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Abstract

Hypertension is associated with endothelial dysfunction and vascular remodeling. Objective: To assess effects of antihypertensive pharmacotherapy on eNOS-and iNOS-dependent mechanisms and maximal vasodilator capacity in the cutaneous microvasculature. Methods: Intradermal microdialysis fibers were placed in 15 normotensive (SBP 111±2 mm Hg), 12 unmedicated hypertensive (SBP 142±2 mm Hg), and 12 medicated hypertensive (SBP 120±2 mm Hg) subjects. Treatments were control, iNOS-inhibited (1400w), and NOS-inhibited (I-NAME). Red cell flux, measured during local heating (42°C) and ACh dose-response protocols, was normalized to CVC (flux MAP−1) and a percentage of maximal vasodilation (%CVCmax). Results: Compared to normotensives, ACh-mediated vasodilation was attenuated in the hypertensive (P<.001), but not in medicated subjects (P=.83). NOS inhibition attenuated ACh-mediated vasodilation in normotensives compared to hypertensive (P<.001) and medicated (P<.001) subjects. With iNOS inhibition, there was no difference in ACh-mediated vasodilation between groups. Compared to the normotensives, local heat-induced vasodilation was attenuated in the hypertensives (P<.001), but iNOS inhibition augmented vasodilation in the hypertensives so this attenuation was abolished (P=.31). Compared to normotensives, maximal vasodilator capacity was reduced in the hypertensive (P=.014) and medicated subjects (P=.004). Conclusions: In the cutaneous microvasculature, antihypertensive pharmacotherapy improved endothelial function through NO-dependent and NO-independent mechanisms, but did not improve maximal vasodilator capacity.
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Abstract
Hypertension is associated with endothelial dysfunction and vascular remodeling.

Objective: To assess effects of antihypertensive pharmacotherapy on eNOS- and iNOS-dependent mechanisms and maximal vasodilator capacity in the cutaneous microvasculature.

Methods: Intradermal microdialysis fibers were placed in 15 normotensive (SBP 111±2 mm Hg), 12 unmedicated hypertensive (SBP 142±2 mm Hg), and 12 medicated hypertensive (SBP 120±2 mm Hg) subjects. Treatments were control, iNOS-inhibited (1400w), and NOS-inhibited (l-NAME). Red cell flux, measured during local heating (42°C) and ACh dose-response protocols, was normalized to CVC (flux MAP−1) and a percentage of maximal vasodilation (%CVCmax).

Results: Compared to normotensives, ACh-mediated vasodilation was attenuated in the hypertensive (P<.001), but not in medicated subjects (P=.83). NOS inhibition attenuated ACh-mediated vasodilation in normotensives compared to hypertensive (P<.001) and medicated (P<.001) subjects. With iNOS inhibition, there was no difference in ACh-mediated vasodilation between groups. Compared to the normotensives, local heat-induced vasodilation was attenuated in the hypertensives (P<.001), but iNOS inhibition augmented vasodilation in the hypertensives so this attenuation was abolished (P=.31). Compared to normotensives, maximal vasodilator capacity was reduced in the hypertensive (P=.014) and medicated subjects (P=.004).

Conclusions: In the cutaneous microvasculature, antihypertensive pharmacotherapy improved endothelial function through NO-dependent and NO-independent mechanisms, but did not improve maximal vasodilator capacity.
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INTRODUCTION

Hypertension is a highly prevalent chronic disease that affects over one-third of adults, making high blood pressure a substantial public health problem. Hypertension is associated with deleterious changes in the vasculature, including impairment of endothelial function and increased vascular stiffness. These deleterious vascular changes occur ubiquitously across the vasculature, but likely originate in the microcirculation, preceding detectable dysfunction observed in large conduit vessels. Because hypertension-associated vascular dysfunction is first apparent in the microvasculature, the microvasculature can be used to examine the effectiveness and physiological actions of antihypertensive medications.

The human cutaneous microvasculature is an accessible vascular bed that has been utilized to examine mechanisms underlying microvascular dysfunction. Vascular dysfunction in the skin is similar in mechanisms and magnitude to other nutritive vascular beds including the coronary, cerebral, and renal circulations. Upregulation of iNOS is one contributing factor to microvascular dysfunction in hypertension. iNOS can impair endothelial NOS (eNOS)-dependent vasodilation and increase oxidant stress by mediating the rapid accumulation of peroxynitrite which increases oxidant stress and decreases NO bioavailability. The human cutaneous microvasculature is an accessible vascular bed that has been utilized to examine mechanisms underlying microvascular dysfunction. Upregulation of iNOS is one contributing factor to microvascular dysfunction in hypertension. iNOS can impair endothelial NOS (eNOS)-dependent vasodilation and increase oxidant stress by mediating the rapid accumulation of peroxynitrite which increases oxidant stress and decreases NO bioavailability.

Additionally, minimum vascular resistance, representative of the vasculature’s maximum ability to dilate and an index of vascular structure, is elevated with hypertension, suggestive of pathological vessel remodeling. Carberry et al. confirmed that minimum vascular resistance is elevated in the skin of hypertensive subject. Our group has subsequently demonstrated that maximum conductance (the inverse of resistance) obtained in response to the nitric oxide (NO) donor SNP and elevated local skin temperature (43°C) is attenuated in hypertensive men and women compared to age-matched normotensive controls, further suggesting that pathological vessel remodeling occurs in the cutaneous microvasculature of hypertensive men and women.

Numerous classes of antihypertensive pharmacotherapy are available to treat high blood pressure. Commonly prescribed classes of antihypertensive medications include those targeting the RAS (ACE inhibitors and ARBs). According to the JNC8 guidelines, ACE inhibitors and ARBs are considered first-line antihypertensive pharmacotherapies. Antihypertensive drugs targeting the RAS may have beneficial peripheral vascular effects. RAS-specific pharmacotherapy increases eNOS expression in rodent models and improves endothelial function in humans. RAS-targeted treatment also improves indices of microvessel structure, including reversing rarefaction.

Whether RAS-inhibiting antihypertensive pharmacotherapy alters iNOS function and human cutaneous microvascular endothelial and smooth muscle function is currently unknown. RAS-inhibiting antihypertensive pharmacotherapies may alter iNOS expression; however, whether iNOS expression is augmented or attenuated is equivocal. Systemic ACE inhibitor treatment with perindopril increased iNOS expression in human vascular smooth muscle cells, whereas treatment with the ARB candesartan normalized iNOS protein expression. These contrasting findings may be due to differing methodologies and hypertensive models. It is unknown whether blood pressure normalization with pharmacotherapy that includes an ACE inhibitor or ARB alters iNOS function and attenuates microvascular dysfunction in humans with essential hypertension.

The purpose of this study was to determine whether blood pressure control with pharmacotherapy improved cutaneous microvascular endothelial function and/or maximum CVC, an index of cutaneous microvascular structure. We further sought to determine whether alterations in NO bioavailability or iNOS were associated with changes in endothelial function. We chose a subject group treated with the common first-line pharmacotherapies of ACE inhibitors or ARBs as these treatments have demonstrated beneficial effects on the endothelium in other models of hypertension and in other vascular beds. We hypothesized that antihypertensive pharmacotherapy would improve cutaneous microvascular function through endothelial NO-dependent mechanisms and by limiting iNOS-mediated dysfunction. We also hypothesized that maximum CVC, an index of cutaneous microvascular structure, would not be changed.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Subjects

Experimental procedures were approved by the institutional review board at The Pennsylvania State University and conformed...
to the Declaration of Helsinki. Verbal and written consent were voluntarily obtained from all subjects before participation. Subject characteristics are presented in Table 1. Groups consisted of 12 essential hypertensive subjects naïve to pharmacotherapy, 12 medicated hypertensive subjects with clinically controlled blood pressure, and 15 normotensive control subjects. Subjects were classified by JNC8 blood pressure guidelines. Blood pressure status was confirmed, and white-coat hypertension ruled out, through use of a 24-hour ambulatory blood pressure monitor (Ambulo 2400, Mortara Industries, Milwaukee, WI, USA) that measured blood pressure once every hour. Due to the inclusion of sleeping hours, blood pressure measured via 24-hour monitors is lower than seated blood pressure measurements. Therefore, data from the ambulatory monitor confirmed hypertensive status if average SBP was ≥130 mm Hg and/or DBP was ≥80 mm Hg. Subjects underwent a complete medical screening that included blood chemistry, HbA1C, lipid analysis, heart rate, medical history, anthropometrics, and resting ECG. Medicated hypertensive subjects were all taking mono- or poly-pharmacotherapy to treat hypertension and had been taking the same therapeutic regimen for a minimum of 3 months (average duration of treatment: 6±2 years). All medicated subjects were taking at least one medication that influenced the RAS. The different antihypertensive drugs utilized by the subjects are presented in Table 2. Three were co-prescribed diuretics, which do not alter endothelial function or vessel structure. The final subject was co-prescribed a calcium channel blocker, which has been shown to exert effects on the endothelium. Unmedicated essential hypertensive and normotensive control subjects were not taking any antihypertensive medications. All subjects were free of other, non-antihypertensive medications which could alter blood flow. All subjects were generally healthy except for the presence of hypertension. All premenopausal women (N=3) were studied during the early follicular phase of their menstrual cycle, and postmenopausal women (N=17) reported that it had been ≥1 year since cessation of their last menses.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Microdialysis procedures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

| ACh dose-response protocol |

The purpose of the ACh dose-response protocol was to pharmacologically induce endothelium-dependent vasodilation. All experiments were performed in a thermoneutral laboratory with the subject in a semi-supine position and the experimental arm at heart level. Three microdialysis fibers (10 mm, 20-kDa cutoff membrane, MD 2000; Bioanalytical Systems, West Lafayette, IN, USA) were placed in the forearm skin as previously described. Fibers were perfused with either lactated Ringer's, a physiological saline to serve as control, 20 mmol/L l-NAME (CalBiochem), a non-specific NOS antagonist, or 0.1 mmol/L 1400w (N-(3-aminomethyl)benzyl)acetamidine, AG Scientific) to selectively inhibit iNOS. All substances perfused through the microdialysis fibers were mixed immediately before use and sterilized with a syringe microfilter (0.2 μm pore size, Acrodisc). All substances were perfused at a rate of 2 μL min−1 (Bioanalytical Systems Beehive and Baby Bee microinfusion pumps). After abatement of initial insertion trauma (60-90 minutes), local heaters were placed over each microdialysis site and skin temperature was clamped at 33°C. A laser Doppler flow probe (Moor Instruments, Axminster, UK) was placed into each heater to measure red blood cell flux, a relative index of skin blood flow. Blood pressure was measured every 5 minutes throughout the protocol via brachial auscultation (Cardiocap/5, General Electric, Boston, MA, USA).
USA). After stable baseline (20 minutes), seven increasing concentrations of ACh (0.01, 0.1, 1, 5, 10, 50, 100 mmol/L) were perfused through the microdialysis fibres in 5-minute increments. Each dose of ACh was mixed with the appropriate NOS inhibitor (l-NAME, 1400w) or lactated Ringer's to serve as a control. After completion of the ACh dose-response, the temperature of the local heaters was increased to 43°C and 28 mmol/L SNP (SNP, U.S. Pharmacopeia, Rockville, MD, USA), a NO donor, was perfused through the fibers at a rate of 4 μL min⁻¹ to achieve maximal cutaneous vasodilation. Use of 28 mmol/L SNP with simultaneous local heating is a commonly used protocol to induce maximum vasodilation in the human cutaneous microvasculature.²⁰,⁴⁹,⁵¹,⁵²

| Local heating protocol |

The purpose of the local heating protocol was to quantify endothelium-dependent vasodilation to a physiological stimulus.⁵³,⁵⁴ Two microdialysis fibers were placed in the skin of the forearm. One fiber was perfused with lactated Ringer's solution (control) and the other with 0.1 mmol/L 1400w to selectively inhibit iNOS. Substances were perfused through the fibers at a rate of 2 μL min⁻¹. After abatement of initial insertion trauma (60-90 minutes), local heaters were placed over each microdialysis site and skin temperature was clamped at 33°C. A laser Doppler probe was placed into each heater to measure red blood cell flux. Blood pressure was measured every 5 minutes throughout the protocol via brachial auscultation. Stable baseline flux was measured (20 minutes); local temperature was then increased at a rate of 0.5°C every 5 seconds to a temperature of 42°C. This protocol has been used extensively to examine physiologically induced eNOS-dependent vasodilation.²⁰,⁵³,⁵⁵ Red cell flux was measured until a stable plateau had been reached (approximately 40 minutes), at which point 20 mmol/L l-NAME (non-specific NOS antagonist) was perfused through both microdialysis fibers to quantify the contribution of NOS-derived NO to local heat-induced vasodilation. After a new post-l-NAME plateau was obtained, the local heater temperature was increased to 43°C and 28 mmol/L SNP was perfused through the fibers at a rate of 4 μL min⁻¹ to obtain maximal cutaneous vasodilation.

| Data analytical approach |

Red cell flux data were digitized at 40 Hz, recorded, and stored for offline analysis using Windaq software and a Dataq data acquisition system (Windaq: Dataq Instruments, Akron, OH, USA). For ACh dose-response data, skin blood flow was averaged over 2-minute segments of stable blood flow after each dose of ACh was perfused. For local heating data, red cell flux was averaged over stable 10-minute segments during the local heating plateau, post-l-NAME plateau, and SNP-induced maximal vasodilation. NO-dependent vasodilation was calculated from local heating data as vasodilation following local heating (42°C) minus vasodilation following NOS inhibition with l-NAME. In both protocols, CVC: red cell flux × MAP⁻¹ was calculated for ACh dose or local heating phase. Data were normalized to a %CVCmax obtained during 28 mmol/L SNP perfusion and simultaneous 43°C heating [(CVC × CVCmax) x 100].

All statistical analyses were conducted with SAS 9.3 software (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA). A one-way ANOVA was used to compare physical characteristics between subject groups. There was no effect of localized drug treatment on absolute maximal CVC data obtained from 28 mmol/L SNP/43°C heating (P=.59); therefore, maximal CVC data from the microdialysis sites were pooled by group and analyzed with a one-way ANOVA. Because group differences in maximal CVC were apparent, all data are presented as both absolute CVC and %CVCmax. A three-way, mixed model, repeated-measures ANOVA (group*pharmacological site*ACh dose or local heating phase) was used to examine group, site, and dose or phase differences. Tukey’s multiple comparisons tests were used for specific planned comparisons. Significance was set at α=.05. Results are presented as mean±SEM.

RESULTS

Subject characteristics are presented in Table 1. By design, subjects were well matched for characteristics other than blood pressure. SBP, DBP, and MAP were all significantly higher in the hypertensive group compared to both the normotensive and medicated groups (all P<.001). Although still in the normal clinical range, SBP was slightly higher in the medicated group compared to the normotensive group (P=.01).
Maximum CVC

Figure 1 shows maximal CVC data obtained during local heating (43°C) and perfusion of 28 mmol/L SNP. Because there were no differences with individual localized microdialysis treatment, data are pooled within each group. Maximal CVC was lower in both the hypertensive (hypertensive 1.52±0.06 flux mm Hg⁻¹; \( P = .014 \)) and medicated (1.48±0.06 flux mm Hg⁻¹; \( P = .004 \)) groups compared to the normotensive group (normotensive 1.76±0.06 flux mm Hg⁻¹).

ACh dose-response

Figure 2 illustrates the ACh dose-response data for all three groups in each local treatment site expressed as both %CVCmax (Panel A) and absolute CVC (Panel B). At the control site, %CVCmax was attenuated in the hypertensive group compared to the normotensive and medicated groups at all ACh doses ≥0.1 mmol/L (all \( P < .05 \)). Further, there was no difference in %CVCmax at the control site between the normotensive and medicated groups. Similarly, absolute CVC was also attenuated in the hypertensive group with all ACh doses ≥0.1 mmol/L compared to the normotensive group at control (all \( P < .05 \)). However, absolute CVC was attenuated in the medicated group compared to the normotensive group at ACh doses 1-10 mmol/L (all \( P < .05 \)).

During NOS inhibition (l-NAME), %CVCmax was attenuated in the hypertensive group compared to the normotensive and medicated groups (ACh doses 5-100 mmol/L) and medicated (ACh doses 0.1-10 mmol/L) groups compared to the normotensive group (all \( P < .05 \)). Absolute CVC was lower in the medicated group compared to hypertensive group at 50 mmol/L ACh (\( P = .01 \)). There were no differences in %CVCmax with iNOS inhibition. Absolute CVC was attenuated in the hypertensive (ACh doses 0.1-50 mmol/L) and medicated (ACh doses 0.1-100 mmol/L) groups compared to the normotensive group (all \( P < .05 \)).

Within-group changes were apparent between sites. In the normotensive group, %CVCmax was no different between the control and 1400w sites for any dose of ACh (all \( P > .05 \)); however, %CVCmax was significantly lower at the l-NAME site with all ACh doses ≥0.1 mmol/L compared to both the control and l-NAME sites. Similarly in the normotensive group, when data were expressed as CVC, there were no differences between the control and 1400w sites. Vasodilation was lower at the l-NAME site relative to control at all ACh doses ≥0.1 mmol/L and lower relative to the 1400w site at all ACh doses ≥1 mmol/L.

In the hypertensive group, %CVCmax was higher in the 1400w site compared to the control site with the 10 mmol/L dose of ACh (control 70.8±4.8, 1400w 76.9±5.1% CVCmax; \( P = .02 \)) and approached significance with the 100 mmol/L dose of ACh (control 71.6±3.6, 1400w 80.8±3.9% CVCmax; \( P = .06 \)). The control site was only different from the l-NAME site with the 0.1 mmol/L dose of ACh (control 36.9±6.8, l-NAME 20.5±3.1% CVCmax; \( P = .03 \)). %CVCmax was significantly greater in the 1400w site compared to l-NAME at all ACh doses ≥0.1 mmol/L (all \( P < .05 \)). There were no between-site differences in the hypertensive group when data were expressed as absolute CVC.

In the medicated group, %CVCmax was no different between the control and 1400w sites at any dose of ACh (all \( P > .05 \)). %CVCmax was significantly lower in the l-NAME site compared to the control site at all ACh doses ≥1 mmol/L (all \( P < .05 \)) and lower compared to the 1400w.
Maximum vasodilation was significantly attenuated in the essential hypertensive and medicated hypertensive groups when compared to normotensive subjects.

*P < .05 compared to normotensive site at all ACh doses ≥5 mmol/L (all P < .05). Likewise, when data were expressed as absolute CVC, there were no differences between the control and 1400w sites, while CVC was significantly lower in the iNOS site compared to the control site at all ACh doses ≥1 mmol/L (all P < .05) and lower compared to the 1400w site at all ACh doses ≥5 mmol/L (all P < .05).

ACh dose-response data expressed as %CVC\textsubscript{max} (panel A) and absolute CVC (panel B) for normotensive (black circles), hypertensive (gray circles), and medicated (white circles) groups. *P < .05 difference between normotensive and hypertensive subjects. †P < .05 difference between hypertensive and medicated subjects. ‡P < .05 difference between normotensive and medicated subjects.

Local heating

Figure 3A depicts local heating data, expressed as %CVC\textsubscript{max} for all three subject groups at the control site. %CVC\textsubscript{max} was attenuated in the hypertensive group compared to the normotensive group (hypertensive: 84.0±1.6%CVC\textsubscript{max}; normotensive: 93.7±1.2%CVC\textsubscript{max}; P < .001). %CVC\textsubscript{max} in the medicated group did not differ from either the hypertensive (P = .44) or control group (89.6±1.7%CVC\textsubscript{max}; P = .06). NO-dependent vasodilation was similar among all groups (P > .05). Figure 3B depicts local heating skin blood flow data expressed as absolute CVC. No significant differences in CVC were observed at the local heating plateau in the hypertensive (hypertensive: 1.48±0.13 flux mm mm H\textsuperscript{-1}; normotensive: 1.71±0.23 flux mm mm H\textsuperscript{-1}; P = .06) or medicated (1.38±0.18 flux mm mm H\textsuperscript{-1}; P = .08) groups compared to the normotensive group.

Figure 3C depicts local heating data with iNOS inhibition expressed as %CVC\textsubscript{max}. %CVC\textsubscript{max} at the local heating plateau was not different...
among the groups (hypertensive: 87.5±2.0 %CVC max, normotensive: 92.7±1.6 %CVC max; P=.31, medicated 86.7±2.0 %CVC max; P=.30). There were no differences in NO-dependent vasodilation between the normotensive and hypertensive (P=.24), or normotensive and medicated (P=.16) groups. However, NO-dependent vasodilation was reduced in the medicated group compared to the hypertensive group (P=.02). Figure 3D depicts local heating data for the iNOS-inhibited site expressed as CVC. Compared to normotensive subjects, the local heating plateau was reduced in the hypertensive group (hypertensive: 1.40±0.18 flux mm Hg⁻¹, normotensive: 1.76±0.19 flux mm Hg⁻¹; P=.01), but not medicated group (1.55±0.29 flux mm Hg; P=.14).

NO-dependent vasodilation did not differ between groups (all P>.05). In the normotensive group, when presented as %CVC max, there were no differences between the control and 1400w sites either at the local heating plateau (control 93.7±1.3, 1400w 92.7±1.6 %CVC max; P=.54) or in NO-dependent vasodilation (control 51.1±5.3, 1400w 45.1±5.6 %CVC max; P=.23). Similarly, when data were expressed as CVC, there was no difference in the local heating plateau (control 1.71±0.2, 1400w 1.76±0.2 CVC; P=.83) or NO-dependent vasodilation (control 0.87±0.15, 1400w 0.84±0.15 CVC; P=.84).

In the hypertensive group, there were no between-site differences in %CVC max at the local heating plateau (control 85.8±1.9, 1400w 87.5±2.7 %CVC max; P=.67) or in NO-dependent vasodilation (control 44.3±4.3, 1400w 51.2±4.2 %CVC max; P=.17). When expressed as CVC, there were no between-site differences in the local heating plateau (control 1.48±0.13, 1400w 1.40±0.18 flux mm Hg⁻¹; P=.69) or NO-dependent vasodilation (control 0.85±0.14, 1400w 0.81±0.11 flux mm Hg⁻¹; P=.82).

In the medicated group, there were no between-site differences in %CVC max at the local heating plateau (control 90.1±1.6, 1400w 87.0±2.0 %CVC max; P=.25) or NO-dependent vasodilation (control 40.9±5.1, 1400w 32.9±4.3 %CVC max; P=.22). There were no between-site differences when data were expressed as CVC at the local heating plateau (control 1.58±0.19, 1400w 1.67±0.31 flux mm Hg⁻¹; P=.65) or NO-dependent vasodilation (control 0.69±0.12, 1400w 0.53±0.08 flux mm Hg⁻¹; P=.28).
FIGURE 3  Cutaneous vasodilation in response to local heating to 42°C (black bars) and NO inhibition (gray bars) in all three groups in the control and iNOS-inhibited microdialysis sites. NO-dependent dilation is presented as the difference in vasodilation between local heating and L-NAME perfusion. Data are expressed as %CVCmax and absolute CVC. *P < .05 compared to normotensive. †P < .05 compared to hypertensive.

Discussion

The principal finding of this study was that blood pressure control with antihypertensive pharmacotherapy that included either an ACE inhibitor or ARB modestly improved cutaneous microvascular function through endothelium-dependent mechanisms, potentially involving a reduction in iNOS-mediated dysfunction. However, in a cohort with well-controlled blood pressure to clinically appropriate levels, treatment did not improve maximal vasodilator responsiveness, which is considered an index of microvascular structure. Taken together, these data suggest that blood pressure control with RAS-inhibiting antihypertensive medications exerts positive peripheral endothelial vascular effects, but does not affect indices of vessel remodeling in the cutaneous microcirculation.

In humans with elevated blood pressure, endothelial dysfunction and microvascular remodeling are present and detectable in the cutaneous microvasculature. This is in part through increased expression of iNOS. Upregulation of iNOS produces large quantities of NO, which is rapidly converted into peroxynitrite and contributes to the high oxidant environment characteristic of the vasculature in hypertensive patients. Furthermore, upregulated iNOS increases arginase activity; arginase competes with eNOS.
for the common substrate L-arginine, thereby decreasing NO bioavailability. Together, elevated arginase and peroxynitrite limit NO production, leading to microvascular endothelial dysfunction. We have demonstrated that inhibition of iNOS improves endothelial function in the cutaneous microvasculature of hypertensive men and women.

iNOS is upregulated by angiotensin II acting on angiotensin 1 receptors. Therefore, pharmacotherapy with effects on the RAS may reduce iNOS expression and restore endothelial function by increasing NO bioavailability. Our data show that when blood pressure is controlled with treatment that includes either an ACE inhibitor or ARB, vasodilation in response to the endothelium-dependent agonist ACh is improved. The augmented vasodilator response to ACh may have involved changes in iNOS-mediated dysfunction, as inhibition of iNOS did not augment vasodilation in the medicated group but did augment ACh-mediated vasodilation at certain doses in the hypertensive group. There were only modest differences in the ACh dose-response (ACh doses 1-10 mmol/L), or local heating plateau, between normotensive and medicated groups at the control site. This suggests that blood pressure normalization with pharmacotherapy can improve endothelial function. Interestingly, when we blocked the contribution of NOS-produced NO to ACh-mediated vasodilation with l-NAME (Figure 2), vasodilation decreased to a greater degree in the normotensive group compared to the medicated group, indicating more NO bioavailability in the normotensive group. This suggests that the improvement in endothelial function that occurs with pharmacotherapy-mediated blood pressure normalization involves both NO- and non-NO-dependent mechanisms.

While we observed improved endothelial function in response to ACh with medication, we did not observe an improvement in the local heating response (Figure 3). While the local heating plateau in the medicated group was not statistically different from the normotensive group, the difference approached significance (P = .06), indicating that an attenuation in the local heating response is likely in the medicated subjects. These disparate results are likely due to different mechanisms mediating the increase in skin blood flow to each stimulus. Local heating is predominantly mediated by eNOS-derived NO, with the remainder attributed to endothelium-derived hyperpolarizing factors. The mechanisms underlying ACh-mediated vasodilation differ depending on the protocol employed and potentially the dose of ACh. ACh induces vasodilation through NO and prostanoids/COX-dependent mechanisms. However, the contribution of NO to ACh-mediated vasodilation is relatively less compared to local heating. It is possible that RAS-inhibiting antihypertensive pharmacotherapy increases both NO- and COX-dependent mechanisms, with the augmentation in COX only apparent during the ACh dose-response. There is evidence that ACE inhibitors can increase COX-mediated vasodilation in the skin. Our study did not utilize any specific COX inhibitors, but we did observe an increase in non-NO endothelium-dependent vasodilation in the medicated group (Figure 2). Regardless of the specific mechanisms, both ACh and local heating induce vasodilation that is predominantly endothelium-dependent. Collectively, attenuated vasodilation in response to both ACh and local heating was apparent in the hypertensive group, but ACh-mediated vasodilation was improved in the medicated group. This suggests that blood pressure control through ACE inhibitor or ARB pharmacotherapy improved endothelial function through both NO-dependent and NO-independent mechanisms.

Hypertension is not only associated with endothelial dysfunction, but also with pathological vessel remodeling, which is evident in the cutaneous microcirculation. Examination with nail fold capillaroscopy shows decreased capillary density in the skin of hypertensive men and women. Minimum vascular resistance (or maximum conductance) is also indicative of vessel structure. Our data show that maximal CVC, obtained through perfusion of SNP and simultaneous local heating (43°C), is attenuated in hypertensive men and women. Medicated subjects also exhibited attenuated maximal CVC compared to the normotensive group. Together, these data suggest that hypertension induces pathological vessel remodeling in the cutaneous microvasculature and that blood pressure normalization with ACE inhibitor or ARB pharmacotherapy does not alter this index of microvessel structure in the cutaneous vascular bed. This index of maximal vasodilator capacity, when taken with our functional data, shows that blood pressure normalization with RAS-inhibiting pharmacotherapy improved endothelial function, albeit within a narrowed structural limit.

These findings, which indicate no structural alteration occurred with blood pressure normalization, are inconsistent with other published findings, likely due to the different vessels examined and methods used to determine vessel structure. Currently, technology is in its infancy for direct measurements of cutaneous microvessel structure outside of the nail fold. To our knowledge, no studies have been performed to measure cutaneous microvascular diameter in hypertensive subjects. Instead, maximum conductance was achieved in response to high doses of SNP and simultaneous local heating as an index of vessel structure. Further research is warranted to elucidate the changes in cutaneous microvascular structure with pharmacotherapy.

Our data show that endothelial function is improved with pharmacologically mediated blood pressure normalization. Endothelial dysfunction is a hallmark of hypertension and many other chronic diseases. Determining the mechanisms through which controlling hypertension restores endothelial function could lead to new treatments not only for hypertension, but for other pathologies involving vascular dys-function. All medicated subjects in this study took one medication that influenced the RAS. Numerous putative mechanisms for the pleiotropic effects of RAS inhibition exist, such as increased ACE2/Ang 1-7, increased Ac-SDKP/decreased
Lysyl oxidase, increased bradykinin, and decreased production of reactive oxygen species. Further investigation into these signaling pathways will allow greater understanding of chronic disease progression and help tailor targeted treatments. The main limitation of this study is that the findings cannot be attributed solely to either specific effects of ACE inhibitors/ARBs, general effects of antihypertensive pharmacotherapy, or blood pressure normalization. However, our subjects with blood pressure controlled through ACE inhibitor or ARB antihypertensive pharmacotherapy represent a clinically valid and real-world subject pool. A second limitation is that we used only an index of microvascular structure and did not employ a direct measure of the microvasculature. It is conceivable that the lower maximum vasodilation observed in the hypertensive and medicated groups were due to impaired smooth muscle function and not structural deficits.

**Conclusion**

Controlling blood pressure with antihypertensive medications improves microvascular endothelial function, but not structure, in men and women with essential hypertension. This augmentation in endothelial function occurs through both NO and non-NO mechanisms, and may be mediated by a reduction in iNOS. These data confirm that blood pressure normalization with antihypertensive pharmacotherapy elicits vascular benefits, and extends our understanding to include the cutaneous microvasculature.

**Perspective**

The results of the present study suggest that blood pressure controlled with pharmacotherapy that includes either an ACE inhibitor or ARB improves endothelial function and this improvement in endothelial function involves NO-dependent and NO-independent mechanisms. Despite the improvement in endothelial function, maximal vasodilatory capacity of the cutaneous microvasculature is not restored with pharmacotherapy. From a practical standpoint, we conclude that blood pressure control with RAS-inhibiting pharmacotherapy improves microvascular endothelial function, which is an important component of overall vascular health.
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