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Abstract:

**Study Design:** One-group discriminant analysis.

**Objective:** To determine whether 1 MHz of continuous ultrasound can identify tibial stress fractures in subjects.

**Background:** Stress fractures can lead to loss of function or to more serious nonunion fractures. Early diagnosis is important to reduce the risk of further injury and to assure a safe return to activity. Therapeutic ultrasound has been reported to be an accessible, less expensive alternative in diagnosing stress fractures compared with other diagnostic techniques.

**Methods and Measures:** Twenty-six subjects (12 men, 20.33 ± 1.37 years; 14 women, 20.78 ± 3.8 years) with unilateral tibia pain for less than 2 weeks volunteered to participate in the study. Continuous, 1 MHz ultrasound was applied to the uninvolved and involved tibias at 7 increasing intensities for 30 seconds each. Subjects completed a visual analog scale after the application of each intensity to assess the pain response to ultrasound. Results from the visual analog scale were compared to magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) findings to determine if continuous ultrasound could predict whether subjects had a normal MRI, increased bone remodeling, or advanced bone remodeling consistent with a stress fracture.
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**Results:** Discriminant analysis on the visual analog scale correctly classified subjects into 1 of 3 clinical classification groups in 42.31% of the cases. None of the subjects found to have a stress fracture by MRI were correctly identified by continuous ultrasound. This resulted in a predicted sensitivity of 0% and a predicted specificity of 100%.

**Conclusions:** A protocol using visual analog scores after the application of 1 MHz continuous ultrasound is not sensitive for identifying subjects with tibial stress fractures. J Orthop Sports Phys Ther 2000;30:444-452.
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**Article:**
The tibia is the most common location of stress fractures in runners. Proper diagnosis is important to prevent the development of a complete or nonunion fracture and subsequent incapacitation. Radiographs are used in the diagnosis of stress fractures, but their usefulness is limited by the inability to detect fractures until 3 weeks to 3 months after the onset of symptoms. Bone scan with Technetium-99 meta-diphosphonate detects stress fractures earlier than radiographs, but this procedure requires an injection and a 3-hour wait before imaging. Recent studies have used magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) to diagnose tibial stress fractures on a continuum from periosteal inflammation, to bone marrow edema, to cortical fracture. MRI is also noninvasive, less time consuming, and has been used with a similar or higher sensitivity and better specificity than scintigraphy.

Ultrasound is a commonly used modality in the treatment of soft tissue injuries. Several authors have suggested that continuous ultrasound may also be an accurate, cost efficient alternative to other radiologic methods for diagnosing tibial stress fractures. The reported validity of ultrasound as a tool for diagnosing stress fractures is mixed. Nitz and Scoville examined 54 military recruits who reported knee pain while running in their first 4 weeks of training. They used continuous ultrasound for 30 seconds at intensities from 2.0 to 3.0 W/cm². When compared to radiographs, this protocol had a sensitivity of 89.5% (34/38), a specificity of 100% (16/16), and had 92.6% overall accuracy in diagnosing stress fractures of the medial tibial plateau. The frequency, sound head size, and area of application were not reported in their study. Moss and Mowatt had similar results when using ultrasound to diagnose radiographically confirmed tibial shaft stress fractures. Using a frequency of .75 MHz and intensities between 1.0 and 2.0 W/cm², the authors successfully diagnosed stress fractures 96% of the time. Other researchers, however, have used ultrasound to diagnose stress fractures with less success.
Deveraux et al\textsuperscript{10} reported a 44\% rate of correctly diagnosing tibial and fibular stress fractures using the methods described by Moss and Mowatt.\textsuperscript{24} Boam et al,\textsuperscript{5} using an intensity of 2.0 W/cm\textsuperscript{2} applied for 30 seconds, reported an accuracy of 46\%.

The researchers who used ultrasound to identify patients with tibial stress fractures used different testing techniques and failed to document all of the ultrasound application parameters that were used. We found no studies that compared the results of an ultrasound examination to MRI or that have completely documented ultrasound intensity, frequency, size of sound head, time of application, size of the area of application, and rate of intensity increase. In addition, the .75 MHz frequency used by Moss and Mowatt\textsuperscript{24} is an ultrasound frequency that is not commonly used in the United States.

When an orthopaedic physical therapist or an athletic trainer has a patient who may have a stress fracture, it is important that they understand the implications of using ultrasound for evaluation and treatment of the injury. A common modality such as ultrasound could be a useful tool to the clinician who is practicing independently and does not have immediate access to other radiologic examination techniques. In addition, the application of continuous ultrasound over a suspected stress fracture can cause discomfort,\textsuperscript{8} which should contraindicate treatment with continuous ultrasound of a confirmed stress fracture. Where continuous ultrasound does cause pain over a tibial injury, it is possible that this may indicate an undiagnosed stress fracture that requires the attention of a physician.

The purpose of our study was to determine how accurately a protocol of 1 MHz continuous ultrasound could identify early tibial stress fractures revealed by MRI. We hypothesized that subject responses on a visual analog scale during the application of continuous ultrasound would correctly classify subjects into "no fracture," "transition," or "stress fracture" groups. A detailed protocol that described the frequency, sound head size, treatment area, time of application, and rate of intensity increase is included. The diagnostic sensitivity of the therapeutic continuous ultrasound protocol was based on a criterion standard provided by MRI findings.

\textbf{MATERIALS AND METHODS}

\textit{Subjects}

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\caption{Subject athletic participation.}
\begin{tabular}{|l|c|c|c|}
\hline
Activity & \textit{n} & Sex & Age (min–max) \\
\hline
Swimming & 2 & Female & 19–20 \\
Women's lacrosse & 2 & Female & 18–19 \\
Men's lacrosse & 4 & Male & 19–21 \\
Football & 2 & Male & 20–22 \\
Cross country & 3 & Female (2) & 19–21 \\
 & & Male (1) & 21 \\
Men's basketball & 3 & Male & 18–20 \\
Women's soccer & 1 & Female & 19 \\
Track & 3 & Female & 18–19 \\
Marathon runner & 1 & Female & 28 \\
Recreational runner & 4 & Female (2) & 21–31 \\
 & & Male (2) & 21–23 \\
Field hockey & 1 & Female & 20 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\end{table}
Twenty-six subjects between the ages of 18 and 31 years with complaints of unilateral, nontraumatic symptoms of tibial stress fracture were studied (Table 1). We recruited subjects from 8 varsity and 2 recreational activities (Table 2). Twenty of 26 subjects reported some form of running as the activity that aggravated their symptoms (Table 3). A recent change in activity or activity intensity, in shoes worn, or in a playing surface was also indicated by all 26 subjects within 3 months of their participation in the study. The criteria for inclusion in the study were anterior leg pain that increased with activity and decreased

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Aggravating activity</th>
<th>n</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Off-season sprinting</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Off-season stair run</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance running</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women’s soccer</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lacrosse</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field hockey</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
with rest, and clinical findings of point tenderness with or without localized swelling in one leg. Subjects who reported symptoms for longer than 2 weeks, or were treated with anti-inflammatory or analgesic medication for a period equal to the substance's half-life, or had pain with activity in both legs, or had a previous radiologic test for a stress fracture were excluded. Subjects signed an informed consent form approved by a University of Virginia Human Investigations Review Board before participating in the study.

**Ultrasound**

An Omnisound 3000 ultrasound unit (Physio Technology, Topeka, Kan) with a 5-cm² sound head set at 1 MHz frequency was used for examination. The unit was calibrated before the study. The effective radiating area was 4.1 cm² and the beam nonuniform ratio was reported as 4:1 by the manufacturer. Subjects assumed a long sitting position and the most tender area of the involved tibia was identified by palpation and marked (Figure 1). The treatment area was determined by tracing a cut-out stencil over the most tender area of the involved tibia and the corresponding area on the uninvolved side. The size of the stencil equaled 15 cm². Ultrasound conducting gel at room temperature was placed on the treatment area of the uninvolved leg. Continuous ultrasound was first applied to the treatment area of the uninvolved leg at 0, .5, 1.0, 1.5, 2.0, 2.5, and 2.9 W/cm² for consecutive 30 second intervals. Movement of the sound head was paced by a "Quik Time" metronome (Evets Corp, Laguna Hills, Calif) so that the sound head moved approximately 4 cm/second." The procedure was duplicated on the involved extremity.
**Pain Assessment**
At the end of each ultrasound application intensity, the subjects marked a 100-mm blank visual analog scale (VAS). The mark corresponded with the subject's level of comfort during the previous 30 seconds. A mark on the left of the scale indicated "no pain," whereas a mark on the far right indicated "unbearable pain." A blank scale was used for each measurement according to the guidelines reported by Scott and Huskisson. Subject responses on the VAS were calculated by measuring the mark in millimeters from the left border of the scale.

The subject's uninvolved leg was used as a control because it was without pain during activity. To compare the difference in perceived pain between each subject's involved and uninvolved (control) leg a delta pain score was calculated for each of the 7 ultrasound intensities applied. The VAS response that followed the application of the continuous ultrasound to the control leg was subtracted from the VAS response following the application of the same ultrasound intensity to the involved leg. Calculation of a delta pain score was made for the 7 ultrasound intensities applied to each subject. The largest of the 7 delta pain scores, or the largest difference between perceived pain on the involved and uninvolved legs was used as the dependent variable for each subject.

**Magnetic Resonance Imaging**
MR imaging was performed with a Siemens Vision 1.5 T system (B-31, Islin, NJ). With the use of a body phase ring coil, T1 and Turbo 7 echo train inversion recovery images were obtained on both lower legs simultaneously in the sagittal and axial plane. Parameters included repetition time of 4250 msec, echo time of 30 msec, and inversion time of 150 msec and one signal acquisition. For sagittal images, the section thickness and gap were 8 mm and 30%, respectively, and the field of view (FOV) was 160 mm. Axial section thickness of 4 mm with a gap of 0 and a FOV of 260 mm were used. The matrix for T1 and turbo images was set at 384 X 512 and 252 x 256, respectively. MRI studies were evaluated by a musculoskeletal radiologist blinded to the results of the physical and ultrasound examination.

**MRI classification** The radiologist graded subjects on a scale of 0 to 4 based on a MRI classification scheme introduced by Fredericson et al. MRI classification of bone remodeling and stress fractures by Fredericson et al was consistent with classification of bone scan findings in 77.8% of the cases examined. It has also been suggested that MRI is a more appropriate diagnostic technique than bone scan to correlate the degree of injury in relation to clinical findings. Pilot testing indicated that ultrasound would not be a tool sensitive enough to separate subjects into 5 groups. Moreover, previous studies suggested that the clinical differences between grade 0 and 1, and grade 3 and 4 MRI presentations were negligible. As a result, we used the MRI grade to classify subjects into 1 of 3 clinical classification groups, "no fracture MRI grade 0 & 1," "transition MRI grade 2," or "stress fracture MRI grade 3 & 4."

**Statistical Analyses**
The "no fracture" group included subjects that the radiologist graded as "0" or "1," indicating that there was either no change or appearance of periosteal swelling on MRI. The "transition" group included subjects graded as "2" with signs of increased bone marrow edema. The "stress fracture" group included subjects graded as "3" or "4," indicating the presence of advanced bone marrow edema or cortical fracture.
A one-group discriminant analysis was conducted to determine if the largest delta pain score correctly predicted the subject's classification into the "no fracture," "transition," or "stress fracture" group (P≤.05). The outcome of the discriminant analysis was then used to estimate sensitivity and specificity. Sensitivity was calculated to measure how frequently continuous ultrasound predicted subjects to have a stress fracture when they also had a grade 3 or 4 MRI finding (the criterion standard for a tibial stress fracture). Specificity was calculated to measure how frequently continuous ultrasound predicted subjects to be without a stress fracture when they had a grade 0, 1, or 2 MRI finding (the criterion standard indicating that subjects had a normal MRI, presentation of periosteal edema, or minor bone marrow edema seen only on T2 weighted images). These findings are commonly seen with normal bone remodeling and are not necessarily indicative of a tibial stress fracture. SPSS 6.1 statistical package for the Power Mac was used to analyze the data.

RESULTS

Magnetic Resonance Imaging Results
Magnetic resonance imaging studies of each subject's involved and uninvolved legs were graded as: normal presentation (grade 0), soft tissue and subperiosteal edema (grade 1), bone marrow edema on Turbo (inversion recovery) images (grade 2), and bone marrow edema on Turbo and T1 images (grade 3) (Figure 2). The findings in a grade 3 image are consistent with the definition of a stress fracture. No subjects were classified as a grade 4 (cortical fracture). Subject classification is listed in Table 4.

In addition to positive findings at the symptomatic sites of the involved legs, MRI revealed significant bone marrow edema at the corresponding sites of the asymptomatic uninvolved legs in 7 subjects. Four grade 2 and one grade 3 areas of bone remodeling were identified in the anteromedial border of the uninvolved tibias Two grade 2 findings were located at the posteromedial tibial border and one on the anterior tibia.

Classification into Diagnostic Groups
Means and standard deviations for the delta pain scores for each ultrasound intensity are shown in Table 5. The mean delta pain scores for the largest difference between involved and noninvolved legs are illustrated for the clinical classification scheme (Figure 3) and the MRI classification scheme (Figure 4). When compared to the MRI findings, the largest del-
FIGURE 2. Four MRI presentations (inversion recovery sequences) graded according to Fredericson et al.\textsuperscript{12} (a) Grade 0, normal MRI appearance; (b) Grade 1: soft tissue and periosteal swelling along the medial tibial border; (c) Grade 2: periosteal edema and increased bone marrow edema; (d) Grade 3: bone marrow edema (short tau inversion recovery MRI sequence); (e) Grade 3: bone marrow edema (T1 MRI Sequence).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MRI grade</th>
<th>Involved leg</th>
<th>Uninvolved leg</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DISCUSSION

The primary finding in this study was that therapeutic continuous ultrasound was not effective in identifying subjects with tibial stress fractures. Subjects were correctly classified into 1 of 3 clinical classification groups in less than 50% of the cases. The accuracy of the classification was similar when the subjects who had MRI grades of 2 or 3 on their uninvolved leg were removed to pain score correctly classified subjects into 1 of the 3 classification groups in 42.31% of the cases ($P = .8607$). None of the subjects identified as having a grade 3 MRI were classified correctly. Thus, the predicted sensitivity of our study was 0% (Table 6). All subjects in our study were classified as Grade 0, 1 or 2 and thus, the predicted specificity was 100% (Table 6).

There were 6 subjects who showed bone remodeling in the uninvolved leg, MRI grades 2 and 3 (Table 4). The use of the noninvolved limb as a control for those subjects might not be appropriate. To address this concern, we eliminated those subjects from the data set and then recalculated the predicted sensitivity and specificity. With those subjects removed, the predicted sensitivity was 66.7% (2/3) and the predicted specificity was 58.8% (10/17). Overall, subjects were classified correctly in 40% of the cases.
from the data set. If our protocol was successful at identifying subjects with tibial stress fractures we would have expected that the largest delta pain score for the subjects in the "stress fracture" group would be higher than the scores for the subjects in the "transition" and "no fracture" group (Figure 3). This was not the case. Consequently, our results were considerably lower than the results of previous studies.\textsuperscript{14,18,24,25} Accuracy in other studies varied from 71\%\textsuperscript{14} to 96\%.\textsuperscript{24}

Our study differs from previous studies in several respects. We applied ultrasound at 7 intensities ranging from 0 to 2.9 W/cm\textsuperscript{2} for 30 seconds each. Ultrasound was applied until the onset of symptoms described in Moss and Mowatt\textsuperscript{24} and Lowdon.\textsuperscript{18} Since the application that we used was timed it may have produced a different sensation of discomfort than what was reported by the subjects in the other studies. Speculation about these differences, however, is difficult because the length of time of ultrasound application in the previous studies was not reported, thus preventing comparisons.

Although the predicted specificity was high, it does not mean that our protocol was an accurate method of identifying subjects without stress fractures. It means only that our protocol would correctly predict that a subject was without a stress fracture because it predicted all subjects to be without a stress fracture.

Sensitivity improved when the 6 subjects with MRI grades of 2 or 3 on their uninvolved "control" leg were removed from the data set. However, those 6 subjects also included 3 of the 6 subjects who were classified into the "stress fracture" group, based on the MRI grade of their involved leg. In contrast, the specificity of the ultrasound protocol was lower in the modified data set. This was to be expected as the ultrasound protocol classified all of the subjects to be without a stress fracture in the original analysis. The modest ability of the ultrasound protocol to identify subjects with grade 2 and 3 bone remodeling was apparent only after the subjects with MRI grades of 2 and 3 were eliminated from the data set. Removal of these subjects was dependant upon MRI grading.
TABLE 5. Means, standard deviations, and ranges for the Delta Pain Scores at each of the 7 ultrasound intensities used.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Intensity</th>
<th>0 W/cm²</th>
<th>0.5 W/cm²</th>
<th>1.0 W/cm²</th>
<th>1.5 W/cm²</th>
<th>2.0 W/cm²</th>
<th>2.5 W/cm²</th>
<th>2.9 W/cm²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

FIGURE 4. Means and standard deviations of largest Delta Pain Scores for each of 4 Fredericson et al MRI classifications; Grade 0 (n = 5), Grade 1 (n = 5), Grade 2 (n = 10), Grade 3 (n = 6).

The purpose of using ultrasound protocols such as ours is to limit the dependence on this type of costly evaluation procedure.

Ultrasound energy at lower frequencies is more collimated and penetrates deeper than ultrasound energy at higher frequencies. We used an ultrasound protocol with a 1 MHz frequency. This frequency may have transmitted a different amount of energy to the bone surface than the .75 MHz frequency used by Moss and Mowatt and Lowdon. Although similar frequencies are available on some older units, the .75 MHz frequency that is often used in England is not as commonly used in the United States.

Rather than having subjects choose from a pre-determined list of adjectives, we used a VAS to determine a more objective and quantitative level of subject comfort than was found in previous studies. However, this scale could not distinguish between the different types of sensation that subjects may have perceived following the application of continuous ultrasound. If the type of pain was a more important predictor of the presence of a stress fracture than pain...
intensity, then the VAS might not be a valid scale to evaluate subject symptoms after the application of our protocol.

Our subjects were tested with ultrasound less than 2 weeks after the onset of their symptoms. Moss and Mowatt\textsuperscript{24} and Lowdon's\textsuperscript{18} subjects were examined only after they presented at a sports medicine clinic. Consequently, the subjects in their studies may have had more advanced stages of injuries than our subjects and thus were more sensitive to the ultrasound at evaluation.

It is also possible that continuous ultrasound may not cause greater heating in a remodeling bone than in a normal, healthy one. This suggestion contradicts the theory that increased osteoclastic resorption that accompanies stress fractures also results in greater heat absorption and an increase in bone pain.\textsuperscript{9} Our findings are more consistent with those of Devereaux et al\textsuperscript{10} and Boam et al.\textsuperscript{5} Devereaux et al\textsuperscript{10} used the same ultrasound parameters described by Moss and Mowatt\textsuperscript{24} to examine 18 subjects reporting tibial or fibular pain. They reported that ultrasound was used to correctly diagnose tibia and fibular stress fractures in 44% of their subjects' involved legs based on radiographs and scintigraphy. Devereaux et al\textsuperscript{10} suspected that the differences between their study and Moss and Mowatt\textsuperscript{24} were due to the decreased ability of ultrasound to cause pain in the callousing bone that begins to develop 2 to 3 weeks after the injury. We attempted to account for this callous development by applying ultrasound to subjects who had pain complaints for less than 2 weeks. Hence, any decrease in our subjects' perception of discomfort was probably not due to callous formation on healing bone.

Boam et al\textsuperscript{5} studied 78 patients with ultrasound and scintiscan. Subjects were examined using an ultrasound intensity of 2.0 W/cm\textsuperscript{2} or 0 W/cm\textsuperscript{2} for 30 seconds. The ultrasound examination was classified as positive if the subject reported pain during ultrasound application. The authors reported that compared to scintiscan, ultrasound had a sensitivity of 43% (15/35) and a specificity of 49% (21/43). The positive predictive value was 41% and the negative predictive value was 51%. The accuracy reported by Boam et al is consistent with ours. However, Boam et al reported a higher sensitivity and lower specificity than what we found.\textsuperscript{7,23} Similar to the other studies described, Boam et al used the presence of "pain" to identify subjects with a stress fracture. This is in contrast to the VAS used in our study. If the type of pain is more effective at identifying subjects that have a stress fracture compared to pain intensity, then protocols that measure the presence of pain will identify more subjects as "positive" for a stress fracture and have a higher sensitivity than a protocol that measures pain intensity.

Another explanation for the low percentage of subjects correctly classified in our study may be that nearly 25% (6/26) of our subjects had MRI-confirmed areas of bone remodeling on their uninjured legs. In a controlled study of 23 subjects with exercise induced shin pain for a period of less than 3 months, Batt et al\textsuperscript{4} also reported MRI changes on otherwise asymptomatic legs. Of the 46 asymptomatic legs imaged, 4 showed abnormalities (8.7%). Since we were using the uninjured legs as controls for each subject, any differences in the perception of discomfort between the 2 legs may have been compromised because areas of increased bone remodeling were present. In these cases the uninjured leg was not a suitable control. Additionally, 1 MHz ultrasound frequency may not be the best frequency to deliver ultrasound energy to the superficial medial tibial border. Other studies have used .75 MHz,\textsuperscript{25,28} but 3 MHz may be more
appropriate because it is commonly used to treat superficial tissues.\textsuperscript{11} We found no studies that used a frequency of 3 MHz to identify stress fractures.

**Magnetic Resonance Imaging Findings**
The MRI results in this study consistently showed less advanced stages of bone remodeling than Fredericson et al's subjects.\textsuperscript{12} More than one-third of the subjects in our study had Grade 0 or grade 1 findings upon MRI examination. Only 2 of 18 subjects in the study by Fredericson et al.\textsuperscript{12} study presented as grade 0 (11.1\%) and 2 as grade 1 (11.1\%). Fredericson et al.\textsuperscript{12} however, did show a higher number of subjects classified as grade 3 (n = 10, 55.5\%) and grade 4 (n = 1, 5.6\%) than our study. There were about half this many subjects with a grade 3 presentation and no subjects with a grade 4 in our study. The study selection criterion of pain with activity that discontinued with rest used in our study probably eliminated any subjects with a Grade 4 injury since these injuries often cause pain with and without activity.

Fredericson et al.\textsuperscript{12} probably studied a population with more advanced bone remodeling than our subjects. The subjects who had a previous imaging study for their injuries were excluded from this study, yet Fredericson et al included subjects who had positive bone scans, shin splints, tibial stress reaction, or fracture. Moreover, the subjects in our study had a shorter time between the onset of symptoms and completion of ultrasound and imaging studies. As a result, our subjects had less advanced bone remodeling than the subjects in Fredericson et al\textsuperscript{12} and Anderson et al.\textsuperscript{1}

It is unclear how long Fredericson et al's\textsuperscript{12} subjects experienced their symptoms before clinical and radiological examination. However, the subjects in Anderson et al' reported symptoms for 2 to 84 months (mean = 23.8 months). The longer duration of symptoms coupled with a longer exposure to the aggravating activity may have resulted in an increased level of bone marrow remodeling. As a result, our subjects had a potentially higher level of remodeling, the subjects in the other studies might have had a corresponding MRI grade that was higher than the MRI grade for our subjects.

**Clinical Classification Scheme**
Classification of stress fractures by clinical presentation is not unique. Arendt and Griffiths\textsuperscript{2} proposed a 2-category scheme based on scintigraphic findings. The subjects in this study were classified into 3 clinical groups based on the MRI grading scheme of Fredericson et al.\textsuperscript{12} The subperiosteal edema present in Fredericson et al grade 1 images has been identified as a normal response of bone to stress.\textsuperscript{1,7,12,23} Since grade 1 findings are prevalent with normal bone remodeling, alone they are probably not indicative of true "stress fractures." Clinically, the symptomatic subjects usually require modification of the insulting activity and less than 3 weeks of rest and rehabilitation.\textsuperscript{12} As a result, grade 0 and 1 injuries were considered as having "no fracture" in our study.

Conversely, several studies suggest that a decreased T1 signal intensity with increased signal intensity on T2 or STIR MRI images is indicative of a "stress fracture."\textsuperscript{16,19,22,30} This common definition is consistent with a Fredericson et al\textsuperscript{12} grade 3. Fredericson et al reported that these more advanced cases of bone remodeling required from 6 to more than 12 weeks of rehabilitation and, in some cases, casting. Because of the advanced radiologic appearance and
increased time of morbidity demonstrated previously, 12 subjects with these types of injuries were assigned to the "stress fracture" clinical classification group.

The Fredericson et al 12 grade 2 injuries include visible marrow changes on STIR sequences only and have a more inconsistent clinical appearance. Many continue to increase in severity to a grade 3 lesion while others revert to a less severe grade 1 appearance. Because of their varying clinical appearance and questionable fit into either of the "fracture" or "no fracture" group, subjects with this stage of bone remodeling were labeled as "transition."

Classifying subjects into 3 clinical groups was done for 3 reasons. First, after pilot testing, we believed that ultrasound would not be a sensitive enough modality to separate subjects into 5 groups. Second, findings consistent with a Fredericson grade 3 injury have been identified in the literature as positive for a stress fracture. 16,18,22,30 Third, we thought that it was more important to identify those subjects who did or did not have bone remodeling consistent with a stress fracture, as opposed to the need to identify the subtle changes between normal findings (grade 0) and findings of periosteal edema (grade 1), and advanced bone marrow edema (grade 3), and frank cortical fracture (grade 4).

Clinical Applications
The application of therapeutic continuous ultrasound with this protocol is not an effective method for identifying subjects with tibial stress fractures. As the MRI studies of the asymptomatic legs revealed, it is unrealistic to assume that an asymptomatic leg is normal and without changes in bone remodeling. Ultrasound may not be a sensitive enough modality to classify subjects into 3 groups.

Future studies should examine the use of other ultrasound parameters and subject reporting methods to identify subjects with advanced bone marrow edema consistent with a grade 3 MRI classification. Clinically, these are the patients who are most "at risk" of developing a frank cortical fracture and who would benefit from early identification, prolonged rest, and a modification of their aggravating activity.
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