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Abstract:

In the early 1970s hundreds of hikers began to traverse all 2,000-plus miles of the Appalachian Trail in a single effort. Spanning from Maine to Georgia, today over 14,000 have trekked across the entirety of the famed “wilderness footpath.” A particular mentality, characterized by perceptions of asocial self-discovery gained through physical activity and “wilderness” recreation led to the initial 1970s “thru-hiker” surge. This sense of autonomous self-discovery, however, was connected to a certain social and cultural context. Indeed, it could be argued that thru-hikers embraced a certain brand of individualism that should be read as a manifestation of a privileged social position as much as the achievement of personal authenticity.
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Article:

Americans have had faith in the civic value of physical recreation since at least the beginning of the twentieth century. This creed has a familiar resonance. Strenuous activity builds character. Physical recreation teaches cooperation, hard work, and honesty. Competitive sport, in particular, reveals how to contribute to one’s community and at the same time achieve one’s utmost potential.1 While similarities persisted, this was not precisely what ultra-long-distance hiker Warren Doyle had in mind when he undertook what he called a “character-confirmation experiment” in the summer of 1973. Doyle did not wish to find his role within an advancing civilization nor did he aim to measure his merit against dominant cultural standards. He saw physical activity as the means to an honorable end, but pure and autonomous self-discovery achieved outside society’s ideals was what motivated him. “I wanted to know,” Doyle explained, “just who I thought I was.” Thus, Doyle trudged across all 2,000-plus miles of the eastern United States’ Appalachian Trail. For over fifteen hours a day, covering more than thirty miles daily, for sixty-six-and-a-half days, he hiked at a record-setting pace. Yet Doyle “did not conquer” the famed “wilderness footpath.” As he put it, “I utilized the AT to conquer myself.”2

In the north, the Appalachian Trail, or “AT,” as hikers call it, comes to an end atop Mount Katahdin of Maine. To the south, the trail’s opposing terminus reaches onto the summit of
Springer Mountain in Georgia. Permitted only to those traveling by foot, hikers encounter tough switchbacks and steep scrambles. Running along the border of Tennessee and North Carolina, the route reaches some of the highest Elevations of the Smokey Mountains. In the White Mountains of New Hampshire, hikers climb above the tree line. Yet most of the AT is surrounded by woods. Indeed, the trail is nicknamed “the green tunnel.” Trail blazers deliberately avoided developed areas whenever possible. The AT’s northernmost section, running through Maine’s “hundred-mile wilderness,” is probably the most remote area of the eastern United States. Nonetheless, much of the trail is never more than a day or two away from a warm hotel bed. Millions live within driving distance of the AT. At one juncture, on a clear day, New York City is viewable in the distance.3

The idea expressed by Doyle, that traversing the AT might bring forth personal insights about one’s self, was not a novel concept. Most famously, in Concord, Massachusetts, more than 120 years earlier, Henry David Thoreau preached that “I cannot preserve my health and spirits, unless I spend four hours a day at least—and it is commonly more than that—sauntering through the woods and over the hills and fields, absolutely freed from all worldly engagement.”4 Thoreau sought to get in touch with his truest and fullest “spirits.” Like Doyle and other AT “thru-hikers” of future generations, Thoreau believed walking through an untamed world for an extended period of time would bring internal clarity.

This obvious connection between trekkers such as Doyle and wilderness advocates such as Thoreau gives rise to a proactive question that underlies the present inquiry. How “authentic” was Doyle’s existential sojourn? Was Doyle’s sense of authenticity really his own, or was it something taught to him. Was it something, perhaps, to which he conformed? Was Doyle really learning about himself outside the confines of society’s values? Or, was his conquest scripted—a path narrated to him by his culture and its history?

The specific moment in history that Doyle inhabited seems to have wielded an influence over him. In the first thirty-three years of the AT’s existence, between 1937 and 1969, only fifty-nine people hiked the trail in its entirety. Then, during the beginning of the 1970s, the number of AT thru-hikers surged. The Appalachian Trail Conference (ATC), the organization charged with maintaining the AT, reports that hundreds began to complete the ultra-long traverse on an annual basis. In total, the seventies saw at least 764 hikers walk every step of the AT.5 It has been estimated that during that decade ten times that many started an AT “thru-hike” but failed to complete it.6 AT thru-hikes take around four to six months to achieve. And most successful thru-hikers complete their journey in one prolonged march. It is almost always a solitary and arduous venture. No single explanation could possibly explain why everyone who has attempted the task chose to do so. Still, the burst of backpackers attempting a 2,000-mile hike begs the question. What was it about the 1970s in particular that led to the thru-hiker surge? Why was this moment in American history witness to such quests?

This essay argues that 1970s AT thru-hikers were motivated by desires for personal authenticity, meant to be developed apart from society’s tenets and restraints. However, the desire for self-discovery that drove these wilderness adventures was far from self-discovered. What is more, the ideas behind the spread of thru-hiking were shaped by more than just antecedents and forbears, such as Thoreau. Thru-hikers of the 1970s were embedded in what social commentator Tom
Wolfe called the “Me Generation” and personified what sport historian Benjamin Rader has identified as the “new strenuosity.” Thru-hikers placed physical demands upon themselves with the hope that doing so would enable them to spurn society’s principles and discover their own. Yet this intended break from society was paradoxically the product of a culturally shaped and socially situated mentality. A distinct white, middle and upper-class ethos that employed entrenched ideas about nature, emergent notions about physical fitness, the persistent logic of post-World War II consumer culture, and most important of all, fashionable beliefs about what being a genuine individual actually meant underpinned the rapid growth in the popularity of thru-hiking. It turns out that instead of overcoming the enculturation of society, 1970s thru-hikers exemplified a particular and privileged location within it.

The Origins of the Appalachian Trail

A prolonged departure from the norms of everyday life has been a common trope across cultures and certainly within American literature. The idea that physical toughness and perseverance are virtues to be prized, especially within men, has also been common knowledge for Americans for centuries. Furthermore, as a refuge from civilization built within, and by, a technology-obsessed society, the AT itself speaks to the durability and dynamism of the idea of wilderness in American minds. Most Americans have had few qualms with wilderness’s constant proximity to civilized life.

These factors should not be forgotten for they were, even if tacitly, implicated in the ideas permeating middle and upper-class America during the period of the AT’s genesis. In the 1920s, hiking enthusiasts built the AT in the midst of the second wave of industrialization. Along with the urban vices of the Roaring Twenties, overcrowded tenements, congested traffic, smoke-filled skies and lungs, waste-filled streets, polluted water, and rumbling factory noise all appeared to be the price of progress. In response, many social planners throughout the United States argued that the promotion of outdoor athletics represented the best way to check the negative side-effects wrought by modern prosperity.

In its original incarnations, however, backcountry hiking stood as a distinctly upper-class solution to modern dilemmas. After the Civil War, businessmen, ministers, and scholars began to travel to upscale hotels and resorts to tramp through the White Mountains in New Hampshire. Not long after, long distance treks became popular at New England’s elite colleges. Closer to the cities pedestrianism emerged as a favored pastime as well, often leading recreational walkers into nearby hills for refreshment. Nonetheless, working-class pedestrians usually had financial incentives in mind when they walked. Moreover, progressive social planners, who intended to provide working-class city dwellers relief, promoted what sport historian Mark Dyreson has termed “nature by design.” Refreshment would be provided at newly furnished urban parks and playgrounds, not a preserved and unadulterated “natural” world. Thus, in contrast to pedestrianism and the playground movement, the roots of backpacking were dug much more deeply in the ethos of upper-class Anglo-American leisure and amateurism.

At the same time changing middle and upper-class models of masculinity undergirded the emergence of wilderness recreation. With the rise of industry, skilled labor had begun to dissolve. Meanwhile, around the turn of the century, women and immigrants gained greater
access to America’s political sphere. The apparent loss of independence and power led a number of intellectuals to become obsessed with the notion that boys and men had become “over-civilized,” “soft,” and “effeminate.” As gender historian Gail Bederman argues, scholars and politicians re-conceptualized masculinity by urging white males to seek out vigorous physical challenges. These strenuous trials were deemed suitable only for the “stronger” sex and essential for cultivating the qualities necessary for leadership. In an era of gender upheaval, mostly white male social reformers reified white male dominance. Notably, in America’s wealthier quarters, these anxieties were dealt with in ways that foreshowed the path 1970s thru-hikers would travel. In light of the impersonal corporate structure overtaking the country, many upper-class citizens sought out exotic experiences in distant lands, either in their own nation or beyond its borders. Advocates of these unique encounters insisted that they would foster a sense of personal fulfillment and self-sufficiency that could no longer be found nearer to home.

Within this milieu, the idea of creating a 2,000-mile long recreational hiking trail became a legitimate possibility. In 1921, a forester with ardent Socialist commitments named Benton MacKaye proposed blazing an “Appalachian Trail.” The New Englander presented his idea with the support of a cohort of progressive, communitarian-minded “regional planners.” According to these activists, the AT would not only provide healthy retreats from urban life but would reshape American society toward more cooperative ends. The original AT blueprint included shelters, camps, and full-blown communities devised to be, as MacKaye put it, a permanent “sanctuary and a refuge from the scramble of [the] ever-day world [of] commercial life.”

Nevertheless, the “sanctuary” was not to be. By 1937 a “footpath for hikers in the Appalachian Mountains” extended “from Maine to Georgia.” But it was only a footpath and not the communitarian nexus the MacKaye had envisioned. Affluent lawyers, scholars, editors, architects, and scientists, connected to Northeastern hiking clubs, enthusiastically appropriated MacKaye’s plan and built the trail. They created a site for short-term recreation. Yet, it was a hiatus from, rather than an overt challenge to, industrial capitalism. As a sight merely for leisure and refreshment, MacKaye’s deeper intentions had been placed aside.

MacKaye and those that reframed his vision had one thing in common, however. Their ideas had been built upon what frontier historian Fredrick Jackson Turner and U.S. President Theodore Roosevelt championed as an essential linkage between the challenges of wilderness survival and the moral development of America’s citizenry. For MacKaye, Roosevelt, and Turner, along with self-realization, broader social advancement represented a key component to successful wilderness ventures. “Free lands . . . served to reinforce the democratic influences” of the once-new nation, Turner had claimed, for “the very fact of wilderness appealed to man’s struggle for a higher type of society.” Although they may have disagreed on what an ideal society looked like, all three of these bold thinkers agreed that civic virtue depended on encounters with more natural environs.

In the eyes of early AT thru-hikers, personal development through contact with undisturbed nature would be comparatively vital. Yet many of the 1970s trekkers would have recoiled at the notion that backpacking was a “social technology” designed to improve and Americanize the citizenry at large. The shift in focus from civic to personal virtue does not represent a total rejection of the inheritance of American ideas about the power of outdoor recreation, but it was a
partial departure. Compared to the dogmas of MacKaye, Turner, or Roosevelt, early AT thru-hikers developed a more self-centered philosophy.

The Me Decade, the New Strenuosity, and Wilderness

Significantly, thru-hikers were not the only ones to choose this path. In the 1970s the civic potential of sports, outdoor recreation, and other mechanisms of social capital were called into question. The previous decade had been tumultuous. Civil rights protests, anti-war demonstrations, and calls for women’s liberation represented various and overlapping incarnations of the 1960s counterculture. These “movements” challenged government policies and social hierarchies but nevertheless seemed to wane without offering practical new directions to take. Amidst oil embargos and an economic downturn in the 1970s, doubts concerning the future of American “civilization” fermented. As 1970s historian Bruce Schulman claims, many Americans concluded that their expectations for a profoundly revived United States, which arose after World War II, had fallen short. In turn, notions of social solidarity and conceptions of a national community diminished.

A distrust and loss of faith in larger social causes led many Americans to do as many thru-hikers counseled, to turn inward. As Robert Pirsig’s narrator in *Zen and the Art of Motorcycle Maintenance* (1974), one of the era’s most celebrated self-help travel logs, advised, “[t]he place to improve the world [was] one’s own heart and head and hands, and then work outward from there.” Christening the 1970s the “Me Decade,” social commentator and popular writer Tom Wolfe described the effect of this ideological transformation. Americans were “breaking off from society,” Wolfe wrote, with the intent of “changing one’s personality—remaking, remodeling, elevating, and polishing one’s very self.” In his social critique of the era, *The Culture of Narcissism*, critic and historian Christopher Lasch likewise posited that an emphasis on the present and a loss of historical continuity had led Americans to carry “the logic of individualism to the extreme.” As yet another contemporary scholar, Peter Clecak, put it, “the seventies were an apolitical, devitalized decade of intense, morally debilitating, preoccupation with the self.”

The movement from an emphasis on social progress to autonomous self-development was, of course, not all-encompassing. For African Americans, the Civil Rights struggle continued. In opposition to impeding desegregation, working-class whites from the Carolinas to Massachusetts ardently protested cross-town busing. Hundreds of thousands marched and protested on behalf of the emergent Gay Liberation Movement. Meanwhile, the second wave of feminism reached its zenith. In the heart of the Me Decade, Americans involved in these movements continued to engage with broader social causes, contradicting the perhaps over-simplistic portraits painted by Wolfe and others. Notwithstanding, especially within economically comfortable sectors of society, Wolfe described “[o]rdinary people” disregarding “family, neighborhood, and community, and created worlds of their own.”

On the AT, the dynamics of the “Me Generation” manifested into what Benjamin Rader has called the “new strenuosity.” Unlike Rooseveltian recreation devised for the sake of the nation’s future, young upwardly mobile Americans, or “yuppies” as they were labeled, flocked to individualistic physically-based recreational practices where they could find intrinsic fulfillment.
Through choosing to confront physical tests, yuppies saw themselves as superseding social constraints and achieving individual sufficiency and improvements that they failed to attain in the workplace. As Rader contends, novel aerobics classes, individualized fitness regimes, the sudden popularity of long-distance-running, and the proliferation of health clubs all oriented people’s attention “to the self, upon the individual rather than upon society or community.”

The 1970s marathon fad mirrored this development. As marathon historian Pamela Cooper argues, in the 1970s upwardly mobile and mostly white middle-class Americans reframed distance-running. It had long been seen by the mainstream as a “strange” pastime associated with fringe ethnic groups. In the 1970s, it became a middle-class mechanism for self-fulfillment, not to mention a serious money-making enterprise. The best-selling author of *The Complete Book of Running* (1977), Jim Fixx, testified on behalf of the new strenuosity. “Having lost faith in much of society, government, business, marriage, the church and so on, we seemed to have turned to ourselves, putting what faith we can muster in our own minds and bodies,” Fixx announced. Like Wolfe’s prototype of Me Decade individuals, runners spurned conventions and searched for independence. Like Rader’s model of the new strenuosity, they achieved such self-sufficiency and meaning through laborious physical activity.

A solo 2,000-plus mile trek where all that is seemingly needed is physical ability, determination, and a few implements that one can carry on one’s back aligned with this spirit of arduous middle and upper-class individualism. As one 1970s thru-hiker described it, traveling the AT from end-to-end entailed significant physical and psychological challenges:

Rain, mud, wet feet, blisters, wind-swept ridges, swollen tendons, pack straps digging into my shoulder; out of food, money, water; diarrhea, getting lost, taking wrong trail; discouraging people, dogs, fever, mental fatigue; always hungry, always thirsty, Trail always going over the toughest part, rattlesnakes, copperheads, rocks of Pennsylvania, bad water of New York, New Jersey, bad Trail markings of southwestern Virginia, floods of Vermont, White Mountain day hikers and their remarks; gnats on top of mountains, blackflies halfway down and mosquitoes at the bottom, shelters taken down because of vandalism, raccoons and porcupines trying to get into the pack. . . . The trail was certainly not a picnic.

But a picnic was not what yuppies wanted. Rather, a combination of physical stress, mental exhaustion, and hard-earned self-sufficiency represented precisely the type recreation for which many middle and upper-class Americans pined.

Combined with the intense physical demands of long-distance hiking, the AT had an additional appeal. The idea of “wilderness” has been historically situated as, in the words of wilderness historian Roderick Nash, the “antipode of civilization.” Like many social constructions, it is defined by its opposite. And after “the political crusades of the 1960s had apparently given way,” Nash claims, “wilderness seemed to many a necessary ingredient.” Although wilderness had once been something to be feared, conquered, and tamed, by the Me Decade, as environmental scholar William Cronon further explains, wilderness had come to epitomize “a place of freedom in which we can recover the true selves . . . lost to the corrupting influences of our artificial
lives.” In the 1970s, for those looking to separate themselves from the manipulations of civilized life, immersion in the “wild” was an ideal solution.

AT enthusiasts Ann Sutton and Myron Sutton spoke to these sentimentalities. As they wrote in their 1967 promotional, *The Appalachian Trail: Wilderness on the Doorstep* (1966), “[t]he Appalachian Trail is one of the last strongholds of peace in a congested and largely urban world.” The Suttons explained that “for many, the idea of hiking, indeed the principle on which the Appalachian Trail was founded, is to get away from the cares of civilization.” On the AT, they proclaimed, “the hiker in effect flees from protocol” and is thus “emancipated.” Indeed, “[w]hatever regimentation the hiker has suffered, at work or at home, his aversions to it vanish or are subdued in the wilderness” A number of social and cultural forces had coalesced. For upwardly mobile Americans of the early 1970s, dissatisfied with “work or home” and dispassionate toward social issues, the desire for a new form of individualism arose. This new model of independence was to be forged apart from society’s normal day-to-day operations and, for many, through autonomous corporeal accomplishments. The inherent physical challenges of the AT and transcendental conceptions of “wilderness” made the “wilderness path” a superlative remedy. The AT could provide what work, home, and society failed to supply.

**Consuming the “Boom”**

The effect of this type of thinking was far reaching. As the *New York Times* reported in 1971, an overall “backpacking boom revolutionized American outdoor life.” However, as environmental historian James Turner claims, this “revolution” was predicated on a consumer culture. By the 1970s the very idea of wilderness became entwined with consumerism. Going “back-to-nature” still entailed personal revitalization as it had before World War II, but to stem the damage wrought by overuse, by the late 1960s recreation advocates also pragmatically promoted what they called “minimalist” camping. Open fires, hunting, axes, and log cabins gave way to a cluster of new gadgets and technologies that allowed backpackers to “leave no trace” within wilderness preserves. With the time and money to purchase portable stoves, lightweight sleeping bags, nylon tents, and other high-tech devices, hikers could now pass through the wild without causing significant ecological harm, even if they held little knowledge of ecology. Thus technical innovations and a flourishing marketplace made wilderness adventures possible for inexperienced but well-off adventurers.

While numbers released by backpacking manufacturers offer insight into the extent of the “boom,” they also indicate the importance of consumer culture and capitalism to wilderness experiences. Camp Trail, the largest backpacking equipment manufacturer in the United States at the time, reported a 500 percent increase in sales between 1966 and 1971. Retailers such as Kelty, Colorado Outdoor, and Trailwise saw sales double between 1969 and 1971. In that brief time span, more than sixty million Americans reported taking a stab at camping. As one Recreation Equipment Inc. general manager noted, his store experienced an increase in sales from 1970 to 1971 greater than its total sales in 1967. As New York City Marathon promoter Fred Lebow notoriously realized around the same time, affluent Americans would pay for vigorous physical challenges. The result was an entire industry devoted to creating and selling innovative running shoes, shorts, and other essentials. The same pattern is found in backpacking.
On top of innovative equipment, transportation and the spread of information played roles in the backpacking boom. New highways and air travel made wilderness areas hundreds and thousands of miles away reachable. Magazines and guide books allowed backpackers to plan trips from the comfort of their homes. In parallel to Jim Fixx’s *Complete Book of Running*, for instance, Collin Fletcher’s 1970 “how-to” backpack text *The Compete Walker* sold over half a million copies.

Then, in the middle of these changes, a text meant to guide AT thru-hikers became available for the first time. Fifty-five-year-old former ACT secretary and volunteer Edward Garvey became one of five successful continuous thru-hikers in 1970. In *Appalachian Hiker: The Adventure of a Lifetime*, published a year later, Garvey provided a day-by-day account of his trek. However, first he used the opening 160 pages of his text to discuss proper clothing, footwear, and food for the long journey. He gave a detailed description of how he picked equipment. As a smart consumer, he even discussed potential options he chose to go without. Followers of the “new strenuosity” looking for prolonged authentic experiences in the wilderness now had a detailed outline for how to proceed.

After only five people including Garvey thru-hiked the AT continuously in 1970, in 1971 a record twenty-three people reported finishing the whole traverse. All but one hiked continuously, and the lone anomaly made the journey in two 1,000-mile sections (in 1969 and 1971, respectively). In 1972, as an early ATC tally shows, at least thirty-five people covered the entire trail. Dubbed by one long-distance-trekker “the year of the thru-hiker,” 1973 stands as the tipping-point. That year, at least ninety-three people successful traversed the whole AT. As one 1973 thru-hiker recalled, “with so many young determined hikers just zipping along, the first few days on the trail seemed like a cross country track meet.” At the ATC’s headquarters in Harper’s Ferry, West Virginia, there is a single box with information on thru-hikers labeled “1948-1972.” From 1973 to the present each annual class of thru-hikers garners its own box or ever larger boxes. By 2014, the ATC has recognized 14,000 individuals as “2,000-milers.”

1970s Thru-Hikers

Given the context of the early 1970s, the original surge in thru-hiking begins to make sense. The historical conception of “wilderness” as a site of self-actualization, a burgeoning consumer culture, the development of new technologies, the popular ideology of the “new strenuosity,” and the spread of information, specifically the publication of *Appalachian Hiker*, provided the crucial woodwork. Thru-hikers then responded to and in turn signaled through their actions deep cultural forces infused especially within middle and upper-class American life. To the “Me Generation” thru-hiking offered a way to leave a troubled society at least temporarily behind and experience bona fide self-discovery.

Take Garvey as a first instance. He was a white, middle-class male, retired from work, now able to fulfill “a long held dream.” His age and devotion to a social cause (the AT itself) set him apart from those who would heed his call.51 Still, in other ways he championed certain aspects of the Me Decade model. “No one, unless he be a total recluse, can live his life exactly as he pleases. Each day of a man’s life is a series of compromises and accommodations, with members of his family, his co-workers, his boss, his neighbors, and others,” Garvey lamented. The identification with the “struggles” of family obligations, one’s job and neighborhood, and not one’s race, class, or gender signified Garvey’s privileged social position as a white, middle-class man. This sort of identification represents a recurrent theme found in subsequent thru-hiker writings. It would not be in the public or political sphere that Garvey gained freedom. Rather, it was on the AT that Garvey found “days in which all the options” were his to choose. It was within himself, by selecting “the time of arising and the time of going to bed, the choice of food to be eaten at each meal, the speed at which to hike, and the side trails chosen to explore,” that Garvey found an authentic sense of achievement.52

In 1969 another middle-aged white male from New York named Bill O’Brien took to the AT. He was not yet ready for retirement. Nevertheless, he took a leave of absence from work without pay to complete his thru-hike. “The Trail,” O’Brien wrote, “means the awareness of never fearing anything in nature at any hour and a feeling of being more aware of Manitou, as I call my God, then every before.” O’Brien claimed not only that he gained a higher level of awareness and meaning through his experience but that thru-hiking helped him found his very own “new age” religion!53

Other thru-hikers spoke longingly about their departures from society and their entrance into a more genuine mode of being. As potential 1971 thru-hiker Jack Pettrey wrote to the ATC, “in effect, I hope to just drop out of this rat race of life for a summer before I start work and am sucked in it for good.”54 Likewise, successful 1972 thru-hiker James Rutter of Massachusetts admitted, “I was an ‘escapist,’ [but] not in the sense of fleeing from work rather than in gaining relief from our largely unreal life.” Typifying the desire to live purely in the present, observed by both Wolfe and Lasch, on the AT Rutter claimed, “I was living a real life—here ‘real’ being synonymous with ‘direct.’” In fact, to leave the trail, Rutter asserted, was “to come back to our largely second hand manner of experience.” Leaving the AT—not going to it—was, in his estimation, “to embark on a trip away from the real.”55
A notable member of 1973’s record-breaking class of thru-hikers was Warren Doyle. A true “individualist,” Doyle exemplified the overall mind-set that led to the thru-hiker surge. At the age of twenty-three, Doyle decided he wanted to do “something that had no material reward, no trophy, no cheerleaders, nothing like that.” He wanted to spurn the things an estranged society valued and took to the AT to form a set of standards of his own. He believed “nature . . . was created to assist man in fulfilling his wants . . . satisfying his needs.” A young, upwardly-mobile white male, like so many of the “Me Generation,” he wanted to get in touch with his truest self. “Nature,” he claimed, “was to serve as a vehicle for self-education; the AT would be ‘required reading’ under the topic heading ‘Personal Growth.’ I was going to learn,” he averred, “just who I thought I was.” Hiking the entire AT in a record sixty-six-and-a-half days, Doyle found what he was seeking. Afterward he explained, “I see more about what is and what isn’t important. I see more trivialities around me.” Thus Doyle “did not conquer the Trail,” for, as he averred, “man can never conquer nature. I utilized the AT to conquer myself.”

The same year Doyle competed his trek, Steven Sherman and Julia Older of New Hampshire thru-hiked the AT together. Even for this couple, however, it was a quest for individual meaning that drove them. Both aspiring writers felt personally alienated by the work society provided, and they both sensed they needed to focus on their personal development. “We had gone the nine-to-five route like everyone else,” the dual authors wrote, but “had each reached the same conclusion individually: Time is only of consequence when you are pursuing your own disciplines and beliefs.” Sherman and Older persevered and gained the experiences and insights for which they aimed. “We walked through the extremes,” the couple declared, “both in nature and ourselves.” Of one especially poignant experience they wrote, “[W]e let ourselves be enmeshed with where we were, briefly losing our identity to the vastness—and gaining more of ourselves in return.” They both marveled at the knowledge and fulfillment they gained for themselves on the AT.

Cindy Ross expressed comparable thoughts about her 1977 attempted thru-hike. Before her trek Ross had been working toward an advanced art degree but confessed to “slipping,” being “out of touch,” and quite literally “losing the meaning of life.” From her Philadelphia apartment she “looked down eighteen stories to diseased oaks, diseased pigeons, confused humans running in a maze.” Ross’s sense of alienation was palpable. By the time she attempted her trek, the surge in thru-hikers had overtaken the AT. Ross hiked along with “bankers, chefs, attorneys, graduate students . . . men with families . . . single women . . . escapists, searchers, the carefree, and the intense.” But much like other thru-hikers before her, on the AT she found self-transformation. Ross claimed her “relationship with the mountains” became “sacred.” As she traversed them she was “born again.” After Ross’s traveling partner hiked ahead of her because Ross had been unwilling to continue a twenty-mile per day pace, Ross suffered intense loneliness and fatigue. She took a break from her hike after she developed a stress fracture in her foot. When she rejoined the AT weeks later her hopes for traveling the entire trail in one season had vanished. Still, the experience of being able to “live how you feel,” to “be 100% real,” had been too much for Ross to give up. She returned the following summer and completed her hike, where she once more seemed “to function on a higher plane.” Ross too found meaning and independence through a strenuous physical challenge amidst the wilderness.

When author David Brill embarked on the AT in 1979 hundreds of thru-hikers passing over the trail throughout the spring, summer, and fall had become expected. Few thru-hikers in 1979
likely experienced anything like what early hikers had when they traversed the trail in fifties and sixties. Although Brill claimed he wanted to do something different, the year he set out to conquer the AT about five hundred others attempted to do the same. In truth, Brill had a number of hiking partners, four of whom were with him most of his trip. He spent one night sharing a shelter with nineteen other hikers and when he summited Mount Katahdin to complete his journey, thirteen other thru-hikers made the climb with him. Yet Brill also claimed to gain the experience of being apart from society. He wrote of being “isolated from the world” and of visiting “outposts of civilization” only to resupply. He also reveled in self-sufficiency. As he wrote in his journal, “I can’t think of a single thing I really need that I can’t find within myself or within my pack.” Moreover, as others before him, the AT served as a vehicle for personal enlightenment. During a stop at Hot Springs, Virginia, Brill had an “awakening.” As he confessed, “[f]or the first time in my life I knew that God and all His goodness lurked in every rock, in every tree, in every blade of grass, and in me.” Based on these testimonies, Tom Wolfe’s claim that a third great spiritual awakening had descended on at least certain quarters of America during the “Me Decade” appears to be no exaggeration.

An Interpretation of Privilege

In their search for an authentic “self” almost none of these hikers dwelt on prominent social classifications. They did not wonder in depth about what it meant to be white, male or female, middle-class or upper-class U.S. citizens. And if they did, they often claimed that these things held minimal significance on the AT. Garvey alluded toward his understanding of gender. His disappointment with the rewards of a middle-class life parallel a revaluation of masculinity, similar to what gender historian Michael Kimmel describes emerging in response to second-wave feminism. The role of “bread-winner” was no longer as satisfying as it had been in an earlier epoch. Sherman, Older, and Doyle each considered what it meant to be Americans, each speaking briefly and disparagingly about the condition of the United States. Life on the trail is what gave them hope for the nation’s future.

Ross considered the impact of gender more directly. Ross originally titled her book A Woman’s Journey on the Appalachian Trail (1982), and she did not shy away from describing a woman’s physical challenges, such as traversing the Green Mountains of Vermont with pain from menstruation. Ross also portrayed herself, at times, in a conventionally feminine manner. She appeared more willing to express her emotions than the other hikers. Descriptions of her metaphorical “heart” are a recurrent theme of her book, whether it was burning or bursting, filling or melting, becoming heavy or light. On multiple occasions Ross also describes openly breaking down in tears, something to which none of the other hikers admit. Furthermore, Ross traveled most of her journey with companions, and her friendships with them become central to the story she tells. “The real beauty of the Appalachian Trail is not just living in harmony with nature, but with each other,” she declared. Nonetheless, if Ross conforms to certain feminine norms, she contested some traditional gender expectations as well. For instance, she buries any misguided notion about innate female frailty. The hike “does a real job on our bodies,” Ross boasted; it “rips the Achilles tendon right away from the bone [and] utterly destroys the knees.” At one point she described her legs as “so scratched and bloody that they look as if they were beaten with whips.” Ross embraced all this as part of the process. “Testing our bodies is
important and seemingly necessary,” Ross explained; “no one makes us push so hard . . . we just do.”

Perhaps most telling, however, while Ross admits her sex made her a minority on the AT she did not find this fact particularly important. As Ross told it, all the thru-hikers functioned on the same social plain. And this, for Ross, was evidence of the unique opportunity that the AT provided. As Ross put it, “[w]omen are scarce, but we certainly aren’t catered to. There is weakness and there is strength, but it is intermingled. Even that is shared.” Indeed, when Ross addressed gender, she claimed gender norms were “one very big thing that is missing in this type of society [on the AT]. No one is inflicting any standards, rules of behavior, or perverted conditioning on us, so we’re free to be ourselves.” Ross’s perception of an apparent lack of gender expectations is part of what made her authentic experience possible.

Brill, in a less transparent manner, expressed a desire to fulfill masculine norms. After preparing to face conscription into the Vietnam War and then seeing the zeal of the sixties disappear, he felt “like a man who had spent years preparing—mentally, emotionally—for a test only to arrive a day late.” His solution was to find “something new and different” and so decided to “make the Appalachian Trail [his] quest,” but this is as far as his discussion went. For the most part, Brill’s narrative concentrates on his inner journey, without any further allusions to the meaning of manhood.

As thru-hikers took to the AT, they thus embodied what may be termed a faith in asocial identity formation. That is, they insisted that who they were was not defined by socially ascribed meanings or their position within society but by what was authentically and autonomously within each of them. In the 1970s, women’s liberation, African-American civil rights, anti-war nationalism, and gay rights movements all gained force. Moreover, within the history of physical recreation in North America, race, class, gender, sex and sexuality, ethnicity, locality, and nationalism have each been distinctly entwined with notions of physical activity. Nonetheless, as thru-hikers took to physical activity to construct and discover their genuine selves, they did not dwell on the particular social identities with which modern recreational practitioners or contemporary political struggles were so often associated. Yet, there were a number of socially and culturally contingent factors that thru-hikers had in common.

The 1970s trekkers likely came from similar social echelons. Thru-hikers sought an intense and personal trial, but they otherwise lived lives with at least a high probability of stability. Most (if not all) of them were racialized by their culture as “white.” The majority also identified as men. Further, given the time (four to six months) and money (the cost of equipment and food) that a thru-hike required, it is fair to assume most thru-hikers came from middle and upper-class backgrounds, as did most “Me Generation” adherents. This is not to say that they were wealthy, but thru-hikers did not put their livelihoods at risk by taking a months-long vacation. All of this together yields some important interpretive repercussions.

Nineteen-seventies thru-hikers thought of themselves as free and independent, enabled to define themselves without reference to the socially and culturally constructed “axes of power” such as race, class, and gender that everyone inevitably negotiates. Yet, the fact that thru-hikers overlooked socially ascribed identity markers does not mean race, class, and gender and other
social processes were not essential to their experiences. Indeed, the perception of freedom to
define oneself apart from social constructions underscores a privileged social status. As critical
race theorists Jon Solomon and Les Black suggest, “race identities and ethnic categories are
ideological entities that are made and remade through struggle.”73 However, as sport sociologists
Jonathan Long and Kevin Hylton note, “defining a white identity is rather different because of
the dominance of whiteness in society.” Instead of being made and remade through (economic,
social, political, and psychological) struggles, “whiteness is reinforced through a series of
discursive techniques that includes the power not to be named.”74 As the privileged norm, the
hegemonic measure by which other marginalized groups are judged, whiteness, maleness, and
wealth become obscured, particularly for those classified as members of these dominant groups.
Kimmel describes this circumstance in regard to how men simultaneously perform hegemonic
masculinity but lack awareness of gender. As he puts it, “the very processes that confer privilege
to one group and not to another group are often invisible to those upon whom that privilege is
conferred.”75

Like all historical subjects, thru-hikers could never function apart from the ideological
constructions and processes that ordered the community in which they lived. Yet because they
embodied hegemonic positions in that community it became easier for them not to see this and to
thus imagine their identity formation as intrinsic to them—and to them alone. Thru-hikers
believed they could find themselves apart from social forces, but those very forces turn out to be
their mechanisms of departure. The ability to sense the existential opportunity to search for an
autonomous “self,” which 1970s thru-hikers dutifully described, is largely owed to the cultural
norms, social constructions, and socioeconomic statuses from which the hikers unknowingly or
at least tacitly profited. Paradoxically, specific social arrangements made it possible for thru-
hikers to imagine a world where society did not intervene.

As sport studies scholar Jaime Schultz attests, although historical sources perhaps cannot reveal
“the verities of what ‘really’ happened,” the descriptions thru-hikers penned help to elucidate the
“mentalities . . . that made events meaningful.”76 Technological innovation, newly developed
infrastructure, consumer culture, and the spread of information made ultra-long distance treks
accessible to the affluent masses. Still, these factors only begin to explain why people bought
and employed technological advancements specifically for backpacking, let alone for spending
months at a time traversing the entire AT. In the 1970s, it was widespread and socially imbibed
ideological changes, present within white middle- and upper-class physical culture, which
combined to set the tone by which thru-hikers traveled. At the same instance, thru-hikers were
only enabled to tread a seemingly asocial path because of the social capital they carried with
them into woods.

The Contingency of Individualism

From this perspective, rather than a form of authenticity, thru-hikers seem to characterize and
highlight the inevitable social and intersubjective nature of identity. The very idea of what it
means to be an individual appears to be necessarily contingent on social forces. Thru-hikers took
to the AT in search of self-discovery just as a particular notion of self-discovery came into
fashion within the sector of society that they inhabited. By leaving society for the so-called
“wilderness,” in many ways they merely emulated the culture that surrounded them. The trekkers
aimed to spurn social conventions and sojourn in a more genuine world. They believed that by doing so they could autonomously discover and define who they were, but this was as much an autonomous venture as a reaction to a distinct historical context. During the Me Decade, with the help of consumer culture, followers of the “new strenuosity” found a home on a trail that ran the length of the Appalachian Mountains. Meanwhile, the very belief in social transcendence implicated the social position from which thru-hikers spoke.

Warren Doyle left a tribute to thru-hiking that underscores this pattern. As a doctoral candidate at the University of Connecticut, Doyle devised a class where he took groups of twenty or so college students on thru-hikes. As part of the class, before embarking on the venture, Doyle asked his students to explain why they had enrolled (that is, why they wanted to do a thru-hike). Their responses appear to reveal Doyle’s influence, if not the impact of 1970s culture generally. Recall Doyle’s previous quotation: “Nature was to serve as a vehicle for self-education; the AT would be ‘required reading’ under the topic heading ‘Personal Growth.’”77 It is possible that Doyle’s students affected his own thinking. Nevertheless, Doyle and his students conformed in ways more akin to recitation than self-discovery.

As one of Doyle’s male sophomore students described, “most important, I’m curious about my own development and growth. . . . I hope to gain confidence in myself, but also more direction in my life.” Likewise, a female biology major observed, “what interests me the most about the hike is the opportunity it provides to learn more about myself, others, and nature.” A fellow biology major agreed, “I think that this type of experience would be a great opportunity to learn more about myself, to make some close friends, and to learn more about nature.” A senior in physical therapy opined, “I think that it offers an excellent opportunity for me to grow as a person. . . . It is a chance for me to get to know about myself.” A sophomore studying horticulture wanted to go on the hike because it would provide “an opportunity to really get to know myself. . . . [It] will be a physically and mentally awakening period.” Yet another student declared, “I’m looking for experiences that will help me to discover more about myself and allow me to grow.” These selections represent a larger collection of equivalent quotations.78

Ideas come in many forms, but even as historians chalk up ideological phenomena to cultural processes, at a root level what more are they doing than asserting that groups of people or even individuals have shaped the thinking of others? Doyle was probably influenced by the culture in which he grew up and then likely recapitulated its ideology to his students. In this way, even as they aimed to rely solely on themselves, in trying to supersede the standards handed to them by their culture, thru-hikers such as Doyle and his students did the opposite. They performed a version of individualism imparted to them, becoming dependent on the very thing they hoped to overcome.

In the 1970s thru-hiking’s popularity began to grow exponentially. The source of this growth was predicated on a number of social and cultural factors. Indeed, early AT thru-hikers trod a human-made trail and followed strategically placed markers in more ways than one. They carried innovative camping equipment, utilized well-researched guidebooks, searched out wilderness in part because of historically evolving ideas, and embraced self-sufficiency through physical fitness in the midst of fitness and backpacking booms. In an era when middle and upper-class Americans began to turn inward, thru-hikers likewise fell in line with the trend. What is more, by
drowning out culturally contingent and socially constructed meanings and classifications thru-hikers signified their privileged social status, the very status that made their existential sojourns into the wilderness seem possible in the first place. No matter how far into the woods they traveled, or how deeply they probed within, 1970s AT thru-hikers never left society entirely behind.
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36. It should be noted that wilderness may not have meant the same thing for everyone. I am viewing “wilderness” as a social construction, and many thru-hikers (and backpackers generally) conceived of a certain type of environment as “wilderness.” However, this essay is focused on a select group of ultra-long distance hikers, who were radicalized as “white” and generally came from middle- or upper-class socioeconomic backgrounds. Frankly, what wilderness meant to other groups (if it meant anything at all) is an interesting question that this essay is not prepared to answer. For examples of the middle and upper-class ethos see Gilbert Stucker, “Youth, Rebellion, & the Environment,” *National Parks & Conservation Magazine*, April 1971, pp. 6-9; Edward Abbey, *Desert Solitaire* (Tucson: University of Arizona Press, 1968), 37; Rene Dubos, *Wooing the Earth* (New York: Scribner, 1980); Terry and Renny Russell, *On the Loose* (San Francisco: The Sierra Club, 1967); Colin Fletcher, *The Man Who Walked through Time* (New York: Alfred A. Knopf, 1968); and Colin Fletcher, *The Complete Walker* (New York, NY: Alfred A, Knopf, 1970).
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