

ON COMMUTATIVE AND NON-COMMUTATIVE C^* -ALGEBRAS WITH THE APPROXIMATE n -TH ROOT PROPERTY

A. CHIGOGIDZE, A. KARASEV, K. KAWAMURA, AND V. VALOV

ABSTRACT. We say that a C^* -algebra X has the approximate n -th root property ($n \geq 2$) if for every $a \in X$ with $\|a\| \leq 1$ and every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $b \in X$ such that $\|b\| \leq 1$ and $\|a - b^n\| < \varepsilon$. Some properties of commutative and non-commutative C^* -algebras having the approximate n -th root property are investigated. In particular, it is shown that there exists a non-commutative (resp., commutative) separable unital C^* -algebra X such that any other (commutative) separable unital C^* -algebra is a quotient of X . Also we illustrate a commutative C^* -algebra, each element of which has a square root such that its maximal ideal space has infinitely generated first Čech cohomology.

1. INTRODUCTION

All topological spaces in this paper are assumed to be (at least) completely regular. A compact Hausdorff space is called a *compactum* for simplicity. By C^* -algebra and homomorphisms between C^* -algebras, we mean unital C^* -algebras and unital $*$ -homomorphisms. For a space X and an integer $n \geq 2$, we consider the following conditions ($\|\cdot\|$ denotes the supremum norm):

- ($*$) $_n$ For each bounded continuous function $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and each $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a continuous function $g: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $\|f - g^n\| < \varepsilon$.
- ($**$) $_n$ For each bounded continuous function $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and each $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist bounded continuous functions $g_1, \dots, g_n: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $f = \prod_{i=1}^n g_i$ and $\|g_i - g_j\| < \varepsilon$ for each i, j .

We say that the space $C^*(X)$ of all bounded complex-valued functions on X has the approximate n -th root property if X satisfies condition ($*$) $_n$. The results in this paper were inspired by the following theorem established by K. Kawamura and T. Miura [10]:

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 46L85; Secondary: 54C40.

Key words and phrases. C^* -algebras with the approximate n -th root property, rank of C^* -algebras, covering dimension, invertible maps.

The second author was partially supported by his NSERC Grant 257231-04.

The paper was started during the third author's visit to Nipissing University in July 2004.

The last author was partially supported by his NSERC Grant 261914-03.

Theorem 1.1. *Let X be a compactum with $\dim X \leq 1$ and n a positive integer. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) $C(X)$ has the approximate n -th root property.
- (2) X satisfies condition $(**)_{\mathcal{A}_1(n)}$.
- (3) the first Čech cohomology $\check{H}^1(X; \mathbb{Z})$ is n -divisible, that is, each element of $H^1(X; \mathbb{Z})$ is divided by n .

Let $\mathcal{A}(n)$ denote the class of all completely regular spaces satisfying condition $(*)_{\mathcal{A}_1(n)}$ and $\mathcal{A}_1(n)$ is the subclass of $\mathcal{A}(n)$ consisting of spaces X with $\dim X \leq 1$. Let also $\mathcal{H}(n)$ denote the class of all compacta X with $\check{H}^1(X; \mathbb{Z})$ being n -divisible.

In Section 2 we investigate some properties of the classes $\mathcal{A}(n)$, $\mathcal{A}_1(n)$ and $\mathcal{H}(n)$. In particular, the following theorem is established:

Theorem 1.2. *Let n be a positive integer and let \mathcal{K} denote one of the classes $\mathcal{A}(n)$, $\mathcal{A}_1(n)$ or $\mathcal{H}(n)$. Then, for every cardinal $\tau \geq \omega$, there exists a compactum $X_\tau \in \mathcal{K}$ of weight $\leq \tau$ and a \mathcal{K} -invertible map $f_{\mathcal{K}}: X_\tau \rightarrow \mathbb{I}^\tau$.*

Here, a map $h: X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be *invertible* for the class \mathcal{K} (or simply, \mathcal{K} -invertible) if for every map $g: Z \rightarrow Y$ with $Z \in \mathcal{K}$ there exists a map $\bar{g}: Z \rightarrow X$ such that $g = h \circ \bar{g}$.

Theorem 1.2 implies the next corollary.

Corollary 1.3. *Let n be a positive integer and let \mathcal{K} be one of the classes $\mathcal{A}(n)$, $\mathcal{A}_1(n)$ or $\mathcal{H}(n)$. Then, for every $\tau \geq \omega$, there exists a compactum $X \in \mathcal{K}$ of weight τ which contains every space from \mathcal{K} of weight $\leq \tau$.*

It is easily seen that the modification of condition $(*)_{\mathcal{A}_1(n)}$, obtained by requiring both f and g to be of norm ≤ 1 , is equivalent to $(*)_n$. This observation leads us to consider the following classes of general (non-commutative) C^* -algebras. We say that a C^* -algebra X satisfies *the approximation n -th root property* if for every $a \in X$ with $\|a\| \leq 1$ and every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $b \in X$ such that $\|b\| \leq 1$ and $\|a - b^n\| < \varepsilon$. The class of all C^* -algebras with the approximate n -th root property is denoted by $\mathcal{AP}(n)$. Let $\mathcal{AP}_1(n)$ be the subclass of $\mathcal{AP}(n)$ consisting of C^* -algebras of bounded rank ≤ 1 (recall that bounded rank of C^* -algebras is a non-commutative analogue of the covering dimension \dim , see [5]). We also consider the class $\mathcal{HP}(n)$ of C^* -algebras X with the following property: for every *invertible* element $a \in X$ with $\|a\| \leq 1$ and every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $b \in X$ such that $\|b\| \leq 1$ and $\|a - b^n\| < \varepsilon$.

In the sequel, $\mathcal{AP}(n)_s$ denotes the class of all separable C^* -algebras from $\mathcal{AP}(n)$. The notations $\mathcal{AP}_1(n)_s$ and $\mathcal{HP}(n)_s$ have the same meaning.

Recall now the concept of \mathfrak{R} -invertibility introduced in [4], where \mathfrak{R} is a given class of C^* -algebras. A homomorphism $p: X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be \mathfrak{R} -invertible if,

for any homomorphism $g: X \rightarrow Z$ with $Z \in \mathfrak{R}$, there exists a homomorphism $\bar{g}: Y \rightarrow Z$ such that $g = \bar{g} \circ p$. We also introduce the notion of a *universal* C^* -algebra for a given class \mathfrak{R} as a C^* -algebra $Y \in \mathfrak{R}$ such that any other C^* -algebra from \mathfrak{R} is a quotient of Y .

Section 3 is devoted to the classes $\mathcal{AP}(n)$, $\mathcal{AP}_1(n)$ and $\mathcal{HP}(n)$. The results of this section can be considered as non-commutative counterparts of the results from Section 2. For example, Theorem 1.4 below is a non-commutative version of Theorem 1.2.

Theorem 1.4. *Let n be a positive integer and let \mathcal{K} be one of the classes $\mathcal{AP}(n)$, $\mathcal{AP}_1(n)$ and $\mathcal{HP}(n)$. Then there exists a \mathcal{K} -invertible unital $*$ -homomorphism $p: C^*(\mathbb{F}_\infty) \rightarrow Z_{\mathcal{K}}$ of $C^*(F_\infty)$ to a separable unital C^* -algebra $Z_{\mathcal{K}} \in \mathcal{K}$, where $C^*(\mathbb{F}_\infty)$ is the group C^* -algebra of the free group on countable number of generators.*

It is well-known that every separable C^* -algebra is a surjective image of $C^*(\mathbb{F}_\infty)$. Therefore, if \mathfrak{R} is a class of separable C^* -algebras and $p: C^*(F_\infty) \rightarrow Y_{\mathfrak{R}}$ is a \mathfrak{R} -invertible homomorphism with $Y_{\mathfrak{R}} \in \mathfrak{R}$, then $Y_{\mathfrak{R}}$ is universal for the class \mathfrak{R} . Hence, Theorem 1.4 implies that each of the classes $\mathcal{AP}(n)_s$, $\mathcal{AP}_1(n)_s$ and $\mathcal{HP}(n)_s$ has a universal element.

Let us note that there exists a non-commutative separable C^* -algebra which belongs to any one of the classes $\mathcal{AP}(n)$, $\mathcal{AP}_1(n)$ and $\mathcal{HP}(n)$. Indeed, let $X = M(m)$ be the algebra of all $m \times m$ complex matrixes, where $m \geq 2$ is a fixed integer. By [1], the bounded rank of X is 0. Moreover, using the canonical Jordan form representation, one can show that if $A \in X$ and $n \geq 2$, then A can be approximated by a matrix $B \in X$ with $C^n = B$ for some $C \in X$. Hence, X is a common element of $\mathcal{AP}(n)$, $\mathcal{AP}_1(n)$ and $\mathcal{HP}(n)$. This implies that the universal elements of $\mathcal{AP}(n)_s$, $\mathcal{AP}_1(n)_s$ and $\mathcal{HP}(n)_s$ are also non-commutative.

Section 4 deals with *square root closed compacta*, compacta X such that, for every $f \in C(X)$, there is $g \in C(X)$ with $f = g^2$. It is known that if X is a first-countable connected compactum, then X is square-root closed if and only if X is locally connected, $\dim X \leq 1$ and $\check{H}^1(X; \mathbb{Z})$ is trivial, see [6], [8], [10] and [12]. A topological characterization of general square root closed compacta has not been known. Here we show that a square root closed compactum X with $\dim X \leq 2$, constructed based on the idea of B. Cole ([13], Chap.3, section 19) and M.I. Karahanjan [9] has infinitely generated first Čech cohomology $\check{H}^1(X; \mathbb{Z})$. This space X is the limit space of an inverse system $(X_\alpha, \pi_\alpha^\beta: \alpha < \omega_1)$ starting with the unit disk in the plane and such that each map $\pi_\alpha^\beta: X_\beta \rightarrow X_\alpha$ is invertible with respect to the class of square root closed compacta. A similar construction yields a one-dimensional such compactum. This illustrates that the topological characterization of (not necessarily first countable) square root closed compacta would be rather different than the one for first-countable compacta mentioned

above. Also, the invertibility $\pi_\alpha^\beta: X_\beta \rightarrow X_\alpha$ allows us to obtain a universal element for the class of square root closed compacta with arbitrarily fixed weight.

2. SOME PROPERTIES OF THE CLASSES $\mathcal{A}(n)$, $\mathcal{A}_1(n)$ AND $\mathcal{H}(n)$

Lemma 2.1. *For a compactum X , the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) *For any $f: X \rightarrow S^1$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $g: X \rightarrow S^1$ such that $\|f - g^n\| < \varepsilon$.*
- (2) *$\check{H}^1(X; \mathbb{Z})$ is n -divisible.*

Proof. When $\varepsilon = 0$ in (1), this equivalence was established by Kawamura-Miura in [10, Lemma 3.1]. Their arguments remain also valid in the present situation because any two sufficiently close functions from X into S^1 are homotopic. \square

Lemma 2.2. *Let X be the limit space of an inverse system $\{X_\alpha, p_\alpha^\beta: \alpha, \beta \in A\}$ of compacta. Then, for every $f \in C(X)$ and every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\alpha \in A$ and $g \in C(X_\alpha)$ such that $g \circ p_\alpha$ is ε -close to f , where $p_\alpha: X \rightarrow X_\alpha$ is the α -th limit projection. Moreover, $g \in C(X, S^1)$ provided $f \in C(X, S^1)$.*

Proof. We take a finite cover ω of $f(X)$ consisting of open and convex subsets of \mathbb{C} each of diameter $< \varepsilon$. Since X is compact, we can find α and an open cover $\gamma = \{U_j: j = 1, \dots, m\}$ of X_α such that $p_\alpha^{-1}(\gamma)$ is a star-refinement of the cover $f^{-1}(\omega)$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that each U_j is functionally open in X_α , i.e., $U_j = h_j^{-1}((0, 1])$ for some function $h_j: X_\alpha \rightarrow [0, 1]$. For any j we fix a point $x_j \in p_\alpha^{-1}(U_j)$ and the required function $g: X_\alpha \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$

is defined by $g(y) = \sum_{j=1}^{j=m} h_j(y) f(x_j)$. When $f \in C(X, S^1)$ and ε is sufficiently small, $g(X_\alpha) \subset \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ and, by considering the composition of g and the usual retraction $r: \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow S^1$, we can assume $g \in C(X_\alpha, S^1)$. \square

Corollary 2.3. *Let \mathcal{K} be one of the classes $\mathcal{A}(n)$, $\mathcal{A}_1(n)$ and $\mathcal{H}(n)$. If X is the limit space of an inverse system $\{X_\alpha, p_\alpha^\beta: \alpha, \beta \in A\}$ of compacta with each $X_\alpha \in \mathcal{K}$, then $X \in \mathcal{K}$.*

Proof. This is a direct application of Lemma 2.2 for the class $\mathcal{A}(n)$. Since the limit space of any inverse system of at most one dimensional compacta is of dimension ≤ 1 , the validity of our corollary for $\mathcal{A}(n)$ yields its validity for $\mathcal{A}_1(n)$. Finally, Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2 settle the proof for the class $\mathcal{H}(n)$. \square

We say that a class of spaces \mathcal{K} is *factorizable* if, for every map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ of a compactum $X \in \mathcal{K}$, there exists a compactum $Z \in \mathcal{K}$ of weight $w(Z) \leq w(Y)$ and maps $\pi: X \rightarrow Z$ and $p: Z \rightarrow Y$ such that $f = p \circ \pi$.

Proposition 2.4. *Any one of the classes $\mathcal{A}(n)$, $\mathcal{A}_1(n)$ and $\mathcal{H}(n)$ is factorizable.*

Proof. We consider first the class $\mathcal{H}(n)$. Fix a map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ of a compactum $X \in \mathcal{H}(n)$ and assume $w(Y) \leq \tau$. Obviously, we can assume X is of weight $w(X) > \tau$ and Y is compact. By induction, we construct sequences of compacta X_k , dense subsets $M_k \subset C(X_k, S^1)$ of cardinality $\leq \tau$ and maps $\pi_k: X \rightarrow X_k$, $p_k^{k+1}: X_{k+1} \rightarrow X_k$, $k \geq 0$, satisfying the following conditions:

- (0) $X_0 = Y$, $\pi_0 = f$,
- (1) $p_k^{k+1} \circ \pi_{k+1} = \pi_k$, $w(X_k) \leq \tau$ and M_k separates points of X_k ($k \geq 0$);
- (2) For every $h \in M_k$ and every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $g \in M_{k+1}$ such that $\|h \circ p_k^{k+1} - g^n\| < \varepsilon$ ($k \geq 0$).

The weight of the function space $C(Y, S^1)$ is $\leq \tau$, so $C(Y, S^1)$ contains a dense subset M_0 of cardinality $\leq \tau$, separating points of Y . Suppose the spaces X_i , the sets M_i and the maps π_i , p_{i-1}^i , $i \leq k$, have been constructed for some k . Since $X \in \mathcal{H}(n)$, for each $h \in M_k$ and each positive rational number $r \in Q^+$, there exists $g(h, r) \in C(X, S^1)$ with $\|h \circ \pi_k - g(h, r)^n\| < r$. Let $\pi_{k+1}: X \rightarrow X_k \times (S^1)^{M_k \times Q^+} \times (S^1)^{M_k}$ be the diagonal product of π_k and all maps $g(h, r)$ and $h \circ \pi_k$, where $h \in M_k$, $r \in Q^+$. Let $X_{k+1} = \pi_{k+1}(X)$ and $p_k^{k+1}: X_{k+1} \rightarrow X_k$ be the natural projection onto X_k . Since M_k separates points of X_k (condition (1)), π_{k+1} is an embedding and hence every $g(h, r)$ can be represented as $g_{k+1}(h, r) \circ \pi_{k+1}$ with $g_{k+1}(h, r) \in C(X_{k+1}, S^1)$. Because $w(X_{k+1}) \leq \tau$, $C(X_{k+1}, S^1)$ contains a dense subset M_{k+1} of cardinality $\leq \tau$ containing all $g_{k+1}(h, r)$, $h \in M_k$, $r \in Q^+$ and also separating points of X_{k+1} . Obviously, X_{k+1} , M_{k+1} and π_{k+1} satisfy conditions (1) and (2). Let Z be the limit of the inverse sequence $\{X_k, p_k^{k+1} : k = 1, 2, \dots\}$, $p: Z \rightarrow Y$ the first limit projection and $\pi: X \rightarrow Z$ the limit of the maps π_k . Also let $p_k: Z \rightarrow X_k$ be the k -th limit projection. By Lemma 2.2, for every $h \in C(Z, S^1)$ and every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists m and $g_m: X_m \rightarrow S^1$ such that $\|h - g_m \circ p_m\| < \varepsilon/3$. Now, take $h_m \in M_m$ with $\|g_m - h_m\| < \varepsilon/3$. According to our construction, $\|h_m \circ p_m^{m+1} - g^n\| < \varepsilon/3$ for some $g \in M_{m+1}$. Hence, $\|h - (g \circ p_{m+1})^n\| < \varepsilon$. Finally, by Lemma 2.1, we see $Z \in \mathcal{H}(n)$.

The same arguments remain valid when the class $\mathcal{H}(n)$ is replaced by $\mathcal{A}(n)$. The only difference is that we have to consider the function spaces $C(X_k)$ instead of $C(X_k, S^1)$. For the class $\mathcal{A}_1(n)$ we need the following modifications: all M_k , $k \geq 0$, are dense subsets of $C(X_k)$ of cardinality $|M_k| \leq \tau$ satisfying conditions (1) and (2), where the compactum X_k is of dimension ≤ 1 for each $k \geq 1$. It suffices to demonstrate the construction of X_1 and M_1 . Using the above notations, take the diagonal product $q_1: X \rightarrow Y \times \mathbb{C}^{M_0 \times Q^+} \times \mathbb{C}^{M_0}$ of $\pi_0 = f$ and all maps $g(h, r)$ and $h \circ \pi_0$, where $h \in M_0$ and $r \in Q^+$. Let also $Z_1 = q_1(X)$ and $q_0: Z_1 \rightarrow Y$ be the natural projection. Then, $w(Z_1) \leq \tau$ and, by the Mardesić factorization theorem [11], there exists a compactum X_1 of weight $\leq \tau$ and $\dim X_1 \leq 1$, and maps $\pi_1: X \rightarrow X_1$ and $q_2: X_1 \rightarrow Z_1$ with $q_1 = q_2 \circ \pi_1$.

Obviously, every $g(h, r)$ can be represented as $g_1(h, r) \circ \pi_1$ with $g_1(h, r) \in C(X_1)$. We denote $p_0^1 = q_0 \circ q_2$ and choose a dense subset $M_1 \subset C(X_1)$ such that $|M_1| \leq \tau$ and M_1 contains every $g_1(h, r)$ with $h \in M_0$ and $r \in Q^+$, and separates points of X_1 . In this way we obtain the spaces X_k with $\dim X_k \leq 1$. The last inequalities imply that the limit space Z is also of dimension ≤ 1 . Moreover, by Lemma 2.2, Z satisfies $(*)_n$, so $Z \in \mathcal{A}_1(n)$. \square

Corollary 2.5. *Let \mathcal{K} be one of the classes $\mathcal{A}(n)$ and $\mathcal{A}_1(n)$. Then every space $X \in \mathcal{K}$ has a compactification $Z \in \mathcal{K}$ with $w(Z) = w(X)$.*

Proof. Obviously, $X \in \mathcal{K}$ implies $\beta X \in \mathcal{K}$. Let Y be an arbitrary compactification of X with $w(Y) = w(X)$ and let $f: \beta X \rightarrow Y$ the extension of the identity on X . Then, by Proposition 2.4, there exists a compactum $Z \in \mathcal{K}$ and maps $g: \beta X \rightarrow Z$ and $h: Z \rightarrow Y$ with $h \circ g = f$ and $w(Z) = w(X)$. It remains only to observe that Z is a compactification of X . \square

Proposition 2.6. *Let \mathcal{K} be one of the classes $\mathcal{A}(n)$, $\mathcal{A}_1(n)$ and $\mathcal{H}(n)$. Then every compactum $X \in \mathcal{K}$ can be represented as the limit space of an ω -spectrum $\{X_\alpha, p_\alpha^\beta : \alpha, \beta \in A\}$ of metrizable compacta with each $X_\alpha \in \mathcal{K}$.*

Proof. Because of similarity of the arguments, we consider only the class $\mathcal{A}(n)$. First, represent X as the limit space of an ω -spectrum $\{X_\alpha, p_\alpha^\beta : \alpha, \beta \in \Lambda\}$ and introduce the relation L on Λ^2 consisting of all $(\alpha, \beta) \in \Lambda^2$ such that $\alpha \leq \beta$ and for each $f \in C(X_\alpha)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $g \in C(X_\beta)$ with $\|f \circ p_\alpha^\beta - g^n\| < \varepsilon$. The relation L has the following properties:

- (i) for every $\alpha \in \Lambda$ there exists $\beta \in \Lambda$ with $(\alpha, \beta) \in L$;
- (ii) if $(\alpha, \beta) \in L$ and $\beta \leq \gamma$, then $(\alpha, \gamma) \in L$;
- (iii) if $\{\alpha_k\}$ is a chain in Λ with each $(\alpha_k, \beta) \in L$, then $(\alpha, \beta) \in L$, where $\alpha = \sup\{\alpha_k\}$.

Indeed, to show (i), we take a countable dense subset $M_\alpha \subset C(X_\alpha)$ and, as in Proposition 2.4, for every $h \in M_\alpha$ and $r \in Q^+$ choose $g(h, r) \in C(X)$ with $\|h \circ p_\alpha - g(h, r)^n\| < r$. Notice that, for each $f \in C(X)$, there is a $\gamma \in \Lambda$ and $\varphi \in C(X_\gamma)$ such that $f = \varphi \circ p_\gamma$. Applying this to $g(h, r)$, we can find $\beta \in \Lambda$, $\beta > \alpha$, such that for each $(h, r) \in M_\alpha \times Q^+$, we have $g(h, r) = g_\beta(h, r) \circ p_\beta$, where $g_\beta(h, r) \in C(X_\beta)$. Then $(\alpha, \beta) \in L$. Property (ii) follows directly and (iii) follows from Lemma 2.2 and the fact that X_α is the limit space of the inverse sequence generated by X_{α_k} and the projections $p_{\alpha_k}^{\alpha_{k+1}}: X_{\alpha_{k+1}} \rightarrow X_{\alpha_k}$, $k = 1, \dots$, because α is supremum of the chain $\{\alpha_k\}$.

By [2, Proposition 1.1.29], the set $A = \{\alpha \in \Lambda : (\alpha, \alpha) \in L\}$ is cofinal and ω -closed in Λ . Obviously, $X_\alpha \in \mathcal{A}(n)$ for each $\alpha \in A$ and X is the limit of the inverse system $\{X_\alpha, p_\alpha^\beta : \alpha, \beta \in A\}$. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.2. We consider the family of all maps $\{h_\alpha: Y_\alpha \rightarrow \mathbb{I}^\tau\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ such that each Y_α is a closed subset of \mathbb{I}^τ with $Y_\alpha \in \mathcal{K}$. Let Y be the disjoint sum of all Y_α and the map $h: Y \rightarrow \mathbb{I}^\tau$ coincides with h_α on every Y_α . We extend h to a map $\bar{h}: \beta Y \rightarrow \mathbb{I}^\tau$. Since $\beta Y \in \mathcal{K}$, by Proposition 2.4, there exists a compactum X of weight $\leq \tau$ and maps $p: \beta Y \rightarrow X$ and $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{I}^\tau$ such that $X \in \mathcal{K}$ and $f \circ p = \bar{h}$.

Let us show that f is \mathcal{K} -invertible. Take a space $Z \in \mathcal{K}$ and a map $g: Z \rightarrow \mathbb{I}^\tau$. Considering βZ and the extension $\bar{g}: \beta Z \rightarrow \mathbb{I}^\tau$ of g , we can assume that Z is compact. We also can assume that the weight of Z is $\leq \tau$ (otherwise we apply again Proposition 2.4 to find a compact space $T \in \mathcal{K}$ of weight $\leq \tau$ and maps $g_1: Z \rightarrow T$ and $g_2: T \rightarrow \mathbb{I}^\tau$ with $g_2 \circ g_1 = g$, and then consider the space T and the map g_2 instead, respectively, of Z and g). Therefore, without loss of generality, we can assume that Z is a closed subset of \mathbb{I}^τ . According to the definition of Y and the map h , there is an index $\alpha \in \Lambda$ such that $Z = Y_\alpha$ and $g = h_\alpha$. The restriction $p|Z: Z \rightarrow X$ is a lifting of g , i.e. $f \circ (p|Z) = g$.

3. C^* -ALGEBRAS WITH THE APPROXIMATE n -TH ROOT PROPERTY

In this Section we investigate the behavior of the classes $\mathcal{AP}(n)$, $\mathcal{AP}_1(n)$ and $\mathcal{HP}(n)$ with respect to direct systems and then use the result to prove the existence of universal elements in the classes $\mathcal{AP}(n)_s$, $\mathcal{AP}_1(n)_s$ and $\mathcal{HP}(n)_s$.

When we refer to a unital C^* -subalgebra of a unital C^* -algebra we always assume that the inclusion is a unital $*$ -homomorphism. The product in the category of (unital) C^* -algebras, i.e. the ℓ^∞ -direct sum, is denoted by $\prod\{X_t: t \in T\}$. For a given set Y and a cardinal number τ , the symbol $\exp_\tau Y$ denotes the partially ordered (by inclusion) set of all subsets of Y of cardinality not exceeding τ .

Recall that a direct system $\mathcal{S} = \{X_\alpha, i_\alpha^\beta, A\}$ of unital C^* -algebras consists of a partially ordered directed indexing set A , unital C^* -algebras X_α , $\alpha \in A$, and unital $*$ -homomorphisms $i_\alpha^\beta: X_\alpha \rightarrow X_\beta$, defined for each pair of indexes $\alpha, \beta \in A$ with $\alpha \leq \beta$, and satisfying the condition $i_\alpha^\gamma = i_\beta^\gamma \circ i_\alpha^\beta$ for each triple of indexes $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in A$ with $\alpha \leq \beta \leq \gamma$. The (inductive) limit of the above direct system is a unital C^* -algebra which is denoted by $\varinjlim \mathcal{S}$. For each $\alpha \in A$ there exists a unital $*$ -homomorphism $i_\alpha: X_\alpha \rightarrow \varinjlim \mathcal{S}$ which will be called the α -th limit homomorphism of \mathcal{S} .

If A' is a directed subset of the indexing set A , then the subsystem $\{X_\alpha, i_\alpha^\beta, A'\}$ of \mathcal{S} is denoted $\mathcal{S}|A'$.

Let $\tau \geq \omega$ be a cardinal number. A direct system $\mathcal{S} = \{X_\alpha, i_\alpha^\beta, A\}$ of unital C^* -algebras X_α and unital $*$ -homomorphisms $i_\alpha^\beta: X_\alpha \rightarrow X_\beta$ is called a *direct C_τ^* -system* [3] if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (a) A is a τ -complete set, that is, for each chain C of elements of the directed set A with $|C| \leq \tau$, there exists an element $\sup C$ in A . See [2] for details.

- (b) The density $d(X_\alpha)$ of X_α is at most τ , for each $\alpha \in A$.
- (c) The α -th limit homomorphism $i_\alpha: X_\alpha \rightarrow \varinjlim \mathcal{S}$ is an injective $*$ -homomorphism for each $\alpha \in A$.
- (d) If $B = \{\alpha_t: t \in T\}$ is a chain of elements of A with $|T| \leq \tau$ and $\alpha = \sup B$, then the limit homomorphism $\varinjlim \{i_{\alpha_t}^\alpha: t \in T\}: \varinjlim (\mathcal{S}|B) \rightarrow X_\alpha$ is an isomorphism.

Proposition 3.1 (Proposition 3.2, [3]). *Let τ be an infinite cardinal number. Every unital C^* -algebra X can be represented as the limit of a direct C_τ^* -system $\mathcal{S}_X = \{X_\alpha, i_\alpha^\beta, A\}$ where the index set $A = \exp_\tau Y$ for some (any) dense subset Y of X with $|Y| = d(X)$.*

Lemma 3.2 (Lemma 3.3, [3]). *If $\mathcal{S}_X = \{X_\alpha, i_\alpha^\beta, A\}$ is a direct C_τ^* -system, then $\varinjlim \mathcal{S}_X = \bigcup \{i_\alpha(X_\alpha): \alpha \in A\}$.*

The next proposition is a non-commutative version of Corollary 2.3

Proposition 3.3. *Let \mathcal{K} be one of the classes $\mathcal{AP}(n)$, $\mathcal{AP}_1(n)$ and $\mathcal{HP}(n)$. If X is the limit of a direct system $\mathcal{S} = \{X_\alpha, i_\alpha^\beta, A\}$ consisting of unital C^* -algebras and unital $*$ -inclusions with $X_\alpha \in \mathcal{K}$ for each α , then $X \in \mathcal{K}$.*

Proof. We consider first the case $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{AP}(n)$. Let $a \in X$ with $\|a\| \leq 1$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Since $\bigcup \{X_\alpha: \alpha \in A\}$ is dense in X (we identify each $i_\alpha(X_\alpha)$ with X_α), there exist α and $y \in X_\alpha$ with $\|a - y\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{4}$. Then, $\|y\| < \|a\| + \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \leq 1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{4}$, so $\|\frac{y}{1 + \varepsilon/4}\| < 1$. Since $X_\alpha \in \mathcal{AP}(n)$, there is $b \in X_\alpha$ with $\|\frac{y}{1 + \varepsilon/4} - b\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ and $\|b\| \leq 1$. Then $\|a - b\| \leq \|a - \frac{y}{1 + \varepsilon/4}\| + \|\frac{y}{1 + \varepsilon/4} - b\| < \varepsilon$. Hence, $X \in \mathcal{AP}(n)$. The above arguments work also for the class $\mathcal{HP}(n)$ because of the fact that the set of invertible elements of a C^* -algebra is open. Indeed, for an invertible element a of X , the above fact allows us to choose y in the above argument as an invertible element of X . Consequently, $\frac{y}{1 + \varepsilon/4}$ is invertible in X_α and, since $X_\alpha \in \mathcal{HP}(n)$, there is $b \in X_\alpha$ with the required properties. Because the limit of any direct system consisting of C^* -algebras with bounded rank ≤ 1 has a bounded rank ≤ 1 [5, Proposition 4.1], the above proof remains valid for the class $\mathcal{AP}_1(n)$. \square

As in the commutative case (see Proposition 2.6), we can establish a decomposition theorem for the classes $\mathcal{AP}(n)$, $\mathcal{AP}_1(n)$ and $\mathcal{HP}(n)$.

Proposition 3.4. *Let \mathcal{K} be one of the classes $\mathcal{AP}(n)$, $\mathcal{AP}_1(n)$ and $\mathcal{HP}(n)$. The following conditions are equivalent for any unital C^* -algebra X :*

- (1) $X \in \mathcal{K}$.

- (2) X can be represented as the direct limit of a direct C_ω^* -system $\{X_\alpha, i_\alpha^\beta, A\}$ satisfying the following properties:
- (a) The indexing set A is cofinal and ω -closed in the ω -complete set $\exp_\omega Y$ for some (any) dense subset Y of X such that $|Y| = d(X)$.
 - (b) X_α is a (separable) C^* -subalgebra of X with $X_\alpha \in \mathcal{K}$, $\alpha \in A$.

Proof. A similar statement holds for the class of all C^* -algebras of bounded rank $\leq n$ (see [5, Proposition 4.2]). So, it suffices to consider the classes $\mathcal{AP}(n)$ and $\mathcal{HP}(n)$. We suppose $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{AP}(n)$. The implication (2) \implies (1) follows from Proposition 3.3.

In order to prove the implication (1) \implies (2) we first consider a direct C_ω^* -system $\mathcal{S}_X = \{X_\alpha, i_\alpha^\beta, \Lambda\}$ with the properties indicated in Proposition 3.1. Each X_α is identified with $i_\alpha(X_\alpha)$. We next introduce the following relation $L \subseteq A^2$: $(\alpha, \beta) \in L$ if and only if $\alpha \leq \beta$ and for each $x \in X_\alpha$ with $\|x\| \leq 1$ and each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $y \in X_\beta$ such that $\|y\| \leq 1$ and $\|x - y^n\| < \varepsilon$.

Let us show that L satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) for every $\alpha \in \Lambda$ there exists $\beta \in \Lambda$ with $(\alpha, \beta) \in L$;
- (ii) If $(\alpha, \beta) \in L$ and $\beta \leq \gamma$, then $(\alpha, \gamma) \in L$;
- (iii) if $\{\alpha_k\}$ is a chain in Λ with each $(\alpha_k, \beta) \in L$, then $(\alpha, \beta) \in L$, where $\alpha = \sup\{\alpha_k\}$.

To verify (i), we take $\alpha \in \Lambda$ and a countable set $M \subset X_\alpha$ which is dense in the unit ball $B_\alpha = \{x \in X_\alpha : \|x\| \leq 1\}$. Since $X \in \mathcal{AP}(n)$, for each $x \in M$ and each $r \in Q^+$, we may take (and fix) $y(x, r) \in X$ with $\|x - y(x, r)^n\| < r$ and $\|y(x, r)\| \leq 1$. By Lemma 3.2, every $y(x, r)$ belongs to some $X_{\alpha(x, r)}$. Since Λ is ω -complete, according to [2, Corollary 1.1.28], there exists $\beta \in \Lambda$ such that $\beta \geq \alpha$ and $\beta \geq \alpha(x, r)$ for each $x \in M$ and $r \in Q^+$. Then, X_β contains all $y(x, r)$ and $(\alpha, \beta) \in L$. Condition (ii) follows directly because $\beta \leq \gamma$ implies $X_\beta \subset X_\gamma$. Let us establish condition (iii). If α is the supremum of the countable chain $\{\alpha_k\}$, then X_α is the direct limit of the direct system generated by the C^* -subalgebras X_{α_k} , $k = 1, 2, \dots$, and the corresponding inclusion homomorphisms. This fact and $(\alpha_k, \beta) \in L$ for all k yield $(\alpha, \beta) \in L$.

Since L satisfies the conditions (i)-(iii), we can apply [2, Proposition 1.1.29] to conclude that the set $A = \{\alpha \in \Lambda : (\alpha, \alpha) \in L\}$ is cofinal and ω -closed in Λ . Note that $(\alpha, \alpha) \in L$ precisely when $X_\alpha \in \mathcal{AP}(n)$. Therefore, we obtain a direct C_ω^* -system $\mathcal{S}'_X = \{X_\alpha, i_\alpha^\beta, A\}$ consisting of C^* -subalgebras $X_\alpha \in \mathcal{AP}(n)$ of X . Clearly $\varinjlim \mathcal{S}'_X = X$. This completes the proof for the class $\mathcal{AP}(n)$. The case $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{AP}(n)$ is similar. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Let $\mathcal{B} = \{f_t : C^*(\mathbb{F}_\infty) \rightarrow X_t : t \in T\}$ denote the set of all unital $*$ -homomorphisms on $C^*(\mathbb{F}_\infty)$ such that $X_t \in \mathcal{K}$. We claim that the product $\prod\{X_t : t \in T\}$ belongs to \mathcal{K} . This is obviously true if \mathcal{K} is either $\mathcal{AP}(n)$ or $\mathcal{HP}(n)$. Since the bounded rank of this product is ≤ 1 provided

each X_t is of bounded rank ≤ 1 [5, Proposition 3.16], the claim holds for the class $\mathcal{AP}_1(n)$ as well. The $*$ -homomorphisms f_t , $t \in T$, define the unital $*$ -homomorphism $f: C^*(\mathbb{F}_\infty) \rightarrow \prod\{X_t: t \in T\}$ such that $\pi_t \circ f = f_t$ for each $t \in T$, where $\pi_t: \prod\{X_t: t \in T\} \rightarrow X_t$ denotes the canonical projection $*$ -homomorphism onto X_t . By Proposition 3.4, $\prod\{X_t: t \in T\}$ can be represented as the limit of the C_ω^* -system $\mathcal{S} = \{C_\alpha, i_\alpha^\beta, A\}$ such that C_α is a separable unital C^* -algebra with $C_\alpha \in \mathcal{K}$ for each $\alpha \in A$. Suppressing the injective unital $*$ -homomorphisms $i_\alpha^\beta: C_\alpha \rightarrow C_\beta$, we may assume, for notational simplicity, that C_α 's are unital C^* -subalgebras of $\prod\{X_t: t \in T\}$. Let $\{a_k: k \in \omega\}$ be a countable dense subset of $C^*(\mathbb{F}_\infty)$. By Lemma 3.2, for each $k \in \omega$ there exists an index $\alpha_k \in A$ such that $f(a_k) \in C_{\alpha_k}$. Since A is ω -complete, there exists an index $\alpha_0 \in A$ such that $\alpha_0 \geq \alpha_k$ for each $k \in \omega$. Then $f(a_k) \in C_{\alpha_k} \subseteq C_{\alpha_0}$ for each $k \in \omega$. This observation coupled with the continuity of f guarantees that $f(C^*(\mathbb{F}_\infty)) = f(\text{cl}\{a_k: k \in \omega\}) \subseteq \text{cl}\{f(\{a_k: k \in \omega\})\} \subseteq \text{cl} C_{\alpha_0} = C_{\alpha_0}$.

Let $Z_{\mathcal{K}} = C_{\alpha_0}$ and define the unital $*$ -homomorphism $p: C^*(\mathbb{F}_\infty) \rightarrow Z_{\mathcal{K}}$ as f , regarded as a homomorphism of $C^*(\mathbb{F}_\infty)$ into $Z_{\mathcal{K}}$. Note that $f = i \circ p$, where $i: Z_{\mathcal{K}} = C_{\alpha_0} \hookrightarrow \prod\{X_t: t \in T\}$ stands for the inclusion.

By construction, we see $Z_{\mathcal{K}} \in \mathcal{K}$. Let us show that $p: C^*(\mathbb{F}_\infty) \rightarrow Z_{\mathcal{K}}$ is \mathcal{K} -invertible. For a given unital $*$ -homomorphism $g: C^*(\mathbb{F}_\infty) \rightarrow X$, where X is a separable unital C^* -algebra with $X \in \mathcal{K}$, we need to establish the existence of a unital $*$ -homomorphism $h: Z_{\mathcal{K}} \rightarrow X$ such that $g = h \circ p$. Indeed, by definition of the set \mathcal{B} , we conclude that $g = f_t: C^*(\mathbb{F}_\infty) \rightarrow X_t = X$ for some index $t \in T$. Observe that $g = f_t = \pi_t \circ f = \pi_t \circ i \circ p$. This allows us to define the required unital $*$ -homomorphism $h: Z_{\mathcal{K}} \rightarrow X$ as the composition $h = \pi_t \circ i$. Hence, p is \mathcal{K} -invertible.

4. EXAMPLE

In this section, we show that a construction due to B. Cole (cf.[13, Chap.3, section 19]) and M. Karahanjan [9, Thoerem 5] yields a square root closed compatum X such that $\check{H}^1(X; \mathbb{Z})$ is infinitely generated. In the sequel, we shall omit the coefficient group \mathbb{Z} . We will need the following theorem which is a consequence of [7, Theorem 3.2].

Theorem 4.1. *Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be an open surjective map between compacta. Then $f^*: \check{H}^1(Y) \rightarrow \check{H}^1(X)$ is a monomorphism.*

Now we outline the construction due to B. Cole. This is based on the exposition in [13, Chapter 3, §19, p.194-197]. Let X be a compactum and define

$$S_X = \{(x, (z_f)_{f \in C(X)}): f(x) = z_f^2 \text{ for each } f \in C(X)\} \subset X \times \mathbb{C}^{C(X)}$$

Note that S_X is a closed subset of $X \times \prod\{f(X) | f \in C(X)\}$ and hence is a compactum. Also, it is easy to see that S_X is a pull-back in the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S_X & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{C}^{C(X)} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow S \\ X & \xrightarrow{F} & \mathbb{C}^{C(X)} \end{array}$$

where $F: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is defined by $F(x) = (f(x))_{f \in C(X)} (x \in X)$, and $S: \mathbb{C}^{C(X)} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{C(X)}$ is defined by $S((z_f)_{f \in C(X)}) = (z_f^2)_{f \in C(X)}$.

Let $\pi: S_X \rightarrow X$ be the map defined by $\pi[(x, (z_f)_{f \in C(X)})] = x$ for all $x \in X$. Then π is an open map with zero-dimensional fibers. The critical property of S_X and π is the following:

(*) for any $f \in C(X)$ there exists $g \in C(X)$ such that $f \circ \pi = g^2$.

Indeed, define $g: S_X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by $g[(x, (z_f)_{f \in C(X)})] = z_f$.

Note that (*) implies:

(**) π is invertible with respect to the class of square root closed compacta.

Starting with a compactum X_0 , by transfinite induction we define an inverse spectrum $\{X_\alpha, \pi_\alpha^\beta: X_\beta \rightarrow X_\alpha : \alpha \leq \beta < \omega_1\}$ as follows. If $\beta = \alpha + 1$ then $X_\beta = S_{X_\alpha}$ and $\pi_\alpha^\beta = \pi: X_\beta = S_{X_\alpha} \rightarrow X_\alpha$ is the map defined above. If β is a limit ordinal, then $X_\beta = \varprojlim (X_\alpha, \pi_\alpha^\gamma: X_\gamma \rightarrow X_\alpha : \alpha \leq \gamma < \beta)$ and, for $\alpha < \beta$, let $\pi_\alpha^\beta = \varprojlim (\pi_\alpha^\gamma: X_\gamma \rightarrow X_\alpha : \gamma < \beta)$.

We let $X_\Omega = \varprojlim X_\alpha$. The α -th limit projection is denoted by $\pi_\alpha: X_\Omega \rightarrow X_\alpha$. As the length of the above spectrum is ω_1 , the spectrum is factorizing in the sense that each $f \in C(X_\Omega)$ is represented as $f = f_\alpha \circ \pi_\alpha$ for some $\alpha < \omega_1$ and $f_\alpha \in C(X_\alpha)$. since its length is ω_1 . This implies that $C(X_\Omega)$ is square root closed due to the property (*).

In what follows, the unit disk in the complex plane $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq 1\}$ is denoted by Δ .

Theorem 4.2. $C(\Delta_\Omega)$ is square-root closed, $\dim \Delta_\Omega \leq 2$, $\check{H}^1(\Delta_\Omega)$ is infinitely generated and 2-divisible.

Notice that for each square root closed compactum X , $\check{H}^1(X)$ is 2-divisible. Hence, in view of the discussion above, we need only to show that $\check{H}_1(\Delta_\Omega)$ is infinitely generated. To show this, we need the following.

Theorem 4.3. $\check{H}^1(S_\Delta)$ is infinitely generated.

Note that Theorem 4.2 immediately follows from Theorems 4.1 and Theorem 4.3. The proof of Theorem 4.3 is divided into two parts.

Step 1. If $\check{H}^1(S_\Delta)$ is finitely generated then $\check{H}^1(S_\Delta) = 0$.

Step 2. $\check{H}^1(S_\Delta) \neq 0$.

Now we shall accomplish Steps 1 and 2.

Proposition 4.4. *Let Y be a closed subspace of a compactum X such that there exists a retraction $r: X \rightarrow Y$. Let also $i: Y \hookrightarrow X$ be the inclusion. Then there exist an embedding $\bar{i}: S_Y \hookrightarrow S_X$ and a retraction $\bar{r}: S_X \rightarrow S_Y$ such that the following diagram is commutative.*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} S_Y & \xrightarrow{\bar{i}} & S_X & \xrightarrow{\bar{r}} & S_Y \\ \pi_Y \downarrow & & \pi_X \downarrow & & \pi_Y \downarrow \\ Y & \xrightarrow{i} & X & \xrightarrow{r} & Y \end{array}$$

Proof. Define \bar{i} by

$$\bar{i}[(y, (\eta_g)_{g \in C(Y)})] = (y, (\xi_f)_{f \in C(X)})$$

where $\xi_f = \eta_{f|_Y}$ for all $f \in C(X)$. Define \bar{r} by

$$\bar{r}[(x, (\xi_f)_{f \in C(X)})] = (r(x), (\eta_g)_{g \in C(Y)})$$

where $\eta_g = \xi_{g \circ r}$ for all $g \in C(Y)$. □

Now we are ready to accomplish Step 1. Let $\Delta_m = \{z \in \mathbb{C}: |z| \leq \frac{1}{m}\} \subset \Delta$. Let $r_n: \Delta_n \rightarrow \Delta_{n+1}$ be the radial retraction and $i_n: \Delta_{n+1} \hookrightarrow \Delta_n$ be the inclusion. Consider the following sequence of commutative diagrams.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} S_{\Delta_1} & \xleftarrow{\bar{i}_1} & S_{\Delta_2} & \xleftarrow{\bar{i}_2} & \cdots & \xleftarrow{\bar{i}_n} & S_{\Delta_{n+1}} & \xleftarrow{\quad} \cdots \xleftarrow{\quad} \lim_{\leftarrow} S_{\Delta_n} \\ \pi_1 \downarrow & & \pi_2 \downarrow & & & \pi_n \downarrow & & \lim_{\leftarrow} \pi_n = \pi_\infty \downarrow \\ \Delta_1 & \xleftarrow{i_1} & \Delta_2 & \xleftarrow{i_2} & \cdots & \xleftarrow{i_n} & \Delta_{n+1} & \xleftarrow{\quad} \cdots \xleftarrow{\quad} \{0\} \end{array}$$

It follows easily from the commutativity of the diagram that $\lim_{\leftarrow} S_{\Delta_n}$ is homeomorphic to the inverse limit of the sequence

$$\pi_1^{-1}(0) \xleftarrow{\bar{i}_1|} \pi_2^{-1}(0) \xleftarrow{\quad} \cdots \xleftarrow{\quad} \pi_n^{-1}(0) \xleftarrow{\bar{i}_n|} \pi_{n+1}^{-1}(0) \xleftarrow{\quad} \cdots$$

Since each fiber $\pi_n^{-1}(0)$ is 0-dimensional, we have $\dim \lim_{\leftarrow} S_{\Delta_n} = 0$. This implies that $\check{H}^1(\lim_{\leftarrow} S_{\Delta_n}) = \varprojlim \check{H}^1(S_{\Delta_n}) = 0$, which is equivalent to the following observation.

Proposition 4.5. *For each $\alpha \in \check{H}^1(S_{\Delta_1}) = \check{H}^1(S_{\Delta})$, there exists an n such that $(\bar{i}_1 \circ \cdots \circ \bar{i}_n)^*(\alpha) = 0$.*

Let A_n be the annulus defined by $A_n = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \frac{1}{m+1} \leq |z| \leq \frac{1}{m}\}$, so that $\Delta_n = \{0\} \cup (\cup \{A_j \mid j \geq n\})$. Let $h: \Delta = \Delta_1 \rightarrow \Delta_2$ be the homeomorphism which maps A_j to A_{j+1} ($j \geq 1$) by “radial homeomorphisms” and such that $h(0) = 0$. Then the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Delta_n & \xrightarrow{h|} & \Delta_{n+1} \\ \uparrow i_n & & \uparrow i_{n+1} \\ \Delta_{n+1} & \xrightarrow{h|} & \Delta_{n+1} \end{array}$$

Define $h_n: S_{\Delta_n} \rightarrow S_{\Delta_{n+1}}$ by $h_n[(x, (u_f)_{f \in C(\Delta_n)})] = (h(x), (v_g)_{g \in C(\Delta_{n+1})})$, where $v_g = u_{g \circ h}$, $g \in C(\Delta_{n+1})$. Note that h_n is a homeomorphism.

Proposition 4.6. *The following diagram is commutative.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S_{\Delta_{n+1}} & \xrightarrow{\bar{i}_n} & S_{\Delta_n} \\ \downarrow h_{n+1} & & \downarrow h_n \\ S_{\Delta_{n+2}} & \xrightarrow{\bar{i}_{n+1}} & S_{\Delta_{n+1}} \end{array}$$

Proof. For each $(x_{n+1}, (z_f)_{f \in C(\Delta_{n+1})}) \in S_{\Delta_{n+1}}$ we have

$$\bar{i}_n[(x_{n+1}, (z_f)_{f \in C(\Delta_{n+1})})] = (x_{n+1}, (u_f)_{f \in C(\Delta_n)})$$

where $u_f = z_f|_{\Delta_n} = z_f \circ i_n$, $f \in C(\Delta_n)$, and

$$h_n[(x_{n+1}, (u_f)_{f \in C(\Delta_n)})] = (h(x_{n+1}), (v_f)_{f \in C(\Delta_{n+1})})$$

where $v_f = u_f \circ h = z_f \circ h \circ i_n = z_f \circ (h \circ i_n)$. On the other hand,

$$h_{n+1}[(x_{n+1}, (z_f)_{f \in C(\Delta_{n+1})})] = (h(x_{n+1}), (u_g)_{g \in C(\Delta_{n+1})})$$

where $u_g = z_g \circ h$, $g \in C(\Delta_{n+1})$, and

$$\bar{i}_{n+1}[(h(x_{n+1}), (u_g)_{g \in C(\Delta_{n+1})})] = (h(x_{n+1}), (v_f)_{f \in C(\Delta_{n+1})})$$

where $v_f = u_f \circ i_{n+1} = z_f \circ i_{n+1} \circ h = z_f \circ (i_{n+1} \circ h)$. Since $h \circ i_n = i_{n+1} \circ h$, we conclude that the diagram is commutative. \square

The above lemma provides a commutative diagram in cohomologies:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \check{H}^1(S_{\Delta_{n+1}}) & \xleftarrow{\bar{i}_n^*} & \check{H}^1(S_{\Delta_n}) \\
 \uparrow h_{n+1}^* & & \uparrow h_n^* \\
 \check{H}^1(S_{\Delta_{n+2}}) & \xleftarrow{\bar{i}_{n+1}^*} & \check{H}^1(S_{\Delta_{n+1}})
 \end{array}
 \quad (\dagger)$$

Let $\phi = h_1^* \circ i_1^*: \check{H}^1(S_\Delta) \rightarrow \check{H}^1(S_\Delta)$. Since $\bar{r}_1 \circ \bar{i}_1 = \text{id}_{S_\Delta}$ we have $\bar{i}_1^* \circ \bar{r}_1^* = \text{id}_{\check{H}^1(S_\Delta)}$ and hence ϕ is an epimorphism. We use diagram (\dagger) to obtain the following diagram, in which all vertical arrows are isomorphisms.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \check{H}^1(S_\Delta) & \xrightarrow{\bar{i}_1^*} & \check{H}^1(S_{\Delta_2}) & \xrightarrow{\bar{i}_2^*} & \check{H}^1(S_{\Delta_3}) & \xrightarrow{\bar{i}_3^*} & \check{H}^1(S_{\Delta_4}) \longrightarrow \dots \\
 & \searrow \phi & \downarrow h_1^* & & \downarrow h_2^* & & \downarrow h_3^* \\
 & & \check{H}^1(S_\Delta) & \xrightarrow{\bar{i}_1^*} & \check{H}^1(S_{\Delta_2}) & \xrightarrow{\bar{i}_2^*} & \check{H}^1(S_{\Delta_3}) \longrightarrow \dots \\
 & & & \searrow \phi & \downarrow h_1^* & & \downarrow h_2^* \\
 & & & & \check{H}^1(S_\Delta) & \xrightarrow{\bar{i}_1^*} & \check{H}^1(S_{\Delta_2}) \longrightarrow \dots \\
 & & & & & \searrow \phi & \downarrow h_1^* \\
 & & & & & & \check{H}^1(S_\Delta) \longrightarrow \dots \\
 & & & & & & \searrow \phi \\
 & & & & & & \vdots
 \end{array}$$

The above diagram together with Proposition 4.5 imply that, for each $\alpha \in \check{H}^1(S_\Delta)$, there exists n such that $\phi^n(\alpha) = 0$. If $\check{H}^1(S_\Delta)$ were finitely generated, we then would have $\check{H}^1(S_\Delta) = 0$ because of the following observation.

Proposition 4.7. *Let A be a finitely generated Abelian group. If there exists an epimorphism $f: A \rightarrow A$ such that for any $a \in A$ there exists n with $f^n(a) = 0$, then A is trivial.*

Proof. Note that $f \otimes 1_{\mathbb{Q}}: A \otimes \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow A \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is an epimorphism of a vector space $A \otimes \mathbb{Q}$, which is finite-dimensional over \mathbb{Q} . Hence $f \otimes 1_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is an isomorphism with

the property in the hypothesis. This implies $\text{rank} A = 0$ and therefore A is a finite Abelian group. Then f is an isomorphism and therefore $A = 0$. \square

Thus Step 1 is completed and we proceed to Step 2.

Proposition 4.8. *For a continuous function $f \in C(X)$, let $S_f = \{(x, z) : f(x) = z^2 \text{ for each } x \in X\} \subset X \times \mathbb{C}$. Let also $\pi_f : S_f \rightarrow X$ be the projection. Then the natural map $p_f : S_X \rightarrow S_f$, $(x, (z_g)_{g \in C(X)}) \mapsto (x, z_f)$ is open. Thus we have the following diagram.*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 S_X & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathbb{C}^{C(X)} & & \\
 \pi_X \text{ open} \searrow & & \text{proj}_f \swarrow & & \\
 & S_f & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathbb{C} & \\
 \downarrow \pi_f & & \downarrow z^2 & & \downarrow \text{open} \\
 X & \xrightarrow{\quad f \quad} & \mathbb{C} & &
 \end{array}$$

Proof. Consider $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n \in C(X)$ and open subset $U_X \subset X$, $V_f, V_{g_1}, \dots, V_{g_n} \subset \mathbb{C}$. It suffices to show that

$$p_f[(U_X \times V_f \times V_{g_1} \times \dots \times V_{g_n} \times \prod_{g \neq g_1, \dots, g_n, f} \mathbb{C}) \cap S_X]$$

is open in S_f . Take a point

$$(x, z_f, (z_{g_i})_{i=1}^n, (z_g)_{g \neq f, g_1, \dots, g_n}) \in U_X \times V_f \times V_{g_1} \times \dots \times V_{g_n} \times \prod_{g \neq g_1, \dots, g_n, f} \mathbb{C}$$

and choose $\epsilon > 0$ such that $B(z_f, \epsilon) = \{w \in \mathbb{C} : |w - z_f| < \epsilon\} \subset V_f$ and $B(z_{g_i}, \epsilon) \subset V_{g_i}$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Let $a = f(x)$, $a_i = g_i(x)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. There exists $\delta > 0$ such that if $|b - a| < \delta$ and $|b_i - a_i| < \delta$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, then the equations

$$\begin{aligned}
 z^2 - b &= 0 \\
 z_i^2 - b_i &= 0, i = 1, \dots, n
 \end{aligned}$$

have solutions z_b and z_{b_i} respectively such that $|z_b - z_f| < \epsilon$, $|z_{b_i} - z_{g_i}| < \epsilon$. Choose a neighborhood N of x such that $|f(y) - f(x)| < \delta$ and $|g_i(y) - g_i(x)| < \delta$ for all $y \in N$ and $i = 1, \dots, n$. We claim that

$$N \times B(z_f, \epsilon) \subset p_f[(U_X \times V_f \times V_{g_1} \times \dots \times V_{g_n} \times \prod_{g \neq g_1, \dots, g_n, f} \mathbb{C}) \cap S_X]$$

Indeed, for each pint $(y, w) \in N \times B(z_f, \epsilon) \subset N \times V_f$ we have $|g_i(y) - g_i(x)| < \delta$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ by the choice of N . Then we can find $z_i \in B(z_{g_i}, \epsilon)$ such that $z_i^2 = g_i(y)$. Now for arbitrary choice of z_g , where $g \neq f, g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n$ with $z_g^2 = g(x)$, we have

$$(y, w, (z_i)_{i=1}^n, (z_g)) \in U_X \times V_f \times V_{g_1} \times \dots \times V_{g_n} \times \prod_{g \neq g_1, \dots, g_n, f} \mathbb{C}$$

and $p_f[(y, w, (z_i)_{i=1}^n, (z_g))] = (y, w)$. This proves the claim and hence completes the proof of the proposition. \square

By Proposition 4.8 and Theorem 4.1, the statement of the Step 2 follows from the next observation.

Proposition 4.9. *There exists a mapping $f: \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $\check{H}^1(S_f) \neq 0$.*

Proof. Let $f(x, y) = (-2|x| + \sqrt{1 - y^2}, y)$ for all $(x, y) \in \Delta$. Then S_f is homeomorphic to cylinder $S^1 \times I$. \square

This completes the proof of Theorem 4.2.

The above construction is carried out word by word for disks of arbitrary dimensions. In particular, applying the above to the one-dimensional disk $[-1, 1]$, we have the following corollary which suggests that a topological characterization of general square root closed compacta could be rather different than the one for first-countable such compacta by [8] and [12].

Corollary 4.10. *There exists an one-dimensional square root closed compactum X with infinitely generated first Čech cohomology.*

For an infinite cardinal $\tau \geq \omega$, we consider $(\mathbb{I}^\tau)_\Omega$ and the limit projection $\pi_\Omega: (\mathbb{I}^\tau)_\Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{I}^\tau$. By the invertibility property (**) of $\pi: S_X \rightarrow X$ for arbitrary compactum X and the standard spectral argument, it follows easily that π_Ω is also invertible with respect to the class of square root closed compacta. Hence we have

Proposition 4.11. *The square root closed compactum $(\mathbb{I}^\tau)_\Omega$ contains every square root closed compactum of weight $\leq \tau$.*

REFERENCES

- [1] L. G. Brown and G. K. Pedersen, *C*-algebra of real rank zero*, J. Functional Anal. **99** (1991), 131-149.
- [2] A. Chigogidze, *Inverse Spectra*, North Holland, Amsterdam, 1996.
- [3] ———, *Uncountable direct systems and a characterization of non-separable projective C*-algebras*, Mat. Stud. **12**, # 2 (1999), 171-204.
- [4] ———, *Universal C*-algebra of real rank zero*, Infinite Dimensional Analysis, Quantum Probability and Related Topics, **3** (2000), 445-452.

- [5] A. Chigogidze and V. Valov, *Bounded rank of C^* -algebras*, Topology and Its Appl. **140** (2004), 163-180.
- [6] R.S. Countryman, *On the characterization of compact Hausdorff X for which $C(X)$ is algebraically closed*, Pacific J. Math. **20** (1967), 433-438.
- [7] J. Grispolakis and E.D. Tymchatyn, *On confluent mappings and essential mappings - a survey*, Rocky Mt. J. Math. **11** (1981), 131-153.
- [8] O. Hatori and T. Miura, *On a characterization of the maximal ideal spaces commutative C^* -algebras in which every element is the square of another*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **128** (1999), 239-242.
- [9] M.I. Karahanjan, *On some algebraic characterization of the algebra of all continuous functions on a locally connected compactum*, Math. USSR Sbornik, Tom 107 (1978), English translation 35 (1979), 681-696.
- [10] K. Kawamura and T. Miura, *On the existence of continuous (approximate) roots of algebraic equations*, preprint.
- [11] S. Mardešić, *On covering dimension and inverse limit of compact spaces*, Illinois J. Math. **4** (1960), 278-291.
- [12] T. Miura and K. Nijima, *On a characterization of the maximal ideal spaces of algebraically closed commutative C^* -algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **131** (2003), 2869-2876.
- [13] E.L. Stout, *The theory of uniform algebras*, Bogden-Quigley, 1971.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA AT GREENSBORO, P.O. BOX 26170, GREENSBORO, NC 27402-6170, U.S.A.

E-mail address: `chigogidze@uncg.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND MATHEMATICS, NIPISSING UNIVERSITY, 100 COLLEGE DRIVE, P.O. BOX 5002, NORTH BAY, ON, P1B 8L7, CANADA

E-mail address: `alexandk@nipissingu.ca`

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF TSUKUBA, TSUKUBA, IBARAKI 305-8071, JAPAN

E-mail address: `kawamura@math.tsukuba.as.jp`

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND MATHEMATICS, NIPISSING UNIVERSITY, 100 COLLEGE DRIVE, P.O. BOX 5002, NORTH BAY, ON, P1B 8L7, CANADA

E-mail address: `veskov@nipissingu.ca`