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In a recent paper in this Review, Edwin Mansfield illustrated empirically (using a sample of ten petroleum and six chemical firms) that firm rates of productivity change are related to the amount of company-financed basic research performed, *ceteris paribus*. In this note additional evidence is presented which is supportive of Mansfield’s findings. This analysis is based on a sample of fifty-one major manufacturing firms active in R&D: it is unique in that the impacts of company-financed and government-financed basic research expenditures are considered determinants of productivity growth.

The following model was estimated:

\[ \rho_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 CB_i + \beta_2 CD_i + \beta_3 GB_i + \beta_4 GD_i + \beta_5 U_i + \epsilon \]

where \( \rho_i \) is the average annual rate of change in total factor productivity between 1973 and 1978 in the \( i \)th firm; \( CB_i \) and \( CD_i \) are the ratio of company-financed basic research expenditures to net sales in the \( i \)th firm and the ratio of company-financed applied research plus development expenditures to net sales in the \( i \)th firm; \( GB_i \) and \( GD_i \) are the ratio of government-financed basic research expenditures to net sales in the \( i \)th firm and the ratio of government-financed applied research plus development expenditures to net sales in the \( i \)th firm; \( U_i \) is the percent of unionization in the 3-digit industry in which the firm performs its main operations; and \( \epsilon \) is an error term.\(^1\)

The least squares results are\(^2\)

\[ \rho_i = -1.37 + 2.31 \cdot CB_i \]
\[ + 0.19 \cdot CD_i + 1.17 \cdot GB_i - 0.002 \cdot GD_i \]
\[ + 0.025 \cdot U_i \]

\( R^2 = 0.43 \)

\( t \)-statistics are reported in parentheses.

These findings confirm Mansfield’s proposition that company-financed basic research is a significant determinant of firm productivity growth. In addition, these results suggest that government-financed basic research is also a significant determinant.

Previous researchers (for example, see Nestor Terleckyj) using a similar model and industry data found that government-financed R&D (as a total) has no statistical impact on industry productivity growth and concluded with data from Compustat (see my 1981 book). Data pertaining to the percent of each firm’s total R&D expenditures that are company- and government-financed, disaggregated into basic, applied, and development, were obtained by survey and correspond to 1976. These percentages were imputed to the firm R&D estimates reported by Compustat for 1976. Unionization data relate to the percentage of workers in each 3-digit industry who are covered by collective bargaining (see Richard Freeman and James Medoff).

An applied research to sales ratio and a development to sales ratio for company- and government-financed expenditures were entered separately in a similar regression. The estimated coefficients on the applied research term and the development term were not significantly different from each other, regardless of source of financing. Those results are not reported. An alternative model was also estimated, where \( \rho_i \) was regressed on \((CB_i + CD_i), (GB_i + GD_i)\), and \( U_i \). The results imply that, between 1973 and 1978, the marginal rate of return from total company-financed R&D was about 38 percent and from total government-financed R&D, about 11 percent.

---

\(^1\)Auburn University. This research is based on work sponsored by the National Science Foundation, Division of Policy Research and Analysis.

\(^2\)The fifty-one firms represent seven manufacturing industries: aircraft and missiles (3 firms), chemicals (12 firms), electrical equipment (4 firms), machinery (12 firms), petroleum (10 firms), scientific instruments (2 firms), and transportation equipment (8 firms). The rate of change in total factor productivity was estimated
that government contracted R&D is not part of the relevant R&D investment of an industry. The results reported here suggest that the basic portion of government-sponsored R&D is an important part of the R&D investments of a firm.
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