The purpose of this study was to investigate the features of three interactive music software programs and their application in preparing marimba concerti. Specifically, the study evaluated Finale, NOTION, and SmartMusic for their viability in preparing *Concerto No. 1 in D Minor for Marimba and Orchestra* by Noah Taylor. A review of the literature relating to interactive music software programs revealed a lack of studies examining the use of these types of programs in the preparation of marimba concerti. All three software programs were installed on a 15-inch MacBook Pro computer that met system requirements for all three programs.

Documentation indicated that all three interactive music software programs offered viable alternatives to preparing marimba concerti with piano reductions. Finale and NOTION provided comparable instrument sounds in terms of quantity and quality. Finale improved its instrument sound quality and quantity through its integrated *Garritan Instruments* sound library. NOTION offered improved sound quality and quantity through the purchase of *Sound Expansion Kits*. Finale’s Tempo Tap feature and NOTION’s NTempo function provided real-time tempo adjustment and the Audio Mixer mechanism for both programs allowed the user to isolate instruments. SmartMusic offered comparable instrument realizations through its SoftSynth device. The program, however, did not offer a tempo control feature that was compatible with marimba. Also,
SmartMusic’s export options and Practice Loop feature allowed the user to effectively isolate instruments.

Further research recommendations included empirical studies examining the benefits of interactive music software programs on the preparation of marimba concerti and applying earlier studies performed on the Vivace interactive music software program to current music software programs. Descriptive study recommendations included investigating the applications of interactive music software in the preparation of orchestral percussion excerpts and marimba concertos with wind ensemble, percussion ensemble, or chamber ensemble accompaniments.
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CHAPTER I
INTRODUCTION

On April 29, 1940 Ruth Stuber Jeanne and the Orchestrette Classique premiered the first known marimba concerto, Paul Creston’s *Concertino for Marimba and Orchestra, Op. 21.* Since the mid-twentieth century, the marimba evolved as a solo instrument in terms of the number of solo marimbists, the diversity and quantity of marimba compositions, and the number of recordings of marimba literature. From technical etudes to unaccompanied and accompanied solos to concerti, the number of solo marimba compositions increased to well over a thousand.

Common Practices for Preparing Marimba Concerti

Traditionally, marimbists utilized various tools when preparing marimba concerti. Standard concerto preparation practices for marimbists included engaging in score study, having discussions with the concerto composer and/or orchestra conductor, watching a live performance, and listening to a recording of the concerto. Yet hearing live performances or recordings eluded performers as they prepared marimba concerti. Daughtrey reported that between 1940 and 2002, marimbists performed 197 marimba concerti with orchestra. Of those 197 performances, 145 orchestras performed the same

---

five concerti.\textsuperscript{3} A 2009 review of marimba concerto recordings discovered 31 recordings of 19 marimba concerti with orchestra.\textsuperscript{4} The limited number of live marimba concerto performances and marimba concerto recordings reduced the research students and performers completed before performing a concerto.

Traditional marimba concerto preparation methods may limit students and performers in their development of ensemble performance concepts. Practicing with a recording requires students to follow the tempos of the recording. Rehearsing with accompanists playing piano reductions with inherently limited articulation vocabularies requires performers to assume ensemble traits that the piano could not reproduce. Further, rehearsing with accompanists performing piano reductions interferes with developing students’ concept of balance and blend with a large ensemble. These shortcomings are due in part to the fact that piano reductions necessarily must omit numerous orchestral parts to render the reduction playable. Preparing marimba concerti by these methods can leave soloists with a false impression of what is happening in the accompanying forces. In addition, preparing marimba concertos by traditional methods can hinder students’ conceptual development of ensemble balance and blend, rubato and conducting through gestures, and articulation interpretation.

\textsuperscript{3} Nathan Hunter Daughtrey, “Marimba Concerto Performances in United States Orchestras: 1940 through 2002” (DMA diss., The University of North Carolina at Greensboro, 2004), 41-43.

Music Software

In the 1970s and 1980s, music notation programs were created to provide an electronic means for composing music.\(^5\) These programs were based on musical instrument digital interface (MIDI) technology. Developed in 1982, MIDI technology provided a language through which two synthesizers could communicate to one another.\(^6\) Programs utilizing MIDI technology evolved to include computerized music notation editors, performance software programs, and digital audio workstations. In 2009, over 30 music notation programs with features such as integrated sequencers, built-in sound libraries, third-party sound library compatibility, and self-contained synthesizers were available. In addition, a competitive market of audio creation and production software programs, performance software programs, guitar tablature notation programs, marching percussion composition programs, and theory notation programs emerged.\(^7\)

Educators have integrated music software programs into the classroom. Several studies have documented the educational benefits of music software programs. In Ajero’s study, group piano students who practiced with the Guide Mode on Yamaha Clavinova keyboards and MIDI accompaniment “demonstrated significantly better improvement in


total pitch errors in comparison to the control group \( (p < .05) \).\(^8\) Similar results were reached with Orman’s study in which students using an interactive multimedia computer program performed better on written tests \( (p < .001) \) and on videotape recorded posttests \( (p < .001) \) than students participating only in a traditional band class.\(^9\)

In 2009, music software programs provided full orchestral sound libraries and real-time tempo control options that offered a reasonable simulation to a live orchestra. Additionally, programs of this nature had been used with touring Broadway shows, in London’s West End revival of *Les Misérables*, and with several Cirque du Soleil shows in Las Vegas where realistic instrument sounds and real-time performance flexibility were imperative.\(^{10}\)

**Purpose of the Study**

Although the number of marimba concerti being composed for orchestra, wind ensemble, percussion ensemble, and chamber ensemble continues to increase, research dedicated to the marimba concerto is limited. Therefore, the importance of providing students, teachers, and performers with a guide to current tools that might make learning

---


and teaching marimba concerti more efficient and effective is critical. Marimbists might benefit from an accompaniment tool that more accurately represents the orchestral instrument sounds, allows for real-time tempo control, and provides for the isolation of instruments or sections of instruments. Accompaniment tools with the aforementioned qualities may better prepare students and performers for what to expect when rehearsing the solo marimba part with the full orchestra.

The purpose of this study was to investigate the features of three interactive music software programs and their application in preparing marimba concerti. Specifically, the study evaluated the music programs Finale, NOTION, and SmartMusic and their use in preparing Concerto No. 1 in D Minor for Marimba and Orchestra by Noah Taylor. A lecture-recital demonstrating the accompaniment capabilities of the three programs was presented. Specific research questions addressed in the proposed study were:

1. Can the programs simulate a full range of orchestral instrument sounds?
2. Do the programs offer real-time tempo control?
3. Can the student/performer/teacher isolate instruments for specific group practice situations?

Delimitations

This study was limited to preparing concerti for solo marimba and orchestra. Numerous compositions for solo marimba with wind ensemble or percussion ensemble or chamber ensemble were excluded from the study. In this study, the criteria for the inclusion of the evaluated software programs included:

1. The most widely used programs
2. At least one MIDI-based program  
3. At least one non MIDI-based program  
4. Programs utilizing contrasting real-time tempo control designs.

Because music software technology advances rapidly, the study limited the review of literature about software to Internet websites, articles, books, and dissertations written since 1994. Due to the widespread use of these programs in music settings, familiarity with either these specific programs or these types of programs was assumed. Therefore, no discussion of note entry or note entry modification was included. Because no detailed MIDI mapping techniques were applied to the files in this study, no discussion of MIDI mapping and its application to sound quality playback was given. Furthermore, much of the study’s “technical” discussion was intended for beginning and intermediate music software users.

The second chapter is a review of the research relating to marimba concerto performance practice, and interactive music software programs. Descriptions of selected dissertations and journal articles are provided.
CHAPTER II
REVIEW OF MARIMBA CONCERTO PERFORMANCE PRACTICE LITERATURE
AND INTERACTIVE MUSIC SOFTWARE LITERATURE

The related literature for this study was divided into two groups: studies examining marimba concerto performance practice, and studies examining interactive music software. Studies regarding marimba concerto performance practice focused on specific concerti, theory and analysis, performers, composers, technique, and marimba history. One general journal article discussing marimba concerto preparation was found.\textsuperscript{11} Studies examining interactive music software were confined to technical advancements in software development and the effects of interactive music software on student performance.

Marimba Concerto Performance Practice Studies

In five dissertations, authors discussed various aspects of specific concerti. In Liao’s dissertation, “Ney Rosauro’s Two Concerti for Marimba and Orchestra: Analysis, Pedagogy, and Artistic Considerations,” an entire chapter was dedicated to performance issues associated with Rosauro’s two marimba concertos.\textsuperscript{12} The major portion of this

\textsuperscript{12} Wan-Chun Liao, “Ney Rosauro’s Two Concerti for Marimba and Orchestra: Analysis, Pedagogy, and Artistic Considerations” (DMA diss., University of Miami, 2005).
chapter was dedicated to technical issues encountered in the solo marimba part. Solo marimba and orchestra interactions were discussed twice. In her study about the career of Vida Chenoweth, Phillips provided historical background about Kurka’s *Concerto for Marimba and Orchestra* that was commissioned and premiered by Chenoweth.\(^{13}\) Phillips discussed the marimbist’s preparations for performing the Kurka concerto and the Sarmientos *Concertino for Marimba and Orchestra*. Specific practice routines or preparation methods, however, were not provided.

The available journal articles on marimba concerti focused on specific concerti and addressing technical issues in the solo marimba part. Articles analyzing the Rosauro, Zivkovic, Kurka, Creston, Milhaud, and Ptasynska concerti were available. In his article, “Preparing and Performing a Marimba Concerto,” Rehbein described challenges marimbists encountered when preparing a marimba concerto, including the potential trouble spots of “ensemble balance and blend, synchronization in the accompaniment . . . and articulations.”\(^{14}\) Rehbein recommended preparing for a marimba concerto performance by attempting the following:

\[\text{[Obtain] the music and a high-quality recording of the piece (if available) . . . . You must also project into the future and imagine performing the piece from the concert stage in front of an audience. This process will help you make a necessary link or connection with the music where none previously existed . . . . You can create the actual performance scenario in your mind by visualizing as many elements of the performance (ensemble blend and balance, synchronization in the accompaniment, notes and rhythms, dynamics, articulations, creativity, etc.) as}\]

\(^{13}\) Laura L. Phillips, “Vida Chenoweth and Her Contributions to Marimba Performance, Linguistics, and Ethnomusicology” (DMA diss., The University of North Carolina at Greensboro, 2000).

\(^{14}\) Rehbein, “Preparing a Concerto,” 49.
can be anticipated. This process will help solidify the various musical components and issues that must be accounted for when playing the music.\(^{15}\)

**Interactive Music Software Programs**

Descriptive and empirical studies examined new advancements in score following or accompaniment computer systems. Tekin’s 2005 study, “An Intelligent Score Following and Accompaniment System for Practice and Rehearsals,” described “a new system . . . of providing intelligent accompaniment for students practicing at home.”\(^{16}\) Primarily a description of the new programming designs he proposed, Tekin’s new system was for piano study. Three authors performed empirical studies evaluating the impact of an early version music practice software program called “Vivace” on various performance variables. Tseng’s study revealed:

> Participants in this study argued that Vivace had helped them learn music better and expedited their performance preparation processes . . . . They acquired stage presence experiences through practicing in simulated performance settings.\(^{17}\)

Glenn measured the effect of using SmartMusic in applied oboe, clarinet, and bassoon lessons. Results indicated no significant difference in practicing with an intelligent accompaniment (\(p = .260\)). Posttest scores to a questionnaire indicated, however, that

---

\(^{15}\) Rehbein, “Preparing a Concerto,” 49.

\(^{16}\) Mevlut Evren Tekin, “An Intelligent Score Following and Accompaniment System for Practice and Rehearsals” (DMA diss., Queen’s University, 2005).

students in the experimental group felt that their “overall musicianship improved as a result of practicing with the computer accompaniment.”  

Multiple authors discussed computer-accompaniment software and its technical specifications, as well as its applications in various educational settings. In their article, Sheldon, Reese, and Grashel examined the effect of digital accompaniments on student instrumental performances. Their research revealed that there was no significant effect of accompaniment groups on music performances ($p = .75$). The researchers maintained, “It cannot be ignored that mean ratings for both accompaniment groups were considerably better than the No Accompaniment group in the initial performance.”

Authors at various journals and magazines reviewed Finale, NOTION, and SmartMusic. Although the reviews were redundant, the writers provided information on positive upgrades in the programs and program shortcomings.

Interactive music software studies conducted since 1994 dealt either with advances in programming or the effect of interactive music software on student achievement. Researchers evaluated the effect of interactive music software on student achievement and reported no significant improvements. Furthermore, studies performed

---

on early versions of interactive music software identified contributing factors that may have affected study results. Questionnaire results to interactive music software studies revealed subjects’ positive impressions of the software and its impact on their achievement.

The existing body of literature dedicated to marimba concerti was limited in scope. All of the studies were historical, biographical, or analytical in nature. In addition, the Creston, Kurka, Milhaud, and Rosauro concertos were popular study topics. Research revealed one journal article dealing specifically with marimba concerto preparation. The lack of descriptive or empirical studies dedicated to pedagogical approaches to preparing marimba concertos identified a need for the study.

Chapter III defines the setup and evaluation parameters for the study. This includes a description of all means of setting up the computer, software, and composition in order to address the three research questions.
CHAPTER III
COMPUTER SETUP, SOFTWARE DETAILS, AND EVALUATION PARAMETERS

The purpose of this study was to investigate the features of three interactive music software programs and their application in preparing marimba concerti. Specifically, the study evaluated the music programs Finale, NOTION, and SmartMusic and their use in preparing *Concerto No. 1 in D Minor for Marimba and Orchestra* by Noah Taylor.

Chapter III is divided into four sections. The first section provides details regarding the computer setup and interactive music software. The next three sections correspond with the three research questions: (1) Instrument Realizations, (2) Real-Time Tempo Control, and (3) Instrument Isolation.

Computer Setup and Software Details

- 15-inch MacBook Pro laptop computer
- 2.4 Ghz Intel Core 2 Duo Processor
- 4 GB of RAM memory
- 250 gigabyte hard drive
- 2 NVIDIA graphics processors – GeForce 9400M and GeForce 9600GT
- Finale 2009 installed and updated with most current updates (2009b.r3A)
- NOTION 2.0 installed and updated with most current updates (2.2.0)
- SmartMusic 11.0 installed

All three movements of *Concerto No. 1 in D Minor for Marimba and Orchestra* by Noah Taylor were entered into Finale and NOTION. Portions were entered by hand while other sections were entered through an XML import.
Instrument Realization

Finale’s instrument realization capabilities were reviewed using *Garritan Instrument* sounds. NOTION’s instrument realization capabilities were evaluated using the default library of sounds and seven add-on sound kits. SmartMusic’s instrument realization capabilities were investigated using the program’s default SmartMusic SoftSynth player. Movement III from *Concerto No. 1 in D Minor for Marimba and Orchestra* was used to describe each program’s instrument realization capabilities.

Real-Time Tempo Control

To describe how Finale, NOTION, and SmartMusic follow the soloist through tempo changes, the second movement of *Concerto No. 1 in D Minor for Marimba and Orchestra* was used. With Finale and NOTION, an accompanist operated the tempo control mechanisms for both programs. Content from Finale and NOTION, including screen shots, were included. SmartMusic’s instrument microphone designed for use with the program was purchased, as was the USB microphone adaptor required for Macintosh computers. The microphone was attached to the bar chord in the center of a five-octave Marimba One® marimba. Screen shots of how to set up SmartMusic’s Intelligent Accompaniment feature were included.

Instrument Isolation

To document each program’s ability to isolate instruments for small group practice, the first movement of *Concerto No. 1 in D Minor for Marimba and Orchestra* was used. Each program’s capabilities were reviewed—Finale and NOTION using their
mixer settings, SmartMusic using its Ensemble Accompaniment setting and Practice Loop feature. Screen shots of mixer settings and ensemble accompaniment settings were included.

By documenting the functionality of all three programs regarding instrument realization, real-time tempo adjustment, and instrument isolation, the study was able to identify valid tools useful in the preparation of marimba concerti. In addition, included screen shots and tables provided a limited how-to guide to operating the programs.

The fourth chapter contains a description of how the programs can be used to answer the three research questions. Screen shots, musical examples, and tables are used to support the descriptions.
CHAPTER IV

RESULTS

The purpose of this study was to investigate the features of three interactive music software programs and their application in preparing marimba concerti. Specifically, the study evaluated the music programs Finale, NOTION, and SmartMusic and their use in preparing *Concerto No. 1 in D Minor for Marimba and Orchestra* by Noah Taylor. To determine the viability of the programs, three program features were deemed critical: (1) realistic orchestral sounds, (2) real-time tempo control, and (3) instrument isolation. Chapter IV is organized according to these three areas of focus.

Realistic Orchestral Sounds

By definition, piano reductions reduce the orchestral score to a playable piano arrangement. One potential benefit that interactive music software programs offered was the ability to play back the full orchestral score. Hearing comparable orchestral instrument sounds, however, was an important factor in making interactive music software programs viable in the preparation of marimba concerti.

Finale 2009

Finale offered a broad range of sampled instrument sounds integrated into Finale 2009 (table 1). The program utilized a sound library provided by Garritan Instruments,
TapSpace Virtual Drumline, and Row-Loff Productions. The library included over three hundred instrument sounds integrated into the program. These sounds were in addition to the general MIDI set of sounds that were included with the program. Also, Finale was designed to integrate third-party sound libraries from other software companies for use with Finale 2009. Examples of these libraries included EastWest/Quantum Leap Symphonic Orchestra Gold Complete, Vienna Symphonic Library Symphonic Cube, and Garritan Jazz & Big Band Sounds.

**Table 1.** List of instruments in Garritan Instruments sound library.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Woodwinds</th>
<th>Brass</th>
<th>Orchestral Strings</th>
<th>Percussion</th>
<th>Percussion</th>
<th>Percussion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Flute Player 1</td>
<td>French Horn</td>
<td>Violin Player 1</td>
<td>Basic Orch. Perc.</td>
<td>Cymbal Hit (Ping)</td>
<td>Fusion Drum Kit-Splash Cymbal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Player 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flute Player 2</td>
<td>French Horn</td>
<td>Violin Player 2</td>
<td>Glockenspiel</td>
<td>Alternating Cymbal Hits (Ping)</td>
<td>Fusion Drum Kit-Cowbell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Player 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flute Player 3</td>
<td>French Horn</td>
<td>Violin Player 3</td>
<td>Marimba</td>
<td>Cymbal Hit (Ping, Choke)</td>
<td>Fusion Drum Kit-Crash Cymbal 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Player 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flute Solo</td>
<td>French Horn</td>
<td>Violin Solo</td>
<td>Timpani (left hand hits)</td>
<td>Cymbal Roll (Mod Wheel)</td>
<td>Fusion Drum Kit-Vibraslap</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Solo</td>
<td>KS 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Piccolo Solo</td>
<td>Orch. Trumpet</td>
<td>Violin Solo</td>
<td>Timpani (right hand hits)</td>
<td>Cymbal Roll With Release Hit</td>
<td>Fusion Drum Kit-Ride Cymbal 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Player 1</td>
<td>KS 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oboe Player 1</td>
<td>Orch. Trumpet</td>
<td>Violin Solo</td>
<td>Xylophone</td>
<td>Cymbal Roll Crescendo (Recorded)</td>
<td>Fusion Drum Kit-High Bongo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Player 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oboe Player 2</td>
<td>Orch. Trumpet</td>
<td>Violins KS</td>
<td>Jazz Vibraphone</td>
<td>Marching Cymbals (16 Sounds)</td>
<td>Fusion Drum Kit-Low Bongo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Player 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oboe Player 3</td>
<td>Orch. Trumpet</td>
<td>Violins Arco</td>
<td>Left hand bass drum hit</td>
<td>Fusion Drum Kit-Side Stick</td>
<td>Fusion Drum Kit-Mute High Bongo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Solo</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 1. (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Instrument</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Mute/Effect</th>
<th>Percussion</th>
<th>Kit/Band</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Oboe Solo</td>
<td>Jazz Trumpet</td>
<td></td>
<td>Fusion Drum Kit-Bass Drum 1</td>
<td>Fusion Drum Kit-Open High Bongo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>English Horn Solo</td>
<td>Jazz Trumpet</td>
<td></td>
<td>Fusion Drum Kit-Bass Drum 2</td>
<td>Fusion Drum Kit-Low Conga</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-flat Clarinet</td>
<td>Jazz Trumpet</td>
<td></td>
<td>Fusion Drum Kit-Rim Shot</td>
<td>Fusion Drum Kit-High Timbale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-flat Clarinet</td>
<td>Jazz Trumpet</td>
<td></td>
<td>Fusion Drum Kit-High Timbale</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-flat Clarinet</td>
<td>Jazz Trumpet</td>
<td></td>
<td>Fusion Drum Kit-High Agogo</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-flat Clarinet Solo</td>
<td>Band Trumpet Section</td>
<td></td>
<td>Fusion Drum Kit-Low Agogo</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bassoon Player 1</td>
<td>Orch. Trombone Player 1</td>
<td></td>
<td>Fusion Drum Kit-Cabasa</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bassoon Player 2</td>
<td>Orch. Trombone Player 2</td>
<td></td>
<td>Fusion Drum Kit-Maracas</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bassoon Player 3</td>
<td>Orch. Trombone Player 3</td>
<td></td>
<td>Fusion Drum Kit-Short Whistle</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bassoon Solo</td>
<td>Orch. Trombone Solo</td>
<td></td>
<td>Fusion Drum Kit-Short Whistle</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contrabassoon Solo</td>
<td>Jazz Trombone Open</td>
<td></td>
<td>Fusion Drum Kit-Short Guiro</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Band Alto Sax.</td>
<td>Jazz Trombone Straight Mute</td>
<td></td>
<td>Fusion Drum Kit-Long Guiro</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jazz Alto Sax.</td>
<td>Jazz Trombone Cup Mute</td>
<td></td>
<td>Fusion Drum Kit-Hi Hat Crash</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jazz Tenor Sax.</td>
<td>Jazz Trombone Harmon Mute</td>
<td></td>
<td>Fusion Drum Kit-High Tom Block</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 1. (continued)

| Instrument/Section                  | Keyboards | Jazz Bari. Sax. | Jazz Trombone Bucket Mute | Band Trombone Section | Band Euphonium Section | Steinway Piano Band Mellophone Section | Harpsichord Band Baritone Section | Hauptwerk All Stops Tuba Solo | Vintage Electric Piano Band Sousaphone Player 1 | Choirs Plucked Strings Double Bass Solo KS |
|-------------------------------------|-----------|----------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|----------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| World Instruments                  |           |                |                           |                       |                        |                                        |                               |                               |                               |                            |                            |
|                                    |           |                |                           |                       |                        |                                        |                               |                               |                               |                            |                            |
### Table 1. (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Full Strings Arco</th>
<th>Full Strings Pizzicato</th>
<th>Full Strings Tremolo</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

*Source:* Adapted with permission from the MakeMusic, Inc. website.

### NOTION 2.0

NOTION also offered a full range of instruments with their program (table 2).

NOTION’s instrument sounds were produced by an integrated sound library consisting of sampled instrument sounds recorded by the London Symphony Orchestra at Abbey Road Studios. Since NOTION was not a MIDI-based system, the instrument samples were accessed using an integrated sequencer and playback engine. Additional instrument sounds were available for purchase in Sound Expansion Kits from NOTION.

### Table 2. Instrument List for NOTION 2.0.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Woodwinds</th>
<th>Brass</th>
<th>Strings</th>
<th>Percussion</th>
<th>Percussion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Piccolo</td>
<td>French Horn</td>
<td>Violin Section</td>
<td>Timpani</td>
<td>Gong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flute</td>
<td>Trumpet</td>
<td>Viola Section</td>
<td>Triangle</td>
<td>Tam-Tam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oboe</td>
<td>Tenor Trombone</td>
<td>Cello Section</td>
<td>Tambourine</td>
<td>Xylophone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>English Horn</td>
<td>Bass Trombone</td>
<td>Bass Section</td>
<td>Snare Drum</td>
<td>Glockenspiel/Orch. Bells</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clarinet</td>
<td>Tuba</td>
<td></td>
<td>Suspended</td>
<td>Guiro</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cymbal</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

Table 2. (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Instrument</th>
<th>Keyboards</th>
<th>Orch. Crash</th>
<th>Cabasa</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bass Clarinet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bassoon</td>
<td>Harp</td>
<td>Bass Drum</td>
<td>Claves</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Piano</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Celeste</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Adapted with permission from NOTION Music, Inc. website.

A list of Sound Expansion Kits used for this study is provided in table 3.

Although NOTION’s basic library of sounds appeared to be deceptively small, the list did not show every sample for every instrument. Similarly to the Garritan sounds contained in Finale 2009, each instrument in the NOTION library was recorded multiple times to include notes, dynamics, articulations, and special performance techniques. These instrument sounds, combined with the additional purchased sounds facilitated the soloist’s understanding of the solo marimba’s role in achieving proper balance and blend with the ensemble.

Table 3. Sound Expansion Kits used for this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sound Kit</th>
<th>Instruments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Expanded Strings I</td>
<td>This kit introduces new techniques for violin, viola, cello, and bass sections, including tremolo, accent tremolo, con sordino, accent con sordino, staccato con sordino, pizzicato con sordino, tremolo con sordino, accent tremolo con sordino, half step trill con sordino, and whole step trill con sordino.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solo Strings I</td>
<td>This kit introduces solo violin, viola, cello, and double bass. The sounds included for each instrument are legato, accent, staccato, pizzicato, half step trill, and whole step trill, each with a full range of dynamics and articulations.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3. (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Expanded Woodwinds</th>
<th>New instruments in this kit are contrabassoon and E-flat piccolo clarinet, each including legato, staccato, accent, and trills, as well as subtone and fluttertongue for piccolo clarinet. Also included are fluttertongue sounds for piccolo, flute, oboe, clarinet, and bass clarinet.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Expanded Brass</td>
<td>This kit introduces euphonium and stopped horn, each with a full range of dynamics and articulations. Also included are fluttertongue and straight mute sounds for horn, trumpet, tenor trombone, bass trombone, euphonium, and tuba. Straight mute techniques included are legato, staccato, accent, trills, and fluttertongue.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expanded Mallets I</td>
<td>This kit adds marimba, vibraphone, crotale, and chimes. Included are strikes and rolls from a 5-octave marimba and strikes on chimes and crotale. Vibraphone has strikes and rolls with motor off, slow motor speed, fast motor speed, and pedal up/pedal down.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expanded Percussion I</td>
<td>This kit adds the following instruments: castanets, cowbells, cuckoo, drum sticks, maracas, power toms, ratchet, roto-toms, shakers, sleigh bells, temple blocks, and woodblock. There are also supplementary playing techniques and timbres included for bass drum, cabasa, claves, cymbal, guiro, orchestral crash cymbals, snare drum, suspended cymbal, tambourine, triangle, and whip.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expanded Percussion II</td>
<td>This kit provides 23 auxiliary percussion instruments: African log drum, altglocken, anvil, bodhrán, bongos, Chinese bo, duck call, flexatone, flower pots, hammer, hand bells, lead pipe, lion’s roar, piccolo snare, rainstick, sand blocks, saw, siren whistle, thunder sheet, train whistle, vibrastick, and wind machine.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Adapted with permission from NOTION Music, Inc. website.

SmartMusic

SmartMusic used a self-contained virtual instrument to produce its orchestral instrument sounds. The SmartMusic SoftSynth MIDI device used a sample library consisting of the 128 General MIDI instrument sounds (table 4). Although SmartMusic imported Finale files, the program was unable to process any additional sounds that were assigned to the file.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Piano</th>
<th>Chromatic Percussion</th>
<th>Organ</th>
<th>Guitar</th>
<th>Bass</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acoustic Grand</td>
<td>Celesta</td>
<td>Drawbar Organ</td>
<td>Nylon String Guitar</td>
<td>Acoustic Bass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bright Acoustic</td>
<td>Glockenspiel</td>
<td>Percussive Organ</td>
<td>Steel String Guitar</td>
<td>Electric Bass (finger)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electric Acoustic</td>
<td>Music Box</td>
<td>Rock Organ</td>
<td>Electric Jazz Guitar</td>
<td>Electric Bass (pick)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Honky-Tonk</td>
<td>Vibraphone</td>
<td>Church Organ</td>
<td>Electric Clean Guitar</td>
<td>Fretless Bass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electric Piano 1</td>
<td>Marimba</td>
<td>Reed Organ</td>
<td>Electric Muted Guitar</td>
<td>Slap Bass 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electric Piano 2</td>
<td>Xylophone</td>
<td>Accordion</td>
<td>Overdriven Guitar</td>
<td>Slap Bass 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harpsichord</td>
<td>Tubular Bells</td>
<td>Harmonica</td>
<td>Distortion Guitar</td>
<td>Synth Bass 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clavinet</td>
<td>Dulcimer</td>
<td>Tango Accordion</td>
<td>Guitar Harmonics</td>
<td>Synth Bass 2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Solo Strings</th>
<th>Ensemble</th>
<th>Brass</th>
<th>Reed</th>
<th>Pipe</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Violin</td>
<td>String Ensemble 1</td>
<td>Trumpet</td>
<td>Soprano Sax</td>
<td>Piccolo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viola</td>
<td>String Ensemble 2</td>
<td>Trombone</td>
<td>Alto Sax</td>
<td>Flute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cello</td>
<td>SynthStrings 1</td>
<td>Tuba</td>
<td>Tenor Sax</td>
<td>Recorder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contrabass</td>
<td>SynthStrings 2</td>
<td>Muted Trumpet</td>
<td>Baritone Sax</td>
<td>Pan Flute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tremolo Strings</td>
<td>Choir Aahs</td>
<td>French Horn</td>
<td>Oboe</td>
<td>Blown Bottle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pizzicato Strings</td>
<td>Voice Oohs</td>
<td>Brass Section</td>
<td>English Horn</td>
<td>Skakuhachi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orchestral Strings</td>
<td>Synth Voice</td>
<td>SynthBrass 1</td>
<td>Bassoon</td>
<td>Whistle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timpani</td>
<td>Orchestra Hit</td>
<td>SynthBrass 2</td>
<td>Clarinet</td>
<td>Ocarina</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Synth Lead</th>
<th>Synth Pad</th>
<th>Synth Effects</th>
<th>Ethnic</th>
<th>Percussive</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lead 1 (square)</td>
<td>Pad 1 (new age)</td>
<td>FX 1 (rain)</td>
<td>Sitar</td>
<td>Tinkle Bell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead 2 (sawtooth)</td>
<td>Pad 2 (warm)</td>
<td>FX 2 (soundtrack)</td>
<td>Banjo</td>
<td>Agogo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead 3 (calliope)</td>
<td>Pad 3 (polysynth)</td>
<td>FX 3 (crystal)</td>
<td>Shamisen</td>
<td>Steel Drums</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead 4 (chiff)</td>
<td>Pad 4 (choir)</td>
<td>FX 4 (atmosphere)</td>
<td>Koto</td>
<td>Woodblock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead 5 (charang)</td>
<td>Pad 5 (bowed)</td>
<td>FX 5 (brightness)</td>
<td>Kalimba</td>
<td>Taiko Drum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead 6 (voice)</td>
<td>Pad 6 (metallic)</td>
<td>FX 6 (goblins)</td>
<td>Bagpipe</td>
<td>Melodic Tom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead 7 (fifths)</td>
<td>Pad 7 (halo)</td>
<td>FX 7 (echoes)</td>
<td>Fiddle</td>
<td>Synth Drum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead 8 (bass+lead)</td>
<td>Pad 8 (sweep)</td>
<td>FX 8 (sci-fi)</td>
<td>Shanai</td>
<td>Reverse Cymbal</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4. (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sound Effects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Guitar Fret Noise</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breath Noise</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seashore</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bird Tweet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telephone Ring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helicopter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Applause</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gunshot</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Source:* MIDI Manufacturers Association (MMA) website.

Real-Time Tempo Control

Finale 2009

Finale 2009 did not possess a tool whose function was to provide real-time tempo adjustment during performance. The program, however, did provide a feature that functioned in such a capacity. Finale’s Tempo Tap feature was designed to allow the user to manually specify tempo changes via MIDI data and Finale’s Human Playback feature would interpret that data during playback. The possibility of operating the Tempo Tap tool in real time and accompanying the marimba soloist during the preparation of *Concerto No. 1 in D Minor for Marimba and Orchestra* was available to the user.

Tempo Tap required an additional person to operate the mechanism. To set up the feature, the score was put into Studio View (fig. 1). With the score in Studio View, the Tempo Tap staff was visible. The Tempo Tap staff came pre-loaded with quarter notes in
each measure, but the rhythm was modifiable. For the second movement of *Concerto No. 1 in D Minor for Marimba and Orchestra*, Finale’s real-time tempo adjustment capabilities were applied to mm. 21–51. These measures included a rubato section, a tempo change to più mosso, and a tempo change to meno mosso. In m. 21, the Tempo Tap rhythm was adjusted to an eighth-note triplet figure to coordinate with the solo marimba part. To change the rhythm in the Tempo Tap staff, the quarter notes were changed to eighth notes, then to eighth-note triplets. Once the Tempo Tap rhythm was adjusted, the solo marimba part was muted by selecting the mute button for the desired staff in the Audio Mixer controls to the left of each staff (fig. 2).

**Figure 1.** Studio View of Movement II of *Concerto No. 1 in D Minor for Marimba and Orchestra*
To enable Tempo Tap to control the tempo of the orchestration playback, the score was put into HyperScribe mode. Under the Tools menu, the HyperScribe feature was selected (fig. 3). HyperScribe was activated in m. 21 and the work was performed. During the performance, an accompanist performed the rhythms of the Tempo Tap staff by playing the rhythms with the space bar. Regardless of the rhythms being played in the Tempo Tap staff, the orchestral parts performed their individual rhythms accurately. In addition, as the accompanist made tempo adjustments, the orchestral accompaniment parts adjusted accordingly.

Figure 2. Muting solo marimba part in Movement II
NOTION 2.0

NOTION required a second person to operate the program’s real-time tempo control mechanism. The additional person was needed to “play” the orchestra parts live and in time with the performer. NOTION’s real-time tempo control mechanism, called NTempo, required the user to create a separate staff for tempo control. With the NTempo staff, the user notated rhythms for an “accompanist” to perform live. The rhythms were
tailored according to accompanist preferences. To set up and operate the NTempo feature, several steps were taken.

In step one, an NTempo staff for the second movement of *Concerto No. 1 in D Minor for Marimba and Orchestra* was created. From the Playback drop-down menu, Add NTempo Staff was selected (fig. 4). In step two, notes that best enabled the accompanist to “conduct” the orchestra were added to the NTempo staff. Since the solo marimba was playing eighth note triplet figures and eighth notes in the melody, eighth note triplet figures and eighth notes were chosen for the NTempo staff (fig. 5).

**Figure 4.** Selecting Add NTempo Staff from Playback drop-down menu in Movement II
In the third step, the solo marimba part and the NTetempo staff were muted so they would not play back during the rehearsal (fig. 6). For the final step, the NTetempo button was depressed to place the score into Performance Mode so the score could be performed live (fig. 7). The NTetempo rhythm was performed on any key on the middle row of the keyboard, excluding the caps lock key and the Return key. Although the NTetempo track rhythms from mm. 21–29 were different from those of the orchestral accompaniment, the accompaniment parts performed rhythmically accurately (fig. 8).

Figure 5. Notes being added to NTetempo staff (top staff) in Movement II
Figure 6. Muting the solo marimba part in Movement II

Figure 7. Pressing NTempo to put Movement II into Performance Mode
Figure 8. NTempo staff (top staff) and accompaniment parts in mm. 21–25 of Mvt. II

SmartMusic

SmartMusic offered an integrated tempo control feature called Intelligent Accompaniment. According to the MakeMusic, Inc. website:

Intelligent Accompaniment™ listens as students practice solos and follows their spontaneous tempo changes. Slow down and it slows down; speed up and it speeds up; set it to wait for a note and it enters when you are done playing a rubato section.23

As promising as this feature appeared, however, the manufacturer suggested “other instruments (such as percussion, guitar, etc.) can use SmartMusic, but simply aren’t able to utilize the interactive features including assessment, and Intelligent Accompaniment.”\(^{24}\) The Intelligent Accompaniment feature was tested to see if it worked with marimba. The solo marimba part in the second movement of *Concerto No. 1 in D Minor* was adjusted to a single-staff, single-line part. In preparation for exporting the file to SmartMusic, the Solo Accompaniment was selected and trombone and oboe were selected as possible solo instrument choices to substitute for marimba. The Intelligent Accompaniment sensitivity setting was set at eight, nine, and ten on consecutive tests, yet none of these adjustments enabled the feature to work properly. SmartMusic was not able to provide real-time tempo adjustments in this study.

Instrument Isolation

Finale 2009

Finale 2009 provided instrument isolation via its Mixer feature. To manipulate the Mixer, the user chose from two methods. In Method one, the user enabled the View drop-down menu and activated the Studio View button (fig. 9). In this view, the user interacted with the individual Mixer controls available to the left of each staff. Two buttons on the Mixer allowed the user either to mute or solo the staff. For rehearsing mm. 12–20, the marimbist marked the string instruments as solo instruments (fig. 10). In the second method for accessing the Mixer controls, the marimbist placed the score in Page View,

enabled the Window drop-down menu, and activated the Mixer from this list (fig. 11). Upon activating the Mixer, the Mixer controls for every instrument were visible at the bottom of the screen. The marimbist marked the string instruments as solo instruments (fig. 12).

**Figure 9.** Putting Movement I into Studio View
Figure 10. Marking the string parts as solo parts in Movement I

Figure 11. Activating the Mixer from the Window drop-down menu
Figure 12. Mixer in Page View and marking the string instruments as solo instruments in Movement II.

Finale 2009 also was able to provide a limited practice loop. To initiate the practice loop, the user activated the Window drop-down menu and opened the Playback Controls panel (fig. 13). The Playback Controls panel was accessed in both Page View and Studio View. In the Playback Controls panel, the marimbist depressed the small arrow in the bottom left corner of the window and set the Playback Region to the desired rehearsal section, mm. 12/beat 1–20/beat 2 (fig. 14). Ending the Playback Region on beat two of measure 20 allowed the marimbist to complete the phrase that preceded measure 20. In addition, under the Click and Countoff button, the marimbist set a one-measure
countoff. The countoff measure played four quarter notes to notify the performer of the tempo of the rehearsal section, providing the performer with one measure to prepare before beginning the rehearsal section. The marimbist depressed the play button in the Playback Controls dialogue box and the rehearsal section performed and repeated as desired.

Figure 13. Activating Playback Controls from Page View
NOTION 2.0

NOTION provided instrument isolation via its Audio Mixer settings. With the score open, the user opened the Audio Mixer by pressing Command-M. Also, the Audio Mixer was accessible through the Playback drop-down menu by activating the Audio Mixer selection (fig. 15). With the Audio Mixer opened, the marimbist chose the desired instruments with which to rehearse. The user chose the most efficient way to isolate instruments by selecting the desired instruments as solo instruments. For the rehearsal section including mm. 12–20, the marimbist marked the string instruments as Solo (fig. 16).
Figure 15. Activating the Audio Mixer from the Playback drop-down menu

Figure 16. Marking the string instruments as solo instruments in the Audio Mixer
A practice loop was established when the marimbist performed a series of commands. First, the marimbist pressed Command-G or Ctrl-G to activate the Go-To feature and set the beginning of the loop. Both keystroke combinations allowed the user to go to a particular measure. For the aforementioned rehearsal section, the user set the score to go to measure ten (fig. 17). Starting in measure ten created a natural two-measure count off for the rehearsal section beginning in measure twelve. To stop the playback at the end of the rehearsal section (m. 20), the marimbist pressed the Escape key. To start the rehearsal section over in measure ten, the Play button was depressed.

The marimbist established a new rehearsal section in mm. 27–33. The marimbist marked all string instruments, French horns, and trombones as solo instruments.

**Figure 17.** Command-G (Go-To) command screen

SmartMusic

SmartMusic allowed for instrument isolation by utilizing its Ensemble Accompaniment feature. Under the File menu, the marimbist exported Movement I of *Concerto No. 1 in D Minor for Marimba and Orchestra* to SmartMusic as an Ensemble Accompaniment (fig. 18). In the process of exporting the first movement, the user
selected all of the instruments, except for the solo marimba, as accompaniment instruments (fig. 19). The final step included providing the file with a title. Once the file was exported to SmartMusic as an Ensemble Accompaniment, the marimbist isolated the instruments as desired. To accomplish this, the user adjusted the Instrumentation settings.

From the SmartMusic main screen, the marimbist accessed the Find Music and Music Library menus (fig. 20). The user accessed the Finale Created Files database and opened the exported Movement I file (fig. 21). The rehearsal goal for mm. 12–20 was to reinforce rhythmic accuracy between the solo part and string parts. Therefore, after the Instrumentation menu was selected, the marimbist deselected all other instruments as accompaniment instruments, leaving only the strings as the accompaniment to rehearse mm. 12–20 (fig. 22).

![Figure 18. Exporting Movement I as an Ensemble Accompaniment—step 1](image)
Figure 19. Selecting accompaniment instruments during export process.

Figure 20. Accessing the Music Library menu from the SmartMusic main screen.
Figure 21. Accessing *Finale Created Files* database

Figure 22. Setting Instrumentation to strings only for rehearsing mm. 12–20
In coordination with the Ensemble Accompaniment feature, SmartMusic provided a Practice Loop function that allowed the user to set the beginning and ending measures of a practice section to which SmartMusic would count off and repeat. To set the beginning of the Practice Loop, the user set the From Rehearsal Mark beginning at m. 12/beat 1. To set the end of the Practice Loop, the marimbist set the Thru Rehearsal Mark for m. 32/beat 4. The marimbist utilized the 1 Bar Countoff feature that produced four quarter note clicks before the rehearsal section began. The 1Bar Countoff provided the tempo for the rehearsal section and allowed the marimbist one measure to mentally prepare before rehearsing. One measure was added at the end of the practice section to reset the loop (fig. 23).

Figure 23. Practice Loop setup screen for Movement I

Chapter V is a summary of the document. Conclusions, program deficiencies, and suggestions for further research are provided.
CHAPTER V
CONCLUSIONS, SUGGESTIONS, AND RECOMMENDATIONS
FOR FURTHER STUDY

Conclusions

The purpose of the study was to investigate the features of three interactive music software programs and their application in preparing marimba concerti. Finale 2009 and NOTION 2.0 provided realistic instrument sounds, real-time tempo control, and instrument isolation thus enabling both programs to function as viable alternatives to piano accompaniments in the preparation of marimba concerti. Both programs provided comparable instrument sounds in terms of quantity and quality. Both programs provided real-time tempo adjustment features and mechanisms for instrument isolation. In addition, both programs provided limited practice loop functionality. All of these features provided a means for students and performers to develop ensemble performance skills while preparing a marimba concerto — balance and blend, rubato, conducting through gestures, and rhythmic precision. SmartMusic provided comparable instrument sounds in terms of quantity and quality. Per the manufacturer’s admission, however, the program’s real-time accompaniment feature did not work properly with keyboard percussion. SmartMusic provided a mechanism for instrument isolation, as well as an advanced practice loop feature that was useful in preparing marimba concerti.
Finale produced comparable orchestral instrument sounds using its SmartMusic SoftSynth device. Using the Garritan Instruments for playback provided more sampled instrument sounds to apply to the Concerto No. 1 in D Minor for Marimba and Orchestra files, thus producing more realistic orchestral instrument sounds. Greater sound quality could have been gained if effort had been taken in mapping out the score to specific samples. Score mapping, however, was beyond the scope of this study. In addition, Finale’s ability to access third-party sound libraries via Virtual Studio Technology (VST) and Audio Unit (AU) technology provided an expandable quality that the other programs did not offer. The VST/AU functionality provided by Finale offered almost limitless sound quality possibilities and provided users with the flexibility to tailor the playback of Finale to their specifications.

Although not designed as a real-time tempo adjustment tool, Finale’s Tempo Tap mechanism functioned appropriately in such a capacity. The mechanism provided a means to manually record tempo changes into a score. Yet the functionality of the feature allowed the Tempo Tap mechanism to provide real-time tempo adjustments without incident. Although adjusting the rhythm in the Tempo Tap measures from the default quarter notes to triplet eighth-note rhythms took time, eventually the user understood the process and navigated with few delays. One concern experienced during Tempo Tap performances was the pace at which the screen advanced delayed to the last beat of the last measure on the screen. This made following the Tempo Tap rhythm complicated since the measure on the next screen was not viewable until that measure was active.
Finale’s Audio Mixer feature offered two access methods and two means of isolating instruments. The simple functionality provided optimum results for selecting specific instruments with which to rehearse and solidify rhythmic accuracy. In addition, the Playback Controls mechanism provided features that aided in making rehearsals efficient and effective.

NOTION 2.0

NOTION’s bundled instrument sounds provided realistic sound quality and a sufficient quantity of instruments. Although saxophones were omitted from the bundled sound library, they were not needed in this concerto. The Expanded Sound Kits completed the orchestral sounds at a moderate cost. The additional sound kits added instruments and techniques that increased sound quality and instrument quantity. Since NOTION did not offer VST/AU expandability, the sound kits were the only means by which the quality and/or quantity of instrument sounds could be augmented.

NOTION’s NTempo feature provided functional, real-time tempo adjustment control via an additional person. Entering notes in the NTempo Staff was achievable with minimal commands and allowed the user to tailor the accompaniment rhythms to the preferences of the accompanist. In addition, NOTION provided the user with the ability to show or hide staves and adjust the order of staves in the score. When utilizing the NTempo feature in rehearsing the second movement of *Concerto No. 1 in D Minor*, rearranging the score order was a useful tool. Because the solo marimba part and the NTempo staff rhythms were similar, the accompanist preferred to have the solo marimba
part just below the NTempo staff. In the score setup menu, the marimba staff was moved to just below the NTempo staff to accommodate the accompanist’s preferences. Finally, it was possible to record the performance as a playback file. This feature was useful to demonstrate to an accompanist how a soloist wanted to execute a ritardando or accelerando.

NOTION isolated instruments via its Audio Mixer feature. The Audio Mixer provided two methods of isolating instruments — muting and soloing. Marking the desired instruments as solo instruments was the most efficient and effective way to isolate instruments and aid in solidifying the concept of ensemble rhythmic accuracy. In addition, utilizing the Go To feature, Escape key, and Play button created a quasi practice loop. This service assisted in preparing marimba concerti, particularly building proper practice habits.

**SmartMusic**

SmartMusic produced applicable instrument sounds via the SmartMusic SoftSynth device. The 128 General MIDI sounds were sampled sounds that provided a basic library of orchestral instrument realizations. The program’s lack of expandability limited the quality and quantity of instrument sounds available to the user.

Although MakeMusic, Inc. indicated that keyboard percussion was not compatible with SmartMusic’s Intelligent Accompaniment feature, several attempts to make the mechanism work were made. Adjustments were made to the solo marimba part
and the Intelligent Accompaniment settings; however, the feature did not work properly with marimba.

By exporting a Finale file to SmartMusic as an Ensemble Accompaniment, SmartMusic was able to provide instrument isolation as the user desired. Through the Practice Loop device, the user was able to isolate instruments, adjust rehearsal tempi, set practice loop measures, and establish beginning and ending countoff and reset parameters.

Suggestions

Finale and NOTION worked well in both an individual practice setting and performance studies lesson settings. In individual practice sessions, the user set the programs to function as recorded accompaniments. Although the programs were “performing” the tempo changes that the user had defined, the performer was playing to the track instead of the track following the performer. This arrangement had limited appeal, but it provided tangible pedagogical benefits—full orchestral accompaniments, practice loop functionality, and the ability to practice with isolated instruments. In performance study lessons, with the performance studies teacher operating the NTempo or Tempo Tap feature, the programs functioned as accompaniment tools and followed the performer through the performance. In addition, the teacher was able to initiate and demonstrate interpretation suggestions to the student. The interactive quality that Finale and NOTION provided was beneficial to marimba concerto preparation.
Entering notes, articulations, dynamics, and miscellaneous entries for a three-movement concerto was a time-consuming process. Therefore, importing concerto files using Music Extensible Markup Language (MusicXML) was recommended. MusicXML import was the quickest and most accurate way to enter the score into Finale and NOTION. If a MusicXML file was not available, scanning the score into the programs was a viable alternative. Although the scanning technology was not 100% accurate, the programs were a time saver over entering the concerto by hand.

Recommendations for Further Study

Further research studying the effects of interactive music software on marimba concerto preparation is recommended. Empirical studies examining the benefits of interactive music software programs on the preparation of marimba concerti would further develop the current study. Earlier studies performed on the interactive music software program Vivace® should be duplicated and/or adjusted for current, more stable technology. In addition, similar descriptive and/or empirical studies could be expanded to include percussion chamber works, and marimba concerti for marimba and wind ensemble, marimba and percussion ensemble, and marimba and chamber ensemble.

Studies examining the preparation of percussion orchestral excerpts would be beneficial. Students and performers prepare excerpts in private practice, by practicing to recordings of orchestral performances of the excerpt, or by performing to compact disc recordings of select orchestral accompaniments minus the solo percussion part. Descriptive and/or empirical studies the adaptability of interactive music software
programs in the preparation of percussion orchestral excerpts, or examining the benefits
of interactive music software programs in the preparation of percussion orchestral
excerpts would be constructive.

Future versions of NOTION and Finale require that this subject be revisited in the
features include simplified percussion notation, over 350 Garritan instrument sounds,
improved VST/AU support and an updated Aria® player. NOTION 3.0 is scheduled for
release in 2010 and will accommodate Virtual Studio Technology Instruments (VSTi)
and provide MIDI out functionality for exporting files. Also, NOTION 3.0 will offer
“enhanced compatibility with other programs and libraries and will have improved live
performance features.” 25 Advancements in NOTION and Finale might significantly
improve the program deficiencies noted previously.

If further studies determine significant benefits to preparing marimba concerti
using interactive music software programs, music publishers should be made aware so
they could make MusicXML files available for import. This would greatly reduce the
preparation time required to input a full orchestral score. Another solution to inputting
orchestral accompaniments by hand would be for publishers of marimba concerti to offer
XML or MIDI files of the orchestral accompaniments for purchase. Reducing the
complexity of entering the orchestral accompaniments to marimba concerti might

25 Jim Boitnott (President/CEO, NOTION Music, Inc.), in discussion with the
encourage performers to perform new concerti, as well as assist students and performers with preparing for competitions and performances.

Although marimbists traditionally rehearse marimba concertos with accompanists performing piano reductions, a need for a practice tool that more realistically reproduced the orchestral accompaniment was lacking. Interactive music software programs such as Finale, NOTION, and SmartMusic provided features to assist students and performers in the preparation of marimba concertos. In addition, future versions of interactive music software programs will likely offer new and improved features to aid students and performers with preparing marimba concertos as well as solidifying ensemble performance concepts.
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Appendix A

MakeMusic Permission Approval Form
7615 Golden Triangle Drive, Suite M  
Eden Prairie, MN 55344  
http://www.smartmusic.com/

Danny Frye  
High Point University  
833 Montlieu Avenue  
High Point, NC 27262

Dear Mr. Frye,

MakeMusic, Inc. is pleased to give its permission for the use of our Finale® and SmartMusic® software for academic and non-profit research. We require acknowledgement within the project of our copyrights and trademarks and request a copy of any written research results be sent to our corporate offices as follows:  
MakeMusic, Inc.  
Attention: CFO  
7615 Golden Triangle Drive, Suite M  
Eden Prairie, MN 55344

This permission does not extend or modify the terms of the license agreements for the Finale® family of notation software, the "SmartMusic® Software License Agreement" or the "Subscriber Agreement for SmartMusic®." These are available for public perusal at the "Terms and Conditions" section of our corporate website, www.smartmusic.com and their compliance is necessary for use of the software.

Finale® and SmartMusic® and their accompanying documentation materials are protected under federal copyright and patent laws. Unauthorized copying or use beyond the scope of this permission is expressly forbidden.

Inquiries regarding specific uses not indicated in this letter may be directed to Karen VanDerBosch, Chief Financial Officer at kvanderbosch@makemusic.com.

Thank you,

David Hawley  
SmartMusic Product Specialist
Appendix B

NOTION Permission Approval Email
From: "Jim Botnott" <jim@notionmusic.com>
Date: May 24, 2009 5:03:11 PM EDT
To: "Danny Frye" <dannyfrye@earthlink.net>
Subject: RE: Copyright Permission Request

danny...sorry I did not respond to this sooner...just got back in the country. You are good to go on using
whatever you need.

Danny Frye has the permission of NOTION Music to use screen shots, information found in our documents,
on our website, or product packaging for his dissertation.

Jim Botnott
President/CEO
NOTION Music
Appendix C

MIDI Manufacturers Association Permission Email
From: info@midi.org
Subject: RE: Form Generated Email from www.midi.org
Date: June 9, 2009 6:30:02 PM EDT
To: dannyfye@earthlink.net

Thank you for completing our form.

Because you will be taking the list of instruments and putting that into your own table (rather than using our presentation of that data) you do not need our permission.

We will keep your form and this email in our files.

Regards,

Tom White
MMA