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#### Abstract

Suppose $\operatorname{ch}(G)$ and $\chi(G)$ denote, respectively, the choice number and the chromatic number of a graph $G=(V, E)$. If $\operatorname{ch}(G)=$ $\chi(G)$ then $G$ is said to be chromatic-choosable. Recently, Reed et al. proved a conjecture by Ohba that states that $G$ is chromaticchoosable whenever $|V(G)| \leq 2 \chi(G)+1$. Here, we present other classes of chromatic-choosable graphs that do not satisfy the hypothesis of the proven conjecture. Moreover, we give the upper bounds for the choice numbers of the Mycielski graphs and the cartesian product of any two graphs, in terms of a vertex-neighborhood condition.
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## 1 Basic notions

Throughout this paper, $G=(V, E)$ denotes a loopless connected graph where $V=V(G)$ and $E=E(G)$ denote, respectively, the set of vertices and the set of edges of $G$. An edge $e \in E$ with endpoints $u, v \in V$ is denoted by $u v ; u$ and $v$ are adjacent in $G$ and $e$ is said to be incident with $u$ and $v$. We denote by $N_{G}(u)=\{x \in V \mid u x \in E\}$ the (open) neighbor
set in $G$ of $u \in V$. When it is unnecessary to distinguish the graph $G$, the subscript $G$ on $N$ will be omitted. $\Delta(G), K_{n}$ and $C_{n}$ denote, respectively, the maximum degree of $G$, a complete graph and a cycle on $n$ vertices.

## 2 Preliminaries

A list assignment to the graph $G=(V, E)$ is a function $L$ which assigns a finite set (list) $L(v)$ to each vertex $v \in V$. A proper $L$-coloring of $G$ is a function $\phi: V \rightarrow \cup_{v \in V} L(v)$ satisfying, for every $u, v \in V$, (i) $\phi(v) \in L(v)$ and (ii) $u v \in E \rightarrow \phi(v) \neq \phi(u)$.

The choice number or list-chromatic number of $G$, denoted by $\operatorname{ch}(G)$, is the smallest integer $k$ such that there is always a proper $L$-coloring of $G$ if $L$ satisfies $|L(v)| \geq k$ for every $v \in V$. We define $G$ to be $k$-choosable if it admits a proper $L$-coloring whenever $|L(v)| \geq k$ for all $v \in V$; then $\operatorname{ch}(G)$ is the smallest integer $k$ such that $G$ is $k$-choosable. The following is a well-known result in the estimation of choice number.

Theorem A. (Erdős, Rubin and Taylor [3]) If G is a connected graph that is neither a complete graph nor an odd cycle, then $\operatorname{ch}(G) \leq \Delta(G)$.

Corollary A. For any graph $G, \operatorname{ch}(G) \leq \Delta(G)+1$.
Proof. Clearly $\operatorname{ch}(G)$ is the maximum of the choice numbers of the components of $G$. If $H$ is a complete graph or an odd cycle then $\operatorname{ch}(G)=\Delta(G)+1$. The conclusion now follows from Theorem A.

Corollary A also follows by a "greedy coloring" argument.
Since the chromatic number $\chi(G)$ is similarly defined with the restriction that the list assignment is to be constant, it is clear that for all $G$, $\chi(G) \leq c h(G)$. There are many graphs whose choice number exceeds (sometimes greatly) their chromatic number. Figure 1 depicts the smallest graph $G$ whose choice number exceeds its chromatic number.

It is easy to see that $G$ is not properly $L$-colorable, so $\operatorname{ch}(G)>2=\chi(G)$. Since $G$ is connected, and neither a complete graph nor an odd cycle, it follows from Theorem A that $\operatorname{ch}(G) \leq \Delta(G)=3$. Thus, $\operatorname{ch}(G)=3$.

Any graph $G$ for which the extremal equality $\chi(G)=\operatorname{ch}(G)$ holds is said to be chromatic-choosable. It is not hard to see that cycles, cliques and trees are all chromatic-choosable.

The topic of list colorings was introduced by Vizing [9] and independently by Erdős, Rubin and Taylor [3]. Since the beginning, several results have sought to address specifically which classes of graphs are chromaticchoosable; Ohba's conjecture [8] is a well-known problem which has recently been settled by Reed et al.[7]. We state their result (or Ohba's conjecture) without proof, in the next theorem.


Figure 1: $G=\theta(1,3,3)$ with a list assignment $L$.

Theorem B.(Noel, Reed and Wu [7]) If $|V(G)| \leq 2 \chi(G)+1$ then $G$ is chromatic-choosable.

The graph in Figure 1 shows that this result is sharp when $\chi(G)=2$. In [2], examples are given in which $|V(G)|=2 \chi(G)+2$ and $\operatorname{ch}(G)=\chi(G)+1$, for all even $\chi(G)>2$. We do not know if Ohba's Theorem is sharp for odd $\chi(G) \geq 3$.

It is obvious that the proposed bound in Theorem B is weak in characterizing chromatic-choosable graphs, especially graphs with low chromatic numbers. For instance, according to this theorem, any bipartite graph of order smaller than 6 is chromatic-choosable, while it is well-known that any even cycle (of any order) is chromatic-choosable.

It is important to point out that the problem of finding chromaticchoosable graphs contains the famous list coloring conjecture which is generally attributed to Vizing [9], namely: the line graph of any graph is chromatic-choosable. So far, this has been proved to be correct for the line graphs of bipartite graphs by Galvin, as stated in

Theorem C.(Galvin [4]) The line graph of any bipartite multigraph is chromatic-choosable.

## 3 Choice number of the Mycielski graphs

We construct the Mycielski graph $M(G)$ from a graph $G$ whose vertices are $V(G)=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\}$, by introducing the set
$U=\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{n}\right\} \cup\{w\}$, disjoint from $V(G)$. The vertices of $M(G)$ are $V(G) \cup U$, and its edges are $E(G) \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^{n}\left[\left\{u_{i} z \mid z \in N_{G}\left(v_{i}\right)\right\} \cup\left\{w u_{i}\right\}\right]$. It is well known that $\chi(M(G))=\chi(G)+1$.

Lemma 3.1. $\operatorname{ch}(M(G)) \leq \Delta(G)+2$.
Proof. Let $k=\Delta(G)+2 \geq \operatorname{ch}(G)+1$, by Corollary A, and suppose $M(G)$ is supplied with lists $L$ of length $k$. Since $G$ is $k$-choosable, we can color each $v_{i} \in V(G)$ so that the coloring is proper. Remove from the $L\left(u_{i}\right)^{\prime} s$ the colors from their neighbors in $G$. Because each $u_{i}$ has at most $\Delta(G)$ neighbors in $G$, this leaves at least 2 colors in each $L\left(u_{i}\right), i=1, \ldots, n$. Now color $w$ and then proceed to properly color the $u_{i}^{\prime} s$ from their lists, giving a proper $L$-coloring of $M(G)$.

Corollary 3.1. If $G$ is a complete graph or an odd cycle, then $\operatorname{ch}(M(G))=$ $\Delta(G)+2$.

Proof. Applying Lemma 3.1, $\Delta(G)+2=\chi(G)+1=\chi(M(G)) \leq \operatorname{ch}(M(G))$ $\leq \Delta(G)+2$.

From the argument in the proof of Corollary 3.1 follows
Corollary 3.2. The Mycielski graph $M\left(K_{n}\right)$ is chromatic-choosable for all $n \geq 1$.

Corollary 3.3. The Mycielski graph $M\left(C_{2 r+1}\right)$ is chromatic-choosable for all $r \geq 1$.
$M\left(C_{5}\right)$ is the Grötzsch graph, which is 4-chromatic and triangle-free. It is not too hard to see that the Mycielski of a star graph, $K_{1, n}$, is chromatic-choosable and perhaps $M(G)$ is chromatic-choosable whenever $G$ is chromatic-choosable. We leave this as an open question, but from the fact that $\chi(M(G))=\chi(G)+1$ it would suffice to show that $\operatorname{ch}(M(G)) \leq$ $\operatorname{ch}(G)+1$ for any graph $G$.

## 4 Choice number of the cartesian product of some graphs

Here, we present some results on an upper bound on the choice numbers of the Cartesian product of two graphs.

The Cartesian product of graphs $G$ and $H$, denoted by $G \square H$, is the graph with vertex set $V(G) \times V(H)=\{(u, v) \mid u \in V(G), v \in V(H)\}$, where $\left(u_{1}, v_{1}\right)$ is adjacent to $\left(u_{2}, v_{2}\right)$ whenever $u_{1}=u_{2}$ and $v_{1} v_{2} \in E(H)$, or $v_{1}=v_{2}$ and $u_{1} u_{2} \in E(G)$. For instance, $K_{2} \square K_{2} \cong C_{4}$.

Theorem 4.1. Suppose that $V(G)=V_{1} \cup V_{2}, V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$ are disjoint and non-empty, $G_{i}=G\left[V_{i}\right], i=1,2$, and no vertex in $V_{2}$ has, in $G$, more that $r$ neighbors in $V_{1}$. Then $\operatorname{ch}(G) \leq \max \left\{\operatorname{ch}\left(G_{1}\right), \operatorname{ch}\left(G_{2}\right)+r\right\}$.

Proof. Let $k=\max \left\{\operatorname{ch}\left(G_{1}\right), \operatorname{ch}\left(G_{2}\right)+r\right\}$ and suppose that $L$ is a list assignment to $G$ such that $|L(v)| \geq k$ for all $v \in V(G)$. Because $k \geq$ $\operatorname{ch}\left(G_{1}\right)$, there is a proper $L$-coloring $\phi$ of $G_{1}$. [More precisely, there is a proper $L$-restricted-to- $V_{1}$ coloring of $G_{1}$.] Define $L^{\prime}$ on $G_{2}$ by $L^{\prime}(u)=$ $L(u) \backslash\left\{\phi(v) \mid v \in N_{G}(u) \cap V_{1}\right\}$. By the hypothesis of the Theorem, $\left|L^{\prime}(u)\right| \geq$ $|L(u)|-r \geq k-r \geq c h\left(G_{2}\right)$, for all $u \in V_{2}$, and, therefore, there is a proper $L^{\prime}$-coloring of $G_{2}$. Putting this coloring together with $\phi$, we have a proper $L$-coloring of $G$. $L$ was arbitrary, so $\operatorname{ch}(G) \leq k$.

Corollary 4.1. For $n \geq 2, \operatorname{ch}\left(H \square P_{n}\right) \leq \operatorname{ch}(H)+1$.
Proof. Let the vertices of $P_{n}$ be $1, \ldots, n$; let $V_{1}=V(H) \times\{1, \ldots, n-1\}$ and $V_{2}=V(H) \times\{n\}$. Then $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$ are disjoint and non-empty, $V(G)=$ $V_{1} \cup V_{2}$, and every vertex in $V_{2}$ is adjacent in $G=H \square P_{n}$ to exactly one vertex in $V_{1}$. Further, $V_{1}$ induces $G_{1} \cong H \square P_{n-1}$ in $G$, and $V_{2}$ induces $G_{2} \cong H$ in $G$. The conclusion follows from Theorem 4.1 by induction on $n$.

The graphs in the next two corollaries each contain the subgraph $H=$ $\theta(1,3,3)$, giving a lower bound of their choice number. The upper bound follows from Corollary 4.1, giving each result.

Corollary 4.2. For $m \geq 2, n \geq 3, \operatorname{ch}\left(P_{m} \square P_{n}\right)=3$.
Corollary 4.3. For $r \geq 2, n \geq 2, \operatorname{ch}\left(C_{2 r} \square P_{n}\right)=3$.
We note that Corollary 4.2 also follows from a result by Alon and Tarsi who showed that every bipartite planar graph is 3 -choosable [1]. Also, it is worth noting that by Theorem $\mathrm{B}, \operatorname{ch}\left(C_{m} \square P_{2}\right) \leq 3$ for all $m \geq 3$. Therefore, $\operatorname{ch}\left(C_{m} \square P_{2}\right)=3$ when $m$ is odd. Together with Corollary 4.3, we can conclude that $\operatorname{ch}\left(C_{m} \square P_{2}\right)=3$ for all $m \geq 3$.

Corollary 4.4. Suppose that $u \in V(H)$ has degree $r>0$ in $H$; then $c h(G \square H) \leq \max \{\operatorname{ch}(G \square(H-u)), \operatorname{ch}(G)+r\}$.

Proof. $G \square H$ can be formed by connecting $G \square(H-u)$ to a disjoint copy of $G$ in such a way that every vertex of the added $G$ has $r$ neighbors in $G \square(H-u)$.

Corollary 4.4 is just a special case of an obvious application of Theorem 4.1, in which $V(G \square H)=V(G) \times V(H)$ is partitioned into $V(G) \times U_{1}$ and $V(G) \times U_{2}$ for some partition of $V(H)$ into $U_{1} \cup U_{2}$. In Corollary 4.4, $\left|U_{2}\right|=1$. However, neither Corollary 4.4 nor its generalization seems to help in determining the choice numbers of the cylindrical grid $C_{2 r+1} \square P_{n}$ or the toroidal grid $C_{m} \square C_{n}, m, n \geq 3$. Because these graphs contain $\theta(1,3,3)$ and have maximum degree 4 , their choice numbers are in $\{3,4\}$.

We close this section with a more general upper bound on the choice number of the cartesian product of any two graphs.

Theorem 4.2. $\operatorname{ch}(G \square H) \leq \max \{|V(G)|,|V(H)|\}$ with equality when $G=$ $H=K_{n}$.

Proof. If $G$ and $H$ are two graphs of order at most $n$, then $G \square H \subseteq K_{n} \square K_{n}$ and $\operatorname{ch}(G \square H) \leq \operatorname{ch}\left(K_{n} \square K_{n}\right)=\operatorname{ch}\left(L\left(K_{n, n}\right)\right)=n$, with the last equality following from Theorem C.

Corollary 4.5. If $|V(G)| \leq n$ then $\operatorname{ch}\left(G \square K_{n}\right)$ is chromatic-choosable.
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