4] To test this hypothesis, Example 5 applies α to the scs in Example 3. The result is clear: α maps (the pcsets of) four of the eight Z-pairs onto their Z partners, thus erasing the Z-relation for these scs (6–Z3/6–Z36, 6–Z25/6–Z47, 6–Z13/6–Z42, 6–Z50/6–Z29). The four Z-pairs at the bottom of Example 5 do not map onto their Z-partners under α (6–Z4/6–Z37, 6–Z26/6–Z48, 6–Z24/6–Z46, 6–Z39/6–Z10). In like fashion, Example 6 applies α to the scs in Example 4. On the one hand, α resolves the Z-relations between 5–Z12/5–Z36, and between their abstract complements, 7–Z12/7–Z36. On the other hand, α turns the Z-related/M-invariant hexachords into a new set of Z-related/M-variant hexachords (the set is new because the variances differ from those in Examples 3 and 5). The upshot is that the Z-related/M-invariant hexachords are still unable to map onto their Z-partners.

Example 5. Adding α to the Z-related/M-variant scs

Example 6. Adding α to the Z-related/M-variant scs
[5] The success of α in resolving every Z-relation save for four Z-pairs in Example 5 and four Z-pairs in Example 6 prompts me to create *maximally α-like operations* for those Z-pairs. By “maximally α-like,” I am imagining operations whose cycles contain as many interval-class 1s (ic 1s) as possible, since the cycles of α consist of six ic 1s. The ic 1 cycles result in a “small” voice-leading distance between two α-related hexachords—no more than six ics of “work” are required to “move between” them. As a result, maximally α-like operations will come as close as possible to six ics of work in relating hexachords. Ideally, a maximally α-like operation will contain 5 ic 1s, but we shall see that certain cases permit only 4 or even 3 ic 1s. The following sections explore maximally α-like operations in detail.

[6] Let us return to Example 1. There, \(X = \{02458B\}\) and \(Y = \{13679A\}\). The maximally α-like operation

\[28 \leftrightarrow 49.1 = (01) (23) (47) (56) (89) (AB)\]

maps X onto Y and vice versa. The label “28 ↔ 49.1” indicates that this operation maps the 6–Z28 member X onto the 6–Z49 member Y and vice versa. “.1” indicates that this is the first of two operations that will map X onto Y and vice versa. 28 ↔ 49.1 is maximally α-like because its cycles contain five ic 1s—(01), (23), (56), (89), (AB)—and one ic 3—(47). Underlines indicate the non-ic 1 cycles.
[7] Example 7 lists a second maximally $\alpha$-like operation

$$28 \leftrightarrow 49.2 = (09)(12)(34)(56)(78)(AB)$$

that also maps $X$ onto $Y$ and vice versa. $28 \leftrightarrow 49.2$ also contains five ic 1s—(12), (34), (56), (78), (AB)—and one ic3—(09)—and is thus as $\alpha$-like as $28 \leftrightarrow 49.1$. In the abstract, the choice between $28 \leftrightarrow 49.1$ and $28 \leftrightarrow 49.2$ is essentially arbitrary, but in a specific musical context, factors such as instrumentation, register, and voicing may suggest one operation over another.

[8] Example 8 renotas the transformational network of Example 1, using $28 \leftrightarrow 49$. Because the registral spacing of the piano chords does not correspond to either of the $28 \leftrightarrow 49$ operations, I use the generic label $28 \leftrightarrow 49$ as opposed to the more specific $28 \leftrightarrow 49.1$ or $49.2$. The $28 \leftrightarrow 49$ operation allows us to assert the relations that were not possible in Example 1’s network. By reading the network clockwise beginning from $X$, we follow the chronological procession of the hexachords in Example 1, $<X, Y, T_6 Y, T_6 X>$, and their respective transformations $<28 \leftrightarrow 49, T_6, T_6 28 \leftrightarrow 49 T_6>$.

[9] A contextual factor in the definition of maximally $\alpha$-like operations involves the two pcsets that will map onto one another. Up to this point, the $28 \leftrightarrow 49$ operations have mapped $X = \{02458B\}$ onto its literal complement, $Y = \{13679A\}$. However, to map $X$ onto T1 of $Y = \{2478AB\}$, for example, it will not be possible to define a maximally $\alpha$-like operation (1-to-1 and onto) since $X$ and T1 of $Y$ share common tones. A simple workaround involves retaining the already-defined $28 \leftrightarrow 49$ operations, then transposing or inverting the resulting pcset. Because maximally $\alpha$-like operations do not commute with $T_n$ or $T_n I$, the initial choice of orthography must be adhered to. Throughout this paper, I use right-to-left orthography. For example,
the compound operation $T_1 \leftrightarrow 49$ maps $X$ onto $T_1$ of $Y$ first through the application of $28 \leftrightarrow 49$ to $X$ (which maps $X$ onto $Y$), and second through the application of $T_1$ to $Y$.

[10] Having defined maximally $\alpha$-like operations for $6\rightarrow 28/6\rightarrow 49$, I now proceed to the $Z$-pair $6\rightarrow 17/6\rightarrow 43$. Example 9 grounds the discussion with a passage from Carter’s *Retrouvailles*. Like the Dallapiccola excerpt in Example 1, *Retrouvailles* features an opening chord $X$ with its literal complement $Y$, followed by transformations of $X$ and $Y$ that form a second aggregate. Here $X = \{03489A\}$ and $Y = \{12567B\}$, and the lone maximally $\alpha$-like operation that maps $X$ onto $Y$ (and vice versa) is

$$17 \leftrightarrow 43 = (01) (23) (45) (69) (78) (AB) (5ic1s, 1ic3)$$

This operation permits the transformational network at the bottom of Example 9, which strongly recalls the network in Example 8. By reading the Example 9 network clockwise beginning from $X$, we follow the chronological procession of the hexachords, $<X, Y, T_B I(X), T_B I(Y)>$.


[11] I now define the single maximally $\alpha$-like operation for the $Z$-pair $6\rightarrow 12\rightarrow 41$. Example 10 provides a musical context for the discussion, reproducing a passage that Allen Forte discusses in detail.\(^{(10)}\) Forte observes
two transformational relations among the chords in Example 10: first, that chord 3 is T_9 of chord 1, and second, that chord 3 is T_5I of the literal complement of chord 2. The following operation formalizes Forte’s second observation:

\[ 12 \leftrightarrow 41 = (03) (12) (45) (67) (8B) (9A) (4 \text{ ic } 1s, 2 \text{ ic } 3s). \]

Chord 2 is the 6–Z41 member \{04567A\} and chord 3 is the 6–Z12 member \{234689\}. 12 \leftrightarrow 41 maps \{234689\} onto its literal complement \{0157AB\} and vice versa. The arrows at the bottom of Example 10 indicate the T_9 relation from chord 1 to chord 3, and the T_5I/12 \leftrightarrow 41 relations between chords 2 and 3.\(^{11}\)

[12] Example 11 grounds the discussion of the final pair of Z-related/M-invariant hexachords, 6–Z23/6–Z45, with a second passage discussed by Forte.\(^{12}\)
The passage contains an opening chord \(X = \{02359B\}\) followed by \(T_2\) of \(X\)’s literal complement, \{03689A\}. Because the chords share pcs, a 1-to-1 operation from one to the other is not possible. For this reason, I shall list the two maximally \(\alpha\)-like operations that map \(X = \{02359B\}\) onto its literal complement \{14678A\}:

\[ 23 \leftrightarrow 45.1 = (07) (12) (34) (56) (89) (AB) (5 \text{ ic } 1s, 1 \text{ ic } 5) \]

and

\[ 23 \leftrightarrow 45.2 = (01) (27) (34) (56) (89) (AB) (5 \text{ ic } 1s, 1 \text{ ic } 5). \]

Example 12 lists maximally \(\alpha\)-like operations for the remaining hexachords in Example 5.

[13] In this brief “research notes” paper, I have explored ways of mapping any Z sc onto its Z partner. For Z-related/M-related scs (Example 2), this is accomplished by \(T_nM\) or \(T_nMI\). For four of the eight Z-related/M-variant Z-pairs (Examples 3 and 5) and two of the six Z-related/M-invariant Z-pairs (Examples 4 and 6), this is accomplished by a combination of \(\alpha\), \(T_nM\), and/or \(T_nMI\). Finally, for the remaining Z-related/M-variant hexachords (Example 5) and Z-related/M-invariant hexachords (Example 6), this is accomplished by the primary contribution of this paper, maximally \(\alpha\)-like operations.
for the remaining Z-pairs in Example 5 in beat-class space

\[
\begin{align*}
6\text{-}226/6\text{-}248 & \\
\{013578\} \in 6\text{-}226 & \\
\{2469\} \in 6\text{-}248 & \\
26 \leftrightarrow 48.1 \ (B0) (12) (34) (56) (7A) (89) & \quad 5 \text{ ic 1s, 1 ic3} \\
26 \leftrightarrow 48.2 \ (B0) (A1) (23) (45) (67) (89) & \quad 5 \text{ ic 1s, 1 ic 3} \\
\text{N.B.:} \ 24 \leftrightarrow 46 \text{ and } 26 \leftrightarrow 48.2 \text{ are identical} & \\
6\text{-}Z10/6\text{-}Z39 & \\
\{013457\} \in 6\text{-}Z10 & \\
\{2689\} \in 6\text{-}Z39 & \\
10 \leftrightarrow 39 \ (B0) (A1) (23) (49) (56) (78) & \quad 4 \text{ ic 1s, 1 ic 3, 1 ic 5} \\
6\text{-}Z4/6\text{-}Z37 & \\
\{012456\} \in 6\text{-}Z4 & \\
\{3789\} \in 6\text{-}Z37 & \\
4 \leftrightarrow 37.1 \ (B0) (91) (A2) (34) (58) (67) & \quad 3 \text{ ic 1s, 2 ic 4s, 1 ic 3} \\
4 \leftrightarrow 37.2 \ (B0) (A1) (23) (48) (59) (67) & \quad 3 \text{ ic 1s, 2 ic 4s, 1 ic 3} \\
\end{align*}
\]

[14] There exist a number of avenues for future work with maximally α-like operations. I begin with spaces other than pc-space. First, maximally α-like operations can be defined for pitches in pitch-space, or beats in beat-class (bc) space. Bc-space is particularly fertile ground for the development of new operations since, to date, theorists have defined bcs primarily in terms of T_n and T_nI. Example 13 illustrates one such application, modeled on the 28 ↔ 49 operation (cf. §6 and Examples 7–8). The snare drum projects two mod-12 bc aggregates. First, X = \{02458B\} precedes its 28 ↔ 49 transformation, Y = \{13679A\}. Second, T_6 of Y = \{790134\} precedes T_6 of X = \{68AB25\}. The network in Example 13 is isographic with that in Example 8, and the passage in Example 13 is isographic in bc-space to the passage in Example 1 in pc-space.

[15] Returning to traditional pc-space, maximally α-like operations bear a number of similarities to models of fuzzy T_n and T_nI. For the latter models, the benchmarks are the traditional “crisp” T_n and T_nI operations, and offset (“degrees of divergence”) is measured from those cycles. In like fashion, maximally α-like operations measure offset from α by specifying the number and “size” of non-ic 1 ics.

Appendix: Definitions

DEF 1: Z-relation: Two psets or scs are Z-related if they share an ic vector but do not relate by T_n and/or T_nI. The standard gauge of T_n/T_nI equivalence is assumed.
DEF 2: Z-pair: Two Z-related pcsets or scs (“Z-partners”).

DEF 3: The two scs in a Z-pair are one of the following:
- Z-related/M-related (M maps each sc in the Z-pair onto the other sc in the same Z-pair);
- Z-related/M-variant (M maps each sc in the Z-pair onto a sc in a different Z-pair);
- Z-related/M-invariant (M maps each sc in the Z-pair onto itself).

DEF 4: An operation is a mapping that is 1-to-1 and onto.

DEF 5: Alpha (α) is an operation whose cycles are α1 = (01) (23) (45) (67) (89) (AB) or α2 = (B0) (12) (34) (56) (78) (9A) (Morris 1982).

DEF 6: A maximally α-like operation is an operation whose cycles mimic those of α as closely as possible by containing the maximal number of ic 1 cycles. An example is (01) (23) (47) (56) (89) (AB). Underlines indicate non-ic 1 cycles.
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